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Depression in primary care: assessing suicide risk
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HOW RELEVANT IS THIS TO MY PRACTICE?

Major depression is a risk factor for suicide, accounting for up to 60% of suicides.1-4 The literature has shown that a large proportion of patients who died by suicide had made contact with a primary care health provider within the three months preceding their deaths.5-7 Patients who died by suicide were also more likely to visit their primary care practitioner than a psychiatrist.8 This suggests that primary care practitioners are in a unique position to identify at-risk individuals and possibly intervene.8,9 Primary care practitioners have been identified as one of the key potential gatekeepers in suicide prevention efforts.10 Despite this, not all primary care practitioners routinely ask about suicide in depressed patients.11

WHAT CAN I DO IN MY PRACTICE?

There are concerns that enquiring about suicide in patients who are depressed may trigger suicide, but evidence has shown this to be untrue.12 Acknowledging and discussing suicide may reduce, instead of aggravate, suicidal ideation. Asking about suicide may help primary care physicians to identify high-risk patients who require urgent intervention (such as hospitalisation) and to uncover risk factors, some of which are amenable to intervention.9

There are numerous tools to screen for suicide risk. One of the more widely used suicide assessment tools is the SAD PERSONS scale. This is a ten-item mnemonic, which was first developed as a tool for medical students and non-psychiatrist physicians to guide suicide risk assessment.11 The use of the tool has been found to improve identification of persons with suicidal ideation.13,14 The letters in the mnemonic represent demographic, behavioural and psychosocial risk factors for suicide (Box 1). Each risk factor that is present is accorded a score of 1 point, for a maximum of 10 points. Patterson et al recommended that: (a) patients with scores of 3–4 should be closely monitored; (b) hospitalisation should be strongly considered for those with scores of 5 and 6; and (c) patients with scores of 7–10 should be hospitalised for further assessment.11 A systematic review of the performance of the SAD PERSONS scale in the clinical setting concluded that it did not acutely predict suicide behaviour.15 Nonetheless, it is an easy scale to remember and use in the primary care setting.

Information acquired via such assessment tools can add to the overall information obtained during a thorough suicide assessment. However, a systematic review concluded that there was insufficient evidence for the usefulness of suicide risk screening tools and that suicide assessment tools should not replace a thorough suicide assessment.16 According to the recommendations of the Royal College of Psychiatrists, suicide

Box 1. SAD PERSONS scale for assessment of suicide risk:

- S: Sex (male)
- A: Age (< 20 or > 44 years)
- D: Depression
- P: Previous suicide attempt
- E: Ethanol abuse
- R: Rational thinking loss (psychosis)
- S: Social support lacking
- O: Organised suicide plan
- N: No spouse (divorced or separated, widowed or single)
- H: Sickness (presence of a chronic or debilitating illness)

Each risk factor that is present is accorded a score of 1 point, for a maximum of 10 points. Patterson et al recommended:
- Close monitoring for patients with scores of 3 to 4
- To strongly consider hospitalisation for those with scores of 5 and 6
- Hospitalisation for further assessment for patients with scores of 7–10

Note: Regardless of the score obtained, overall clinical assessment is still paramount and the primary care physician should err on the side of caution.
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risk assessment tools “should be seen as part of routine assessment and not as a separate exercise”.[17]

Evaluation should be customised and performed in a manner that is sensitive to the patient’s culture and religion. It should also take into consideration any risk factors and warning signs. Clinical judgement is important, and the clinician should err on the side of caution. Thorough documentation and communication of details is important to ensure adequate monitoring and the safety of the person.[18] Assessing the patient’s suicide risk involves: (a) checking for known risk factors of suicide; (b) eliciting suicidal ideation from the patient (or corroborative sources); and (c) deciding if the patient is at imminent risk of suicide, based on the patient’s current ideation and existing risk factors.[19]

Checking for suicide risk factors
Some of the risk factors for suicide are amenable to intervention, whereas others are not. A large prospective study identified hopelessness, higher levels of suicidal ideation, recurrent episodes of depression, presence of personality disorders, previous psychiatric hospitalisation, older age, unemployed status and a family history of suicide as significant markers for suicide.[20] Having one or more previous suicide attempts is a very strong predictor of suicide risk.[21] Having pervasive thoughts of hopelessness has also been identified as a very important risk factor.[22,23] Additional risk factors include the presence of other psychiatric comorbidities such as anxiety disorders and substance misuse,[10,24] chronic physical illnesses,[25] a recent stressful life event,[26] and social isolation,[27] which includes living alone, coming from a broken family, divorce and spousal bereavement. Access to lethal means is another risk factor; the most common mode of suicide in Singapore is jumping from heights, followed by hanging and poisoning.[28] The risk factors for suicide are summarised in Box 2.

