Screening for congenital heart disease in a Singapore neonatal unit
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Congenital heart disease (CHD) is a leading cause of infant mortality. The aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy of a neonatal screening programme for CHD before the introduction of pulse oximetry.

Methods: This was a retrospective review of live births in the period 2003–2012. Cases of CHD were detected through prenatal ultrasonography and/or postnatal examination, and confirmed using two-dimensional echocardiography. Data was rigorously checked against multiple sources. The antenatal detection rate, sensitivity, specificity, predictive values and likelihood ratios of the screening programme were analysed for all cases of CHD and critical CHD.

Results: The incidence of CHD was 9.7 per 1,000 live births. The commonest CHD was ventricular septal defect (54.8%). The antenatal detection rate was three times higher in the critical CHD group (64.0%) compared to the group as a whole (21.1%). The sensitivity and specificity of screening was 64.5% and 99.7% for all CHD, and 92.9% and 99.1% for the critical CHD group, respectively. The positive likelihood ratio was 215 and 103, while the negative likelihood ratio was 0.36 and 0.07 for all CHD and critical CHD, respectively.

Conclusion: The CHD screening programme at SGH had excellent specificity but limited sensitivity. The high positive likelihood ratios indicate that where sufficient risk factors for CHD are present, a positive result effectively confirms the presence of CHD. The low negative likelihood ratio for critical CHD indicates that, where prior suspicion for critical CHD is low, a negative result is reassuring.
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INTRODUCTION

Congenital heart disease (CHD) is a leading cause of infant mortality, accounting for over 40% of deaths from congenital defects\(^1\) and 4.2% of deaths in infancy.\(^2\) Advances in prenatal ultrasonography have enabled congenital heart defects to be visualised during the second and third trimesters, allowing foetal intervention,\(^3\) postnatal stabilisation and definitive surgery or transcatheter intervention to be carried out early.\(^4\) When undetected or detected late, the neonate with severe CHD is at risk of circulatory collapse, shock and metabolic acidosis. Poor preoperative clinical status is known to be associated with increased mortality.\(^5,6\) Timely diagnosis of CHD is, therefore, important for improved outcomes.\(^7,8\) Yet a significant proportion of CHD may not be detected through prenatal screening and postnatal examination alone.\(^9-11\) In 2009, pulse oximetry was introduced in some countries as part of neonatal screening to detect conditions associated with desaturation, such as cyanotic heart disease and persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn.\(^12\) While some local hospitals have incorporated pulse oximetry screening for the newly born, its sensitivity and specificity for detection of cyanotic heart diseases are unknown.\(^13\)

The objective of this study was to determine the incidence and range of CHD among newly born babies at Singapore General Hospital (SGH), and evaluate the efficacy of a neonatal screening programme comprising prenatal ultrasonography and postnatal clinical examination for CHD diagnosed through echocardiography.

METHODS

This was a retrospective study of live births between January 2003 and December 2012 conducted at SGH, a tertiary care teaching institution. Pregnant women who were booked for antenatal care underwent first trimester ultrasonography for dating, confirmation of foetal viability and risk
assessment for aneuploidy. Detailed imaging of fetal anatomy was performed at 18–20 weeks of gestation, followed by routine growth imaging at 30–34 weeks of gestation. For ultrasonography, we routinely used the four-chamber view, three-vessel view, septal view, views of right/left ventricular outflow tracts, and views of the ductal and aortic arch to diagnose CHD prenatally. Prenatal imaging was performed using the Acuson 128Xp/10 (Siemens Medical Solutions, Malvern, PA, USA), and images were stored in a database maintained at the Prenatal Diagnostic Centre, SGH. When a fetal cardiac anomaly was detected, the mother was counselled by maternal-fetal specialists. Selected cases of fetal CHD were referred to the paediatric cardiology unit of KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (KKH) for fetal echocardiographic confirmation, and those associated with significant risk of mortality were offered pregnancy termination. Parents of fetuses with critical CHD that underwent termination or suffered intrauterine death were routinely offered postmortem examination with consent.

