

## ONLINE FIRST PUBLICATION

Online first papers have undergone full scientific review and copyediting, but have not been typeset or proofread. To cite this article, use the DOIs number provided. Mandatory typesetting and proofreading will commence with regular print and online publication of the online first papers of the *SMJ*.

### **Epidemiology of stillbirths based on different gestational thresholds at a tertiary hospital**

Kok Hian Tan<sup>1</sup>, FAMS, FRCOG, Fei Dai<sup>2</sup>, MD, Mor Jack Ng<sup>2</sup>, BSc,  
Pih Lin Tan<sup>3</sup>, DCH, MRCPCH, Seow Heong Yeo<sup>1</sup>, FAMS, FRCOG,  
Bernard Chern<sup>2</sup>, FAMS, FRCOG

<sup>1</sup>Department of Maternal Fetal Medicine, <sup>2</sup>Division of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Neonatology, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, Singapore

**Correspondence:** Prof Tan Kok Hian, Senior Consultant, Department of Maternal Fetal Medicine, Children Tower, Level 3, KK Women's and Children's Hospital, 100 Bukit Timah Road, Singapore 229899. [tan.kok.hian@singhealth.com.sg](mailto:tan.kok.hian@singhealth.com.sg)

---

**Singapore Med J 2020, 1–16**

<https://doi.org/10.11622/smedj.2020173>

Published ahead of print: 2 December 2020

Online version can be found at  
<http://www.smj.org.sg/online-first>

**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction:** The stillbirth rate is an important public health indicator. We studied the distribution of maternal and fetal characteristics and time trends of the stillbirth rate (SBR) at KK Women's and Children's Hospital (KKH) in Singapore from 2004 to 2016 based on various definitions of stillbirth.

**Methods:** Data was obtained from the Data Warehouse and Stillbirth Reporting System of KKH from 2004 to 2016. SBRs were calculated based on three definitions (fetal deaths at  $\geq 20$  weeks, 24 weeks or 28 weeks of gestation per 1,000 total births) and were described with maternal and fetal characteristics, and by year.

**Results:** From 2004 to 2016, the SBR declined by 44.7%, 25.5% and 18.9% based on Definitions I, II and III, respectively. The SBR at KKH in 2016 was 5.2 (Definition I), 4.1 (Definition II) and 3.0 (Definition III) per 1,000 total births. The SBR was significantly higher in women aged  $\geq 35$  years, nulliparas and female fetuses. The number of live births at 24–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks of gestation was more than four times higher than that of stillbirths (822 vs. 176). There were 104 (12.7%) neonatal deaths during this gestation period, giving a high survival rate of 87.3%.

**Conclusion:** The SBR in KKH is relatively low compared to other developed countries. There is a need to consider revising our hospital and national definitions of the stillbirth lower boundary from 28 weeks to 24 weeks of gestation. This would allow us to make better comparisons with other developed countries, in line with improvements in healthcare.

*Keywords: definition, epidemiology, gestation, stillbirth*

## INTRODUCTION

Stillbirth rates (SBRs), including antepartum and intrapartum fetal deaths, are important public health indicators and directly reflect the quality of care provided to women during pregnancy and the peripartum period. For decades, neonatal death has attracted most attention worldwide,<sup>(1-3)</sup> but stillbirth, particularly the antepartum fetal death, has been understudied. Antepartum fetal death accounts for approximately half of stillbirths in developing countries and nine-tenths in developed countries.<sup>(4)</sup> Many countries do not have vital statistics reporting systems. Even in some developed countries, stillbirths, especially at an earlier gestational age,<sup>(5)</sup> are frequently under-reported. In surveys, stillbirths are frequently combined with early neonatal deaths and reported as perinatal mortality. The combination may mask reporting differences, systematic misclassification, variation in trends and different solutions.<sup>(6)</sup>

In developed countries, the main prevention strategy for antepartum fetal death involves identification of stillbirth risk factors, such as maternal diabetes mellitus, preeclampsia, fetal distress, intrauterine growth retardation and postdate pregnancies, and timely delivery by induction of labor or Caesarean section.<sup>(7)</sup> Unfortunately, these strategies have not been very successful in reducing antepartum fetal death, comparing to the efforts on reducing intrapartum fetal death. This may explain the approximately tenfold greater prevalence of antepartum fetal deaths in developed countries compared to intrapartum fetal deaths.<sup>(8)</sup>

In addition, stillbirth is often inconsistently defined with regard to the lower boundary of gestational age. Some studies showed that moving from a 28-week to a 22-week threshold can lead to a 40% increase in the number of stillbirths.<sup>(9,10)</sup> The variation in the thresholds not only causes misunderstanding about the SBR but also may impede international comparisons.