In contrast to risk factors, protective factors lower the risk of suicide. Therefore, apart from strategies to reduce the risk factors for suicide, interventions should aim to strengthen factors that protect against suicide. These include strong interpersonal relationships, religious faith, positive coping strategies such as effective stress management and healthy lifestyle choices, including diet and exercise.[10,29]

Eliciting suicidal ideation
There are no fixed methods to elicit suicidal ideation. Some patients may inform the doctor without the need for prompting, while others may view it with shame. Therefore, it is prudent to raise the topic carefully in a sensitive and respectful manner, by first using open-ended questions and gradually focusing on direct ones. It may be easier to broach the subject while exploring mood symptoms or discussing negative feelings. One should be mindful not to overreact even if there is a cause for concern.[30] Important components of suicidal ideation that should be explored are listed in Box 3.[31-33]

A step-wise approach (Fig. 1) has been suggested by several authors,[19,24,34] in which the primary care practitioner starts off by asking a general question on whether the patient has ever had any thoughts of death or felt that he or she is better off dead. A positive response to this question should prompt the next question – whether the patient has any thoughts of self-harm. If there are no thoughts of self-harm, the patient is said to have passive suicidal ideation. The primary care practitioner should then explore and mitigate any additional risk factors for suicide, and help the patient get in touch with relevant community resources, such as crisis helplines. With the patient’s permission, the patient’s risk can be made known to a family member or close friend.

Conversely, if thoughts of self-harm are present, the patient is said to have active suicidal ideation and should be given a same-day psychiatric assessment. The primary care physician should ask further questions to look for behaviour that suggests intent (e.g. making a suicide note or distributing personal belongings), or whether there is a specific plan to carry it out. Any patient who communicates a specific intent or plan for suicide requires urgent psychiatric referral and should be transported to the emergency room.[24] Finally, the risk assessment should be documented as clearly and as thoroughly as possible to

---

**Box 2. Risk factors for suicide:[10,24]**

**Amenable to intervention**
- Pervasive hopelessness
- Alcohol/substance abuse
- Unemployment
- Recent stressful life event
- Social isolation/poor social support (e.g. divorce, living alone, bereavement)
- Relationship conflict, discord or loss
- Barriers to accessing healthcare
- Access to lethal means
- Chronic physical illnesses

**Non-amenable to intervention**
- Previous episodes of depression
- Past history of other psychiatric disorders, including personality disorders
- Prior suicide attempts (regret at failure to die)
- Male gender
- Older age
- Previous psychiatric hospitalisation
- Family history of suicide

---

**Box 3. Components of suicidal ideation:[31-33]**

- Intent
- Lethality
- Degree of ambivalence
- Intensity
- Frequency
- Availability of means/method, rehearsal
- Suicide notes (absence or presence)
- Deterrents or protective factors

[Adapted from CAMH Suicide Prevention and Assessment Handbook,[32]]
facilitate communication between healthcare providers, ongoing assessment and continuity of care.

**Cultural aspects relevant to Asia**

In Asian society, one may encounter patients who quickly deny or brush off thoughts of suicide due to the fear of stigma or judgement, or because their religious faith forbids them from entertaining such thoughts. Although religious faiths may seem protective, the fear of judgement about harbouring such ideas may serve as a barrier to reporting of suicidal ideation. In such patients, it may be helpful to broach the topic in a gentle, sensitive and normalising manner. This is an example of what one might say to such patients: “This may be a sensitive topic in some religions, but when people are very unhappy or overwhelmed by sadness, they can sometimes experience thoughts that life is not worth living. These thoughts come automatically and are not always controllable. Have you ever experienced anything like this?”

**Managing patients with suicide risk**

Suicide risk assessment is a complex and challenging process that relies on effective communication, and it is an ongoing process for the depressed patient. Therefore, a holistic approach should be
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1. A large proportion of patients who commit suicide do not make contact with a primary care health provider within the three months preceding their deaths.
2. Patients who die from suicide are more likely to visit their psychiatrist than their primary health care practitioner.
3. There may be opportunities for primary care physicians to identify suicidal patients and possibly intervene.
4. Acknowledging and discussing suicide aggravates suicidal ideation rather than reducing it.
5. Asking about suicide may help the physician to identify a patient at high risk who needs urgent intervention, as well as uncover risk factors for suicide.
6. Some risk factors for suicide are amenable to intervention, whereas others are not.
7. One of the more widely used suicide assessment tools is the SAD PERSONS scale.
8. The SAD PERSONS scale acutely predicts suicidal behaviour.
9. Thorough documentation and communication of details is important to ensure adequate monitoring and the safety of the patient.
10. Having one or more previous suicide attempts is not a strong predictor of suicide risk.
11. Having pervasive thoughts of hopelessness has been identified as a very important risk factor.
12. Interventions should aim to strengthen protective factors such as strong interpersonal relationships.
14. If there are no thoughts of self-harm, the patient is said to have active suicidal ideation.
15. The primary care physician should not ask further questions to look for behaviour that suggests intent or whether there is a specific plan to carry out a suicide.
16. All persons with clear-cut, active suicidal ideation should be sent to the designated hospital (Institute of Mental Health in the Singapore context) for urgent psychiatric care.
17. The practice of forming no-suicide contracts should be encouraged.
18. A holistic approach should be employed in assessing suicide.
19. All persons who are depressed or suicidal should not be connected to available community resources and crisis helplines.
20. Suicide risk assessment is a complex and challenging process that relies on effective communication, and it is an ongoing process for the depressed patient.
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