Cricoid ridge ossification mimicking ingested fish bone on plain radiography: prevalence in Singapore
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Ossification of the cricoid ridge mimicking impacted upper aerodigestive tract fish bone has been described in several case reports. We performed this study to determine the prevalence of such ossification in an adult population from Singapore and to identify specific imaging features that may be used as aid in differentiating patients with cricoid ridge ossification from those having an upper aerodigestive tract impacted fish bone.

Methods: We retrospectively evaluated 442 lateral neck radiographs of patients aged 16 years and above that were performed in the adult emergency department at the National University Hospital, Singapore, during the four-month period between 1 September 2014 and 31 December 2014.

Results: Ossification of the cricoid ridge mimicking fish bone appeared in 3.2% of our adult patients, and its prevalence was more common among patients aged above 40 years (n = 11/227; 4.8%). The ossified cricoid ridge was usually located at the level of C6 (n = 9) and had a mean length of 5.5 (range 2–9) mm.

Conclusion: Ossified cricoid ridges may be misinterpreted on lateral neck radiography as ingested fish bones. While ossification of the cricoid ridge mimicking fish bones is rare, awareness of such ossification will reduce unnecessary further investigations of the upper aerodigestive tract of patients suspected of having an impacted foreign body.

Keywords: cricoid, fish bone, ossification, Singapore
INTRODUCTION

In Singapore, as well as in many other countries in Asia, eating a whole fish with intact bones is common culture, and as a result, patients frequently present to primary care physicians and emergency departments (EDs) with suspected fish bone ingestion and impaction in the upper aerodigestive tract. Apart from thorough clinical history-taking and physical examination, lateral radiography of the neck is frequently performed as initial evaluation for this group of patients.

Vertical ossification of the cricoid ridge (i.e. posterior midline of the cricoid lamina), also known as vertical cricoid lamina, is highly variable. When it occurs, it may be misdiagnosed on lateral neck radiographs as an ingested fish bone. A literature search showed that fewer than ten isolated case reports have been published in the English-language literature, and were mainly from Asian countries.(1-7) However, to the best of our knowledge, the frequency of such an occurrence has not been studied in any patient population. We performed this study to: (a) determine the prevalence of such ossification of the cricoid ridge in the local adult population; and (b) identify specific imaging features that could be used as an aid for differentiating patients with cricoid ridge ossification from those having an upper aerodigestive tract-impacted fish bone.

METHODS

A total of 466 consecutive lateral neck radiographs of patients aged 16 years and above that were performed in the adult ED at the National University Hospital, Singapore, during the four-month period from 1 September 2014 to 31 December 2014 were retrospectively evaluated. Institutional review board approval and waiver of informed consent were obtained for this retrospective study.
Lateral neck radiographs were performed using the AGFA DX-G (Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany) computed radiography system. The standard projection was a single lateral view, with the patient positioned erect and neck extended in full inspiration, exposure of 60 kVp and 6 mAs, with the beam centred at the thyroid cartilage (level of C4 vertebra). External artefacts, such as jewellery and clothing, were removed before the examination.

The radiographs in this study were analysed concurrently by a senior consultant radiologist, with 37 years of experience, and a senior resident in the fifth year of radiology training on a GE PACS (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) workstation.

A positive finding was defined as dense linear ossification of the cricoid ridge that appeared separate from the rest of the partially or completely ossified cricoid cartilage. A positive finding had to be agreed upon by both readers, and then the clinical notes of patients were reviewed to confirm that it was not a foreign body. During this study, no disagreements occurred between the two readers regarding any positive radiographic findings. A foreign body was excluded based on resolution of symptoms, and negative endoscopy of the oropharynx and hypopharynx. We also analysed the location, length, width and density (by measuring optical density with an electronic cursor and comparing with the cervical vertebrae cortex) of the cricoid ridge ossification, as well as the ossification pattern of the rest of the laryngeal cartilages in patients with positive findings. The radiographic findings of cricoid ridge ossification were then compared to that of patients with proven impacted fish bone in a similar location in our study group.