Singapore is a developed country and has had a low SBR for decades.<sup>(11)</sup> However, the lower boundary of gestational age for stillbirth remains at 28 weeks of gestation. This is in contrast to other developed countries, such as the United Kingdom (UK), the United States

(USA) and Australia, where the boundary of gestational age for stillbirths has been lowered (24 weeks in the UK and 20 weeks in the USA and Australia). Our institution, KK Women's and Children's Hospital (KKH), is the largest women and children's hospital, accounting for approximately one-third of all births in Singapore. In May 2001, an extended stillbirth reporting system was introduced. Fetal deaths (stillbirths) at 20 weeks of gestation or more have been recorded since then, which makes it possible to estimate a compatible SBR to that in other developed countries.

Our study aimed to (a) present the distribution of maternal and fetal characteristics and the time trends of SBR at KKH from 2004 to 2016 according to different definitions of stillbirth; (b) examine risk factors for stillbirths; and (c) compare our SBR with those of other developed countries.

## **METHODS**

Data was extracted from the hospital clinical database, Data Warehouse and KKH Extended Stillbirth Reporting System. A total of 1,538 cases from 2004 to 2016 were extracted for possible inclusion. We excluded 14 fetal deaths whose gestational age was less than 20 weeks, 12 fetuses with poor quality data and 367 abortus from social termination of pregnancy. We also excluded 106 cases of severe prematurity born with very dismal prospect of viability, where after review, assessment and counselling, it was decided prior to or immediately after delivery that resuscitation would be futile in view of very extreme prematurity (20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation) and poor medical condition. In general, resuscitation guidelines at KKH for pre-viable gestations (20–22<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation) and borderline viability (23–24<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation) deliveries are based on recommendations by the Nuffield Council on Bioethics.<sup>(12)</sup> Live births from 20 to 22<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation are given comfort care until demise. At 23–24<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation, parents are counselled prior to delivery by a multidisciplinary team of

neonatologists and obstetricians (i.e. High Risk Consult perinatal team) regarding the decision for resuscitation. Statistics based on survival and morbidities<sup>(13,14)</sup> and the obstetric and fetal conditions are shared with parents. Based on the parental decision, live births at these gestations are resuscitated or given comfort care. Of the live births in the present study, 46 at 23–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation and 11 at 24–24<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation, respectively, were given comfort care according to the parental decision. Therefore, 1,039 stillbirths were included in the final analysis.

The database contained information on maternal demographic characteristics such as maternal age, maternal age group (< 20 years, 20–24 years, 25–29 years, 30–34 years and  $\geq$  35 years) and ethnicity (Chinese, Malay, Indian and Others), as well as pregnancy information, including gestational age (20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks, 24–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks and  $\geq$  28 weeks), parity (0 previous birth or  $\geq$  1 previous births) and fetal gender (male or female).

We used three different stillbirth definitions. Definition I was fetal deaths at  $\geq$  20 weeks of gestation per 1,000 total births. If data on gestational age was missing, fetal deaths whose birth weights were  $\geq$  400 g were included. Definition II was fetal deaths at  $\geq$  24 weeks of gestation per 1,000 total births. If data on gestational age was missing, fetal deaths whose birth weights were  $\geq$  500 g were included. Definition III was fetal deaths at  $\geq$  28 weeks of gestation per 1,000 total births. If data on gestational age was missing, fetal deaths whose birth weights were  $\geq$  1,000 g were included.