In the first 24 hours of life, all live-born neonates routinely underwent detailed clinical screening by an attending neonatologist. During the study period (2003–2012), there was no unit policy of routine pulse oximetry screening. Babies who were diagnosed with CHD were included in the study, but those with arrhythmia, isolated patent ductus arteriosus, isolated patent foramen ovale, persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn and pericardial effusion were excluded. Diagnosis of CHD was made on the basis of two-dimensional echocardiography performed by KKH paediatric cardiologists, who held visiting specialist rights to SGH as part of the SingHealth cluster. Echocardiography was performed in accordance with standard techniques using the GE Vivid S5 (General Electric, Boston, MA, USA). Details of the cases were stored in an electronic KKH cardiology database.
All cases of CHD were verified through counter-checking against multiple sources. Firstly, a list was compiled from the SGH Birth Defect Registry, which had been carefully maintained over the years by neonatologists. Secondly, we reviewed the hard copy echocardiography records of those who had been referred with cardiac symptoms but proven on echocardiography to have structurally normal hearts (false positives). Thirdly, KKH’s cardiology database was checked for cases that were discharged as apparent well babies from SGH but diagnosed later at KKH with CHD (i.e. false negatives), either as inpatients or outpatients. We checked the KKH cardiology database for records up to December 2014, presuming that those born up to 2012 (i.e. end of the study period) would have become symptomatic by 2014. Data was rigorously cross-checked to ensure completeness and to avoid double-counting. Finally, we checked the SGH Prenatal Diagnostic Centre database for mothers who opted for pregnancy termination or experienced intrauterine death following prenatal diagnosis of CHD.

Diagnosis of CHD was coded according to the International Classification of Diseases Ninth Revision (ICD-9) – British Paediatric Association (BPA) system.\(^{14}\) Babies with more than one cardiovascular anomaly (e.g. pulmonary atresia and ventricular septal defect) were classified according to the cardiac malformation that was associated with the initial presentation and/or required earlier intervention (pulmonary atresia, in the example above). Data was coded for gestational age, birth weight, gestational size (small, appropriate or large for gestational age based on the growth charts of Fenton et al\(^{15}\)), Apgar scores, antenatal history, family history of CHD, indication for referral, and associated anomaly or syndrome. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics version 17.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Ethical approval was granted by the SingHealth Centralised Institutional Review Board E (CIRB Reference: 2013/124/E).
Apart from analysing all types of CHD, a subanalysis was carried out for seven selected ‘critical CHD’, which was known to be associated with haemodynamic decompensation.\(^{(16)}\) The seven critical CHD included (in alphabetical order): atrioventricular septal defect (code 745.69); coarctation of the aorta (code 747.10); hypoplastic left heart syndrome (code 746.70); pulmonary atresia (code 746.01); tetralogy of Fallot (code 745.20); total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage (TAPVD; code 747.41); and transposition of the great arteries (code 745.10).\(^{(16)}\)

The antenatal detection rate was defined as the proportion of mothers who underwent fetal anomaly imaging and received an accurate diagnosis. The denominator included CHD live births diagnosed through echocardiography (the ‘gold standard’), as well as fetuses with CHD who had been terminated or had undergone intrauterine death. Where there was no postnatal echocardiography, obstetric records were examined for postmortem findings. In cases without postmortem examination, fetal echocardiographic findings (performed by the KKH paediatric cardiology unit) was regarded as diagnostic in lieu of further confirmation.

Data on CHD was analysed for sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values, and positive and negative likelihood ratios for all CHD, as well as for the seven selected critical CHD. Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of CHD babies that were diagnosed before discharge from SGH. Specificity was defined as the proportion of babies without CHD who were assessed as having been well during inpatient stay. Echocardiographic records were unavailable for babies born before 2007. Positive predictive value was the probability that babies with a positive screen truly had CHD. Negative predictive value was the probability that babies with a negative screen truly did not have CHD. A positive likelihood ratio was defined as the likelihood that a positive result on screening would be expected in a patient with CHD as compared to the likelihood that the same result would be expected in a patient without CHD. A negative likelihood
ratio was the likelihood that a negative result would be expected in a patient with CHD as compared to the likelihood that the same result would be expected in a patient without CHD.\(^{(17)}\)

**RESULTS**

Over the ten-year period from 2003 to 2012, there were 16,059 live births, of which 410 had a cardiac lesion. After excluding 171 cases of isolated patent ductus arteriosus, 80 cases of atrial septal defect/patent foramen ovale, three cases of persistent pulmonary hypertension of the newborn and one case of pericardial effusion secondary to central line extravasation, the remaining 155 cases were analysed (Fig. 1). The incidence of CHD at birth was 9.7 per 1,000 live births (155 out of 16,059). Of these, 108 cases were diagnosed prior to discharge from SGH, while the remaining 47 cases with apparent healthy birth were discovered in the KKH cardiology database. There was no significant change in the live birth incidence of CHD over the ten-year period (Fig. 2). With two-tailed values and \(p < 0.05\) as the level of significance using the Z-test for a difference in proportion, the incidence in the first five years was not significantly different from the latter half.