All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA). Descriptive statistics to assess the frequency and characteristics of cricoid ridge ossification were performed. Chi-square test was used to assess the association between age group and occurrence of cricoid ridge ossification. A \( p \leq 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.
RESULTS

Among the 466 radiographs studied, 24 radiographs were excluded, as these were repeat radiographs of the same patients (22 patients had two radiographs, and one patient had three radiographs). The final number of patients included in our study was 442.

The age range of patients was 16–92 years (males, n = 242; females, n = 200) (Table I). The most common indication for performing lateral neck radiography in the ED was to further evaluate suspected foreign body impaction in the upper aerodigestive tract. Other less common indications included suspected epiglottitis and retropharyngeal abscess.

Table I. Patients with cricoid ridge ossification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range (yr)</th>
<th>Total (n = 442)</th>
<th>Cricoid ridge ossification (n = 14)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male (n = 242)</td>
<td>Female (n = 200)</td>
<td>Male (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–20</td>
<td>17 (7.0)</td>
<td>17 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21–40</td>
<td>109 (45.0)</td>
<td>72 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41–60</td>
<td>65 (26.9)</td>
<td>64 (32.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61–80</td>
<td>44 (18.2)</td>
<td>42 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 81</td>
<td>7 (2.9)</td>
<td>5 (2.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of 442 patients included in the study, 14 had ossification of the cricoid ridge that appeared separate from the rest of the partially or completely ossified cricoid cartilage (Figs. 1 & 2). The prevalence of such pattern of ossification in our sample study population was 3.2% (14/442 patients). The number of males (n = 7) and females (n = 7) with ossified cricoid ridges was equal. The median age of patients with cricoid ridge ossification was 50 (range 34–71) years.

Due to the small numbers of patients in the three individual age groups where patients had cricoid ridge ossification (age groups: 21–40 years; 41–60 years; and 61–80 years) in our study, statistical analysis could only be performed by dividing the study population into groups of patients aged below 40 years and above 40 years. We found that there was statistically significant higher prevalence of cricoid ridge ossification in patients aged above
40 years (11/227 patients, 4.8%) when compared to those aged below 40 years (0/215 patients, 0%) (p = 0.038).

The most common location of cricoid ridge ossification was at the level of C6 (n = 9), followed by C5/C6 (n = 3) and C5 (n = 2). The mean length of ossification was 5.5 (range 2–9) mm. The ossification was linear in all patients, with a width of not more than 1 mm. Among patients with cricoid ridge ossification, the rest of the cricoid and thyroid cartilages were partially ossified in 12 patients (Fig. 2) and completely ossified in two patients. The ossification density was equal to or within a 10% difference compared to the cervical vertebral body cortex in 13 patients, and 20% less dense than the cortex in the remaining patient.

In our study population, 3 out of 442 patients were confirmed to have impacted fish bone in the upper oesophagus (Fig. 3). In all three patients, the fish bone was vertical in orientation, extending beyond the margins of the cricoid cartilage, with an irregular shape and varied in thickness (range 1.5–2 mm).

DISCUSSION

Eating fish with intact bones is common culture in Singapore and several other Asian countries, and therefore patients presenting to primary care physicians and the ED for suspected fish bone ingestion and impaction in the upper aerodigestive tract is fairly common. As initial evaluation for these patients, thorough clinical history-taking, physical examination and lateral radiography of the neck are frequently performed to determine the presence or absence of impacted fish bone as well as guide endoscopic examination of the oropharynx and hypopharynx in the ED for patients with persistent symptoms.

Lateral view radiography of the neck has an important role to play in the detection of upper aerodigestive tract-impacted fish bone, as up to 88% of fish bone are reported to be
radio-opaque. Early diagnosis and management of impacted foreign body is important to prevent complications, such as perforation, with associated abscess, as well as vascular complications, such as carotid puncture and aorto-oesophageal fistula.

Since the initial study by Chievitz in 1882, the calcification and ossification of laryngeal cartilages have been extensively investigated. As part of the normal ageing process, the thyroid, cricoid and arytenoid cartilages, which are made of hyaline cartilage, undergo calcification and subsequent ossification. Ossification of the laryngeal cartilages begins in men at the age of 20 years and in women at age 22 years. Ossification of the cricoid cartilage begins in the superior border of the lamina, and progressive ossification of the rest of the cricoid cartilage occurs in the cranial-to-caudal and posterior-to-anterior directions. The two areas of ossification in the cricoid, which are commonly mistaken for a foreign body, are the superior tip of the cricoid lamina and cricoid ridge, which are known to ossify separately from the rest of the cricoid.