Maternal and fetal characteristics were expressed as numbers and percentages for categorical variables. Logistic regression was used to examine the risk factors for stillbirth. Maternal age, ethnicity, parity, fetal gender, weeks of gestation and delivery years were included in the logistic regression model. Chi-square test for trend was performed to examine the SBR trend by years. All analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). This study was approved by the ethics committee at KKH.

## RESULTS

The total number of obstetric deliveries at KKH between 2004 and 2016 was 157,039. In the same period, there were 1,039 stillbirths at 20 weeks of gestation or more. The average maternal age was  $30.6 \pm 5.9$  years, median birth weight was 722 g (interquartile range 475–1,732 g) and median gestational age was 27 weeks (interquartile range 22–33 weeks).

Table I shows the maternal and fetal characteristics of live births and fetal deaths at  $\geq 20$  weeks of gestation at KKH between 2004 and 2016. 26.4% of stillbirth cases had a maternal age  $\geq 35$  years and 48.4% were nullipara. Up to 52.8% of the stillbirths were between 20 and 27 gestational weeks.

**Table I. Distribution of live births and stillbirths at  $\geq 20$  weeks of gestation by maternal and fetal characteristics.**

Characteristic	No. (%)	
	Live births (n = 157,039)	Stillbirths* (n = 1,039)
<b>Age group (yr)</b>		
< 20	5,700 (3.6)	37 (3.6)
20–24	21,630 (13.8)	125 (12.1)
25–29	47,765 (30.4)	266 (25.8)
30–34	51,387 (32.7)	331 (32.1)
$\geq 35$	30,554 (19.5)	272 (26.4)
Missing data	3	8
<b>Race/ethnicity</b>		
Chinese	71,535 (45.6)	491 (49.0)
Malay	43,889 (27.9)	263 (26.2)
Indian	18,701 (11.9)	41 (4.1)
Other	22,888 (14.6)	207 (20.7)
Missing data	26	37
<b>Parity</b>		
Nullipara	65389 (41.6)	484 (48.4)
Multipara	91650 (58.4)	515 (51.6)
Missing data	0	40
<b>Gestation age (wk)</b>		
20–23	43 (0)	367 (35.3)
24–27	822 (0.5)	182 (17.5)
$\geq 28$	155,000 (99.5)	490 (47.2)
Missing data	1,174	0
<b>Fetal gender</b>		
Male	81,321 (51.8)	440 (51.2)
Female	75,693 (48.2)	419 (48.8)

Missing data	25	180
--------------	----	-----

\*At  $\geq 20$  gestation weeks.

The SBR was significantly higher in women aged  $\geq 35$  years across the different definitions of SBR (Table II). Nulliparas and female fetuses had a significantly higher SBR than multiparas and male fetuses, respectively.

**Table II. Stillbirth rate by maternal and fetal characteristics according to three different definitions.**

Characteristic	No. of live births	No. (per 1,000)		
		Definition I	Definition II	Definition III
<b>Total no. (%)</b>	157,039	1,039 (6.6)	672 (4.3)	490 (3.1)
<b>Age group (yr)</b>				
< 20	5,700	37 (6.5)	26 (4.6)	18 (3.2)
$\geq 20$	21,630	125 (5.8)	78 (3.6)	66 (3.1)
$\geq 25$	47,765	266 (5.6)	182 (3.8)	132 (2.8)
$\geq 30$	51,387	331 (6.4)	199 (3.9)	136 (2.6)
$\geq 35$	30,554	272 (8.9) <sup>†</sup>	179 (5.9) <sup>†</sup>	135 (4.4)
p-value*		0.012	0.013	0.002
<b>Ethnicity</b>				
Chinese	71,535	491 (6.9)	294 (4.1)	206 (2.9)
Malay	43,889	263 (6.0)	191 (4.4)	155 (3.5) <sup>†</sup>
Indian	18,701	41 (2.2) <sup>‡</sup>	33 (1.8) <sup>‡</sup>	21 (1.1) <sup>‡</sup>
Other	22,888	207 (9.0) <sup>‡</sup>	123 (5.4) <sup>‡</sup>	92 (4.0) <sup>‡</sup>
p-value		0.000	0.000	0.000
<b>Parity</b>				
0 previous births	65,389	484 (7.4)	325 (5.0)	232 (3.5)
$\geq 1$ previous births	91,650	515 (5.6)	333 (3.6)	250 (2.7)
p-value		0.000	0.000	0.001
<b>Fetal gender</b>				
Male	81,321	440 (5.4)	270 (3.3)	198 (2.4)
Female	75,693	419 (5.5)	306 (4.0)	235 (3.1)
p-value		0.012	0.012	0.007