The mean (± standard deviation [SD]) gestational age and birth weight of the study population was 36.6 ± 3.4 weeks and 2,705 g ± 802 g, respectively. Most (112/155, 72.3%) of the babies were classified as appropriate for gestational age, while 29 (18.7%) were considered small for gestational age and 9 (5.8%) were considered large for gestational age. Mean (± SD) maternal age at delivery was 31.0 ± 5.7 years. The median (range) Apgar scores at 1 minute and 5 minutes were 8 (3–9) and 9 (5–10), respectively. Of the 155 cases, 85 (54.8%) were female and most (92.3%) did not have a positive family history of CHD.

The chief presentation that prompted cardiology referral for the 108 inpatients was the presence of a murmur (83, 76.9%). Other signs such as tachypnoea (9, 8.3%) and cyanosis (4,
3.7%) were far less common. 10 (9.3%) asymptomatic babies were referred because of abnormal antenatal imaging or following the diagnosis of a syndrome. Table I shows the types of CHD according to inpatient (SGH neonatal unit) and outpatient (KKH cardiology database) diagnoses.

The commonest lesion found among inpatients was ventricular septal defect (54.8%), followed by pulmonary stenosis (17.4%). However, the KKH cardiology database showed that pulmonary stenosis (44.7%) was the commonest CHD detected after discharge from the SGH neonatal unit, followed by ventricular septal defect (27.7%). Of the cases diagnosed at KKH following discharge from SGH, one case was a critical CHD in a four-day-old baby who presented at the KKH emergency department in a state of cardiovascular collapse and was eventually diagnosed with coarctation of the aorta.

Table I. Types of CHD classified by the ICD9-BPA classification system for inpatients (n = 108) and outpatients (n = 47).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-9 BPA classification</th>
<th>Type of CHD</th>
<th>Inpatient diagnosis (n = 108)</th>
<th>Outpatient diagnosis (n = 47)</th>
<th>Total (n = 155)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>745.10</td>
<td>Transposition of the great arteries</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.20</td>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.40</td>
<td>Ventricular septal defect</td>
<td>72 (66.7)</td>
<td>13 (27.7)</td>
<td>85 (54.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.69</td>
<td>Atrioventricular septal defect</td>
<td>3 (2.8)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.90</td>
<td>Unspecified defect of septal closure</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.00</td>
<td>Pulmonary valve anomaly, unspecified</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.01</td>
<td>Pulmonary atresia</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.02</td>
<td>Pulmonary stenosis</td>
<td>6 (5.6)</td>
<td>21 (44.7)</td>
<td>27 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.09</td>
<td>Other pulmonary valve defects</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.30</td>
<td>Congenital stenosis of aortic valve</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.60</td>
<td>Congenital mitral insufficiency</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.70</td>
<td>Hypoplastic left heart syndrome</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.87</td>
<td>Dextrocardia</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.90</td>
<td>Unspecified anomaly of heart</td>
<td>6 (5.6)</td>
<td>5 (10.6)</td>
<td>11 (7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.10</td>
<td>Coarctation of the aorta</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
<td>3 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.21</td>
<td>Anomalies of aortic arch</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.30</td>
<td>Anomalies of pulmonary artery</td>
<td>2 (1.9)</td>
<td>2 (4.3)</td>
<td>4 (2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.41</td>
<td>Total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.49</td>
<td>Other anomalies of great veins</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.90</td>
<td>Unspecified anomaly of circulatory system</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BPA: British Paediatric Association; CHD: congenital heart disease; ICD-9: International Classification of Diseases Ninth Revision
Of the CHD live births, 94.8% (147/155) of the mothers had undergone prenatal ultrasonography; of these, 8.2% (12/147) received an antenatal diagnosis of CHD. An additional 25 mothers received a prenatal diagnosis of CHD and opted for pregnancy termination after counselling or having encountered intrauterine death. Only one of these 25 mothers had consented to postmortem examination of her fetus. In this case, although the prenatal imaging was suspicious for a ventricular septal defect, postmortem examination revealed a normal heart with features of Beare-Stevenson syndrome. Therefore, the overall antenatal detection rate of CHD was 36 (12 live births and 24 fetuses) out of 171 pregnancies (i.e. 21.1%).