Ossification of the cricoid ridge mimicking an ingested fish bone on lateral neck radiographs have been described in less than ten case reports in the English language literature, to our knowledge, and these studies are mainly from Asian countries. Although this imaging pitfall may be better known to practising clinicians and radiologists from this region, the exact frequency of such pattern of ossification has never been established. In our study of patients suspected to have ingested fish bone, the estimated prevalence in the Singapore adult population was estimated to be about 3.2% and more common in patients aged above 40 years (4.8%). We believe that this number should apply to the general population in Singapore as well since there is no reason to suspect that the pattern of cricoid ossification would be different in patients who do not eat a whole fish with intact bones.

Physiological ossification of the cricoid ridge is usually thin, linear, and does not extend beyond both the superior and inferior margins of the cricoid cartilage. In addition, in
our study, the most common location of cricoid ridge ossification was at the level of C6, with a mean length of 5.5 mm, and usually equal in density to the cervical vertebral cortex. In contrast, impacted fish bone may extend beyond the margins of the cricoid cartilage, and have irregular shape, thickness and density depending on the species of the fish partaken.\(^{(4,14)}\)

In addition, impacted fish bone may cause secondary signs, such as widening of the prevertebral soft tissue.

With increase in global travelling and the increased number of immigrants from Asian countries living in Europe and the United States, awareness of such physiological ossification mimicking an ingested fish bone is not only important for radiologists in Asia but also for those practising in other continents lest they be misinterpreted as ingested fish bone. While recognising the relative infrequency of this ossification, we nevertheless believe that such awareness may reduce unnecessary investigations and invasive procedures or surgery in up to 3.2% of our population. We recommend that computed tomography or complete endoscopy (oesophagoscopy) be reserved for difficult or equivocal cases where clinical suspicion (e.g. symptoms) of impacted fish bone remains strong in spite of initial negative lateral neck radiography and endoscopy of the oropharynx and hypopharynx, or for patients who have persistent symptoms despite the typical appearance of cricoid ridge ossification.

Our study has several limitations. First, the number of patients studied was relatively small for determining normal variants of cricoid ridge ossification. Second, because of the patient referral pattern to our hospital, we only examined patients who lived in the West of Singapore. It is possible that the frequency of cricoid ridge ossification may be different in patients from other areas of the country though no obvious difference in patient demography exists across Singapore. Third, only three patients had impacted fish bone in our study population. A fish bone impacted at the level of C6 may potentially simulate cricoid ridge ossification although we did not encounter such a case in our study. As previous studies have
shown that different types of fishes may have different radiodensities and appearance, there is a possibility that the unique distinguishing features described herein may not apply to fish from other regions. However, determining distinguishing features between cricoid ridge ossification and impacted fish bones was only secondary to our study.

In spite of the above limitations, our study was able to establish the relative frequency of cricoid ridge ossification in the local adult population of Singapore and highlighted some features that may be helpful in distinguishing it from impacted fish bone.
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FIGURES

1a

1b
Fig. 1 Images show findings in a patient suspected of having an impacted fish bone that was found to be an ossified cricoid ridge on further examination. (a) Lateral neck radiograph showed linear ossification at the posterior cricoid (arrow), which was initially thought to represent an impacted fish bone. Unenhanced computed tomography scans in the (b) axial and (c) sagittal planes showed an ossified cricoid ridge (arrows).
Fig. 2 Lateral neck radiographs of three patients show ossification of the cricoid ridge (arrows) that appeared separate from the rest of the cricoid. H: hyoid bone; T: partially ossified thyroid cartilage

Fig. 3 Lateral neck radiographs of two patients show unusually ossified cricoid ridges that were endoscopically proven to be impacted fish bone (arrows) in the upper oesophagus. Note the (a) curved appearance and (b) inverted ‘V’ shape of the fish bones, which is in contrast to the linear appearance of normal cricoid ridge ossification.