\*Maternal age, race, parity, fetal sex, weeks of gestation and delivery years were included in the logistic regression model. <sup>†</sup>Compared with the first group ( $p < 0.05$ ). <sup>‡</sup>Compared with the first group ( $p < 0.01$ ).

Table III shows the number of stillbirths in different weeks of gestation (20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks, 24–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks and  $\geq 28$  weeks) and the downward trend in SBR for the three groups. The decline was statistically significant for the 20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks' group. The SBR generally showed

a downward trend over the years (Table IV). From 2004 to 2016, the SBR declined by 44.7%, 25.5% and 18.9% based on Definitions I, II and III, respectively. The decline was statistically significant for SBR based on Definition I. By 2016, the SBR at KKH was 5.2, 4.1 and 3.0 per 1,000 total births according to Definitions I, II and III, respectively. Table V illustrates the survival rates of live births by gestation in comparison with the stillbirths.

**Table III. Stillbirths by number of weeks of gestation from 2004 to 2016.**

Yr	Total live births	No. (per 1,000)			
		Total stillbirths	20–23 <sup>+6</sup> wk	24–27 <sup>+6</sup> wk	≥ 28 wk
Total	157,039	1,039 (6.6)	376 (2.4)	182 (1.2)	490 (3.1)
2004	13,375	126 (9.4)	53 (4.0)	24 (1.8)	49 (3.7)
2005	12,743	102 (8.0)	48 (3.8)	12 (0.9)	42 (3.3)
2006	12,207	100 (8.2)	45 (3.7)	9 (0.7)	46 (3.8)
2007	12,304	106 (8.6)	47 (3.8)	17 (1.4)	42 (3.4)
2008	12,535	80 (6.4)	32 (2.6)	12 (1.0)	36 (2.9)
2009	12,156	62 (5.1)	28 (2.3)	10 (0.8)	24 (2.0)
2010	11,309	58 (5.1)	15 (1.3)	10 (0.9)	33 (2.9)
2011	11,829	80 (6.8)	23 (1.9)	14 (1.2)	43 (3.6)
2012	11,838	90 (7.6)	25 (2.1)	19 (1.6)	46 (3.9)
2013	11,083	58 (5.2)	19 (1.7)	10 (0.9)	29 (2.6)
2014	11,782	62 (5.3)	12 (1.0)	17 (1.4)	33 (2.8)
2015	12,061	54 (4.5)	8 (0.7)	14 (1.2)	32 (2.7)
2016	11,817	61 (5.2)	12 (1.0)	14 (1.2)	35 (3.0)
p-value (trend)			0.000	0.696	0.138

**Table IV. Stillbirth rates by definition from 2004 to 2016.**

Year	Live birth	Stillbirth	No. (per 1,000)		
			Definition I	Definition II	Definition III
Total	157,039	1,039	1,039 (6.6)	672 (4.3)	490 (3.1)
2004	13,375	126	126 (9.4)	73 (5.5)	49 (3.7)
2005	12,743	102	102 (8.0)	54 (4.2)	42 (3.3)
2006	12,207	100	100 (8.2)	55 (4.5)	46 (3.8)
2007	12,304	106	106 (8.6)	59 (4.8)	42 (3.4)
2008	12,535	80	80 (6.4)	48 (3.8)	36 (2.9)
2009	12,156	62	62 (5.1)	34 (2.8)	24 (2.0)
2010	11,309	58	58 (5.1)	43 (3.8)	33 (2.9)
2011	11,829	80	80 (6.8)	57 (4.8)	43 (3.6)
2012	11,838	90	90 (7.6)	65 (5.5)	46 (3.9)
2013	11,083	58	58 (5.2)	39 (3.5)	29 (2.6)
2014	11,782	62	62 (5.3)	50 (4.2)	33 (2.8)
2015	12,061	54	54 (4.5)	46 (3.8)	32 (2.7)