Table II compares the prenatal and postnatal diagnoses of seven selected critical CHD. Five of the 14 live-born cases of critical CHD were detected prenatally, and the diagnoses included atrioventricular septal defect, hypoplastic left heart syndrome and tetralogy of Fallot. Another 11 fetuses with prenatal diagnosis of critical CHD underwent termination, giving a critical CHD antenatal detection rate of 64.0% (16 out of 25 [14 live births and 11 fetuses]). The antenatal detection rate in the critical CHD group was three times that of the group as a whole (64.0% vs. 21.1%).

**TABLE II. Comparison of antenatal detection with postnatal echocardiography among seven selected critical CHD.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ICD-9 BPA classification</th>
<th>Type of CHD</th>
<th>Live births (n = 14)</th>
<th>Live births with antenatal diagnosis (n = 5)</th>
<th>Terminated fetuses (n = 11)</th>
<th>Live births &amp; terminated fetuses (n = 25)</th>
<th>True antenatal diagnosis (n = 16)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>746.70</td>
<td>Hypoplastic left heart syndrome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/1 (100.0)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4/4 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.10</td>
<td>Transposition of the great arteries</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/2 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.10</td>
<td>Coarctation of the aorta</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2/5 (40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.20</td>
<td>Tetralogy of Fallot</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2/4 (50.0)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4/6 (66.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>745.69</td>
<td>AVSD</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/3 (66.7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4/5 (80.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>746.01</td>
<td>Pulmonary atresia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/2 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747.41</td>
<td>TAPVD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0/1 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AVSD: atrioventricular septal defect; BPA: British Paediatric Association; CHD: congenital heart disease; ICD-9: International Classification of Diseases Ninth Revision; TAPVD: total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage
Performance of a neonatal cardiac screen, comprising prenatal ultrasonography and clinical examination, was calculated separately for babies with any CHD and those with any one of the seven critical CHD. The sensitivity and specificity of screening for any CHD was 64.5% and 99.7%, respectively, while the false-negative and false-positive rates were 35.5% and 0.3%, respectively. The positive and negative predictive values were 66.7% and 99.6%, respectively. The positive and negative likelihood ratios were 215 and 0.36, respectively. Likewise, sensitivity and specificity of screening for critical CHD was 92.9% and 99.1%, respectively, while the false-negative and false-positive rates were 7.1% and 0.9%, respectively. The positive predictive value was 8.9%, while the negative predictive value was 100%. The positive and negative likelihood ratios were 103 and 0.07, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study showed that the incidence of CHD at birth at SGH was 9.7 per 1,000 live births, which appeared marginally higher than that reported in Victoria, Australia (7.8 per 1,000), Atlanta, United States of America (USA; 8.1 per 1,000) and Europe (7.2 per 1,000). A likely explanation is the practically complete case ascertainment due to highly rigorous data verification and maintenance of registries at both SGH (Birth Defect Registry) and KKH (cardiology database). An alternative reason may be the preferential referral pattern of primary healthcare doctors, to send patients to KKH, the largest paediatric cardiac and cardiothoracic service in Singapore. Of interest, there was no significant change in CHD incidence over the ten-year study period.

It was not surprising that the antenatal detection rate was three times higher in the critical CHD group as compared to the group as a whole. Our antenatal detection rate of any CHD of (21.1%) compared favourably with that of a study from the Czech Republic, which reported an
antenatal detection rate of 28.5%. Our antenatal detection rate of critical CHD (64.0%) was in keeping with the range reported in recent years from Utah, USA (39%),(21) the United Kingdom (UK; 35%),(22) Australia (53%) (16) and the Czech Republic (80.7%).(20) Several factors affect the detection rate of an antenatal screening programme, including the operator’s experience, the specific view employed (four-chamber vs. extended examination), as well as the timing and frequency of ultrasonography, which depends on centre-based protocols.(23) Thus, it is challenging to do a direct comparison of antenatal detection rates among different centres.(23)

A wide difference was found in the antenatal detection rate for individual critical CHD. Prenatal detection of hypoplastic left heart syndrome, atrioventricular septal defect and tetralogy of Fallot was generally high at 100.0%, 66.7% and 50.0%, respectively. However, at least half of the cases of transposition of the great arteries, coarctation of the aorta, pulmonary atresia, and the single case of total anomalous pulmonary venous drainage were not detected – a finding that was highly similar to the experience reported elsewhere.(10,11,16)

In 81 mothers who underwent routine prenatal sonograms and delivered babies with isolated ventricular septal defect, only 1 (1.2%) had received the diagnosis prenatally (data not shown). Areas of hypoechogenicity in the four-chamber view present inherent difficulty in diagnosing the exact size and location of an isolated ventricular septal defect.(24) As the septum does not lie in a single plane, it can be difficult to assess the size of the defect in the ventricular septum. True ventricular septal defect is confirmed only when it is visible in at least two different planes. Newer studies have shown greatly improved prenatal detection rates of ventricular septal defect, especially for small isolated defects.(25,26)

Overall, the sensitivity of our cardiac screening programme, comprising prenatal ultrasonography and postnatal clinical examination without pulse oximetry screening, was fairly
good – 64.5% for all CHD and 92.9% for selected critical CHD. This contrasts with the low screening sensitivity for all CHD reported in an eight-year UK study (18%; 191 of 1,067 babies).\(^{(27)}\) When comparing critical CHD, our screening sensitivity was similar to that in a nine-year Swedish study, which reported an overall sensitivity of 80% between 1993 to 2001,\(^{(28)}\) and in a two-year German study involving 34 institutions, which also showed a sensitivity of 80% using prenatal ultrasonography and postnatal physical examination.\(^{(29)}\)

All but one of the 14 patients with critical CHD were diagnosed before discharge from SGH. The only critical CHD undetected before discharge was coarctation of the aorta. In this case, the neonate was discharged from SGH as apparently ‘healthy’, but presented to KKH at Day 4 of life in a critical haemodynamic state. This baby was diagnosed through echocardiography to have severe juxta-ductal coarctation with subaortic ventricular septal defect, presumably after the ductus had closed. It is well known that coarctation of the aorta is very difficult to detect in the first days of life, primarily due to persistent ductal patency\(^{(30-33)}\) and relatively high pulmonary resistance.

The high positive likelihood ratios indicate that in the presence of sufficient risk factors for CHD in the patient (i.e. if pre-test probability is sufficiently high), a positive test results effectively confirms the presence of CHD. The significantly low negative likelihood ratio for critical CHD (0.07) meant that in cases where prior suspicion is low – as in most cases during screening – a negative result would virtually rule out critical CHD.

The present study has several important strengths. Firstly, highly rigorous cross-checking of data across multiple sources prevented double counting and reduced ascertainment bias. Secondly, all CHD were classified in a standard manner using the well-accepted ICD-9–BPA system. Thirdly, measurement bias was minimised, since echocardiography was undertaken by a relatively small number of paediatric cardiologists over the entire ten-year study period.
A possible limitation of our study was the lack of data on apparently healthy babies who may have been diagnosed with CHD in hospitals elsewhere. Furthermore, we did not examine the autopsy records of infants who may have had sudden unexpected death from cardiac causes. It is noteworthy that the critical CHD group was heterogeneous and consisted of very different malformations that have in common the risk of cardiac decompensation, even though the specific CHD that was regarded as ‘critical’ varies between centres. We chose the seven critical CHD on the basis that they were likely to require surgery or catheter-based intervention in the first year of life. A Swedish study regarded all ductal-dependent lesions as critical; these included critical aortic stenosis and aortic coarctation, but excluded tetralogy of Fallot.\(^{34}\) In contrast, the American Academy of Pediatrics/American Heart Association statement used a broader definition consisting of all lesions that required surgery or catheter intervention in the first year of life.\(^{12}\) Our selection was similar to the seven lesions selected by the US Secretary’s Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders in Newborn and Children as the primary target for screening in the US, except the latter excluded aortic coarctation but included truncus arteriosus.\(^{35}\)

In conclusion, the present study reported a CHD incidence of 9.7 per 1,000 live births. The commonest CHD was ventricular septal defect, followed by pulmonary stenosis. The antenatal detection rate was three times higher in the critical CHD group as compared to the group as a whole, with marked differences among individual CHD. The 64.5% sensitivity of CHD screening supports our hypothesis that a significant proportion continues to remain undetected despite prenatal ultrasonography and postnatal clinical examination. The remarkably high positive likelihood ratios indicate that in cases where pre-test probability is sufficiently high, a positive result on screening effectively confirms the presence of CHD. The significantly low negative likelihood ratio for critical CHDs implies that in cases where prior suspicion for CHD is low, as
in most cases during screening, a negative result would virtually rule out critical CHD. Further studies are needed to determine the extent to which incorporation of pulse oximetry screening would improve earlier detection of critical CHD, and thereby reduce the mortality and morbidity associated with CHD among newly born babies.
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Fig. 1 Flowchart shows the enrolment of study subjects with congenital heart disease (CHD).
Fig. 2 Line graph shows the annual prevalence of live births with congenital heart disease (CHD) over a ten-year period.