2016	11,817	61	61 (5.2)	49 (4.1)	35 (3.0)
p-value (trend)			0.000	0.213	0.138

**Table V. Survival rates of live births by gestation weeks.**

Gestation (wk)	No.			Survival rate (%)
	Stillbirths	Live births	Neonatal deaths	
20	64	0	0	0.0
21	100	0	0	0.0
22	113	1	1	0.0
23	85	41	19	53.7 (25.3*)
24	50	173	41	76.3 (71.7*)
25	38	182	31	83.0
26	44	212	18	91.5
27	44	255	14	94.5
28	48	314	19	93.9
29	34	378	7	98.1
30	39	482	14	97.1
31	33	633	11	98.3
32	44	859	19	97.8
33	36	1,230	12	99.0
34	35	2,276	17	99.3
35	41	3,692	14	99.6
36	47	7,969	14	99.8
37	36	25,210	16	99.9
38	40	42,815	21	100.0
39	34	42,195	20	100.0
40	12	25,768	10	100.0
41	0	1,156	3	99.7
42	2	102	0	100.0
43	1	11	0	100.0
Missing	19	1,174	—	—
Total	1,039	157,039	321	99.8

*\*Includes those not resuscitated under protocol of prior case review, viability medical assessment, counselling and parental decision. Numbers and gestation weeks of very premature births not resuscitated under protocol were: 4 (20 weeks), 10 (21 weeks), 35 (22 weeks), 46 (23 weeks) and 11 (24 weeks).*

## DISCUSSION

Since May 2001, an extended stillbirth reporting system had been introduced at KKH. Fetal deaths or stillbirths at 20 weeks of gestation or more were reported and basic maternal and fetal information collected. Our study presented the epidemiology of stillbirths, allowing us to better

understand and assess the SBR at KKH. Using different definitions of SBR permitted a comparison of our SBR with those of other developed countries at appropriate levels depending on the definitions.

Numerous studies have demonstrated that older maternal age ( $\geq 35$  years old) is an independent risk factor for stillbirth.<sup>(15-18)</sup> This may be related to the presence of more pregnancy complications in older women, such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, placental problems and multiple gestation.<sup>(19)</sup> Apart from these, having a first birth at an advanced maternal age may be another important factor at KKH. Like other high-income countries, there is an increasing number of women delaying childbearing in Singapore, leading to a growing proportion of primiparous women who are aged older than 35 years. According to KKH's hospital clinical database, the average age of women at first birth in 2015 was 28.8 years, and 11.3% of first birth mothers were over 35 years of age. Various studies suggested that the risk of unexplained stillbirth is increased in older women, even after controlling for risk factors such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, placenta praevia and multiple gestation.<sup>(18,20,21)</sup> An interaction between first birth and advanced maternal age appeared to increase the risk of stillbirth in primiparous older women.<sup>(18)</sup> The estimated risk of stillbirth is one in 116 in a 40-year-old nulliparous woman after 37 weeks of gestation, compared with one in 304 in a multiparous woman of the same age.<sup>(18)</sup> Since in KKH, more than one-fourth of stillbirths are in this group, which is higher than that in the United States (16.3%) and Sweden (19.5%),<sup>(18,22)</sup> more focus should be given to this group.

A study conducted in the United States showed a racial disparity in SBR, which may be contributed by genetics, environment, stress, social issues, access to quality medical care and behaviour.<sup>(23)</sup> In contrast, Singapore is a multiethnic country, and our study population was 45.6% Chinese, 27.9% Malay and 11.9% Indian. In our study, while Malay patients had a significantly higher SBR than Chinese patients for Definition III ( $\geq 28$  weeks), after adjusting

for maternal age, parity, fetal gender, weeks of gestation and delivery years, there was no significant difference in SBR between Chinese and Malay patients for Definitions I and II. Notably, Indians had a significantly lower SBR than Chinese and Malay patients. This may need further investigation, although Indians from different parts of the Indian subcontinent are a heterogenous group, and there may have been classification errors, especially when the 'Others' group had a significantly higher SBR.

While the number of male stillbirths was more than that of female stillbirths, there were also more male births in proportion to the total birth population; this is consistent with the typical gender ratio at birth, which is biased towards the male gender. Thus, the male stillbirth rate for Definition I was marginally lower than that of the female stillbirth rate (5.4 per 1,000 births, 440/81,231 vs. 5.5 per 1,000 births, 419/75,693). This statistically significant elevated risk of stillbirth in females, albeit small, is not consistent with overseas studies, which showed an elevated risk of stillbirth in males.<sup>(24)</sup> As stillbirth data below 28 weeks is not routinely collected in Singapore, this information was hitherto not available, and it can add valuable insights to previous studies on stillbirths, especially those in Singapore that were based on the '28 weeks of gestation or more' definition.<sup>(25-29)</sup>

Over the past 20 years, stillbirth rates in developed countries have declined at rates of 3.0–6.8 per 1,000.<sup>(30,31)</sup> It was estimated that the stillbirth rate in developed regions was 4.5 per 1,000 in 2000 and 3.4 per 1,000 in 2015 (at  $\geq 28$  weeks of gestation).<sup>(32)</sup> The SBR at KKH (at  $\geq 28$  weeks of gestation) is slightly lower than the average SBR in developed countries. Comparing the SBR using the other two definitions from the United States and England, we found that the crude mean SBR at  $\geq 20$  weeks of gestation at KKH (5.2 per 1,000 in 2013) was slightly lower than that of the United States (5.96 per 1,000 in 2013),<sup>(33)</sup> and the SBR at  $\geq 24$  weeks of gestation in 2016 (4.1 per 1,000) was also lower than that of England in 2016 (4.3 per 1,000).<sup>(34)</sup> The SBR (at  $\geq 24$  weeks of gestation) in KKH was 3.8 per 1,000 total births in 2015,

which was lower than the 4.08 (3.51–4.37) per 1,000 in the United Kingdom but higher than the 2.24 (1.81–2.75) per 1,000 in the Netherlands in 2015.<sup>(35)</sup> Despite minor fluctuations from 2010 to 2012, SBR using all three different definitions showed downward trends from 2004 to 2016. The average percentage decline per year using the three different definitions was 1.5%–3.4%, larger than the global rate (estimated at 1.1% per year at  $\geq 28$  weeks of gestation).<sup>(36)</sup>

There was a downward trend in SBR for the three groups ( $\geq 28$  weeks, 24–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks and 20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks of gestation), and the trend was statistically significant for the 20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks' group. This may be related to improved overall obstetric care for all three groups. Other probable reasons for the significant fall in the number of early gestation stillbirths include the fact that voluntary notification was used at KKH. Stillbirths and fetal deaths at 20–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks of gestation are voluntarily reported by the staff for the purpose of audit, and such voluntary systems, especially for earlier gestational ages,<sup>(5)</sup> are prone to under-reporting. This may contribute to the under-ascertainment of cases at later periods, as high rates of voluntary reporting are challenging to maintain. This supports the argument that reporting should be mandatory so that accurate statistics can be obtained. Other possible reasons are the increasing use of interventions at earlier gestation periods such as cervical cerclage at 20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks (which would prolong gestation beyond 24 weeks); the increasing use of early prenatal diagnosis leading to earlier termination of pregnancy for lethal fetal anomalies diagnosed in the later first trimester and early second trimester; and the increasing use of social termination of pregnancy for pregnancies with complications, especially at 20–23<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation before the legal limit of 24 weeks' gestation.

The total number of live births at KKH at 24–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks of gestation was 822 (more than four times the number of stillbirths for the same period) from 2004 to 2016, of which there were 104 (12.7%) neonatal deaths from this group, thus giving a high survival rate of 87.3%. Moving from a 28-week to a 24-week threshold would lead to an approximately 37% increase

in the number of stillbirths (adding 182 more cases to the 490 cases in 2004–2016), similar to international rates.<sup>(9,10)</sup>

It is worthwhile to note that in Singapore, reporting of stillbirths at  $\geq 28$  weeks of gestation is mandated by law. KKH's extended reporting system for stillbirths and fetal deaths at 20–27<sup>+6</sup> weeks of gestation is based on voluntary reporting by staff for audit purposes. This study showed that KKH experienced a significant decline in SBR from 2004 to 2016. Its SBR has been low compared to other developed countries. The information that would be derived from extending the definition of stillbirths is substantial. Singapore is now a developed country with good socioeconomic and health statistics. KKH has excellent perinatal care that is comparable to some of the best in the world, with a high survival rate of 87.3% for births in the 24–27<sup>+6</sup> group. It may be appropriate to consider revising national and hospital definitions to be in line with those of developed countries.<sup>(37)</sup> Extended perinatal mortality reporting on a routine basis would allow us to better compare perinatal performance and statistics at all levels.

High-quality data and comprehensive information are very important for evaluating the SBR and comparing it with those of other countries. We found that the current extended stillbirth reporting system at KKH was useful, necessary and feasible, and can be adopted and adapted by other institutions across the country.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to acknowledge and thank all the staff who participated in data collection and management.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Shiffman J. Issue attention in global health: the case of newborn survival. *Lancet* 2010; 375:2045-9.

2. Knippenberg R, Lawn JE, Darmstadt GL, et al; Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team. Systematic scaling up of neonatal care in countries. *Lancet* 2005; 365:1087-98.
3. Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J; Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team. 4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why? *Lancet* 2005; 365:891-900.
4. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Waiswa P, et al. Stillbirths: rates, risk factors, and acceleration towards 2030. *Lancet* 2016; 387:587-603.
5. Phelan ST, Goldenberg R, Alexander G, Cliver SP. Perinatal mortality and its relationship to the reporting of low-birthweight infants. *Am J Public Health* 1998; 88:1236-9.
6. Kramer MS, Liu S, Luo Z, et al; Fetal and Infant Health Study Group of the Canadian Perinatal Surveillance System. Analysis of perinatal mortality and its components: time for a change? *Am J Epidemiol* 2002; 156:493-7.
7. Rouse DJ, Owen J, Goldenberg RL, Cliver SP. Determinants of the optimal time in gestation to initiate antenatal fetal testing: a decision-analytic approach. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1995; 173:1357-63.
8. Goldenberg RL, Kirby R, Culhane JF. Stillbirth: a review. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2004; 16:79-94.
9. Smith GC, Fretts RC. Stillbirth. *Lancet* 2007; 370:1715-25.
10. Goldenberg RL, McClure EM. Reducing intrapartum stillbirths and intrapartum-related neonatal deaths. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2009; 107 Suppl 1:S1-3.
11. Tan KH. Perinatal Mortality in Singapore. In: Tan KH, Tay EH, eds. *The History of Obstetrics & Gynaecology in Singapore*. Obstetrical & Gynaecological Society of Singapore and National Heritage Board. Singapore: Armour Publishing, 2003: 297-301.
12. Nuffield Council on Bioethics. *Critical care decisions in fetal and neonatal medicine: ethical issues*. London: Nuffield Council on Bioethics, 2006.

13. Agarwal P, Sriram B, Lim SB, Tin AS, Rajadurai VS. Borderline viability--neonatal outcomes of infants in Singapore over a period of 18 years (1990-2017). *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 2013; 42:328-37.
14. Agarwal P, Sriram B, Rajadurai VS. Neonatal outcome of extremely preterm Asian infants  $\leq 28$  weeks over a decade in the new millennium. *J Perinatol* 2015; 35:297-303.
15. MacDorman, MF, Kirmeyer S. The challenge of fetal mortality. NCHS Data Brief No. 16. In: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [online]. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db16.htm>. Accessed June 25, 2019.
16. O'Leary CM, Bower C, Knuiman M, Stanley FJ. Changing risks of stillbirth and neonatal mortality associated with maternal age in Western Australia 1984-2003. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2007; 21:541-9.
17. Getahun D, Ananth CV, Kinzler WL. Risk factors for antepartum and intrapartum stillbirth: a population-based study. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 196:499-507.
18. Reddy UM, Ko CW, Willinger M. Maternal age and the risk of stillbirth throughout pregnancy in the United States. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2006; 195:764-70.
19. MacDorman MF, Kirmeyer SE, Wilson EC. Fetal and perinatal mortality, United States, 2006. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2012; 60:1-22.
20. Frøen JF, Arnestad M, Frey K, et al. Risk factors for sudden intrauterine unexplained death: epidemiologic characteristics of singleton cases in Oslo, Norway, 1986-1995. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2001; 184:694-702.
21. Huang DY, Usher RH, Kramer MS, et al. Determinants of unexplained antepartum fetal deaths. *Obstet Gynecol* 2000; 95:215-21.
22. Waldenström U, Cnattingius S, Norman M, Schytt E. Advanced maternal age and stillbirth risk in nulliparous and parous women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2015; 126:355-62.

23. Rowland Hogue CJ, Silver RM. Racial and ethnic disparities in United States: stillbirth rates: trends, risk factors, and research needs. *Semin Perinatol* 2011; 35:221-33.
24. Mondal D, Galloway TS, Bailey TC, Mathews F. Elevated risk of stillbirth in males: systematic review and meta-analysis of more than 30 million births. *BMC Med* 2014; 12:220.
25. Yeong CT, Tan KH, Tee CS, Yeo GS. Optimising management of stillbirths in modern Singapore. *Singapore Med J* 1997; 38:317-20.
26. Tan KH, Wyldes MP, Settatee R, Mitchell T. Confidential regional enquiry into mature stillbirths and neonatal deaths--a multi-disciplinary peer panel perspective of the perinatal care of 238 deaths. *Singapore Med J* 1999; 40:251-5.
27. Tham WL, Tan KH, Tee CS, Yeo GS. Confidential enquiry of stillbirths in current obstetric practice. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 1999; 64:287-96.
28. Lim TL, Tan KH, Tee CS, Yeo GS. Investigating stillbirths using a simplified obstetric events-based protocol. *Singapore Med J* 2005; 46:63-8.
29. Han JY, Huang EY, Tan KH. Targeted patient education: the way forward in reducing stillbirth rates. *Perinatology* 2018; 19:41-9.
30. McClure EM, Wright LL, Goldenberg, RL, et al; NICHD FIRST BREATH Study Group. The global network: a prospective study of stillbirths in developing countries. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2007; 197:247.e1-5.
31. Yakoob MY, Lawn JE, Darmstadt GL, Bhutta ZA. Stillbirths: epidemiology, evidence, and priorities for action. *Semin Perinatol* 2010; 34:387-94.
32. Blencowe H, Cousens S, Jassir FB, et al; Lancet Stillbirth Epidemiology Investigator Group. National, regional, and worldwide estimates of stillbirth rates in 2015, with trends from 2000: a systematic analysis. *Lancet Glob Health* 2016; 4:e98-108.



33. MacDorman MF, Gregory EC. Fetal and perinatal mortality: United States, 2013. *Natl Vital Stat Rep* 2015; 64:1-24.
34. Births in England and Wales: 2016. Live births, stillbirths, and the intensity of childbearing measured by the total fertility rate. In: Office for National Statistics [online]. Available at: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarriages/livebirths/bulletins/birthsummarytablesenglandandwales/2016>. Accessed June 25, 2019.
35. GBD 2015 Child Mortality Collaborators. Global, regional, national, and selected subnational levels of stillbirths, neonatal, infant, and under-5 mortality, 1980-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016; 388:1725-74
36. Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Pattinson R, et al; Lancet's Stillbirths Series steering committee. Stillbirths: where? When? Why? How to make the data count? *Lancet* 2011; 377:1448-63.
37. Tan KH, Yeo GS. Is it time to change the definition of perinatal mortality in Singapore? MOH-RCH Update with KK Women's and Children's Hospital 6th Paediatrics Annual Scientific Meeting. Oral Presentation, 9-11 October 2003. Abstract Book SOP10.