

ONLINE FIRST PUBLICATION

Online first papers have undergone full scientific review and copyediting, but have not been typeset or proofread. To cite this article, use the DOIs number provided. Mandatory typesetting and proofreading will commence with regular print and online publication of the online first papers of the *SMJ*.

Is routine chest radiography after ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomy necessary?

Yong Quan Alvin Soon¹, MBBS FRCR, Kian Wei Alvin Tan², MBBS,
Lakshmi Kumar², MBBS, Uei Pua¹, MBBS FRCR

¹Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, ²Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Correspondence: Dr Alvin Soon, Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, 11 Jalan Tan Tock Seng, Singapore 308433. alvin_yq_soon@ttsh.com.sg

Singapore Med J 2019, 1–11

<https://doi.org/10.11622/smedj.2019154>

Published ahead of print: 2 December 2019

Online version can be found at
<http://www.smj.org.sg/online-first>

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Many institutions still perform routine chest radiographs after tube thoracostomies despite current guidelines suggesting that this is not necessary for simple cases. We aimed to evaluate the usefulness of routine chest radiography following ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomies for the detection of complications of symptomatic pleural effusions in hospitalised patients.

Methods: This was a retrospective review of 2,032 ultrasonography-guided thoracostomies on hospitalised patients with symptomatic effusions at a single institution from April 2012 to May 2015. The aetiology of effusions was not systemically registered, but patient demographics, procedural details and clinical outcomes were collected. Data was analysed using descriptive statistics and chi-square test. Generalised estimating equation analysis was performed to assess the relationship between chest radiography findings and complications, while controlling for age.

Results: Out of 2,032 chest radiographs performed, 92.96% (n = 1,889) were normal, 5.81% (n = 118) showed pneumothorax and 1.23% (n = 25) showed catheter kinking. 99 pneumothoraces and 24 kinked catheters were detected in the first hour post procedure. 97.40% (n = 115) of patients with pneumothorax were stable or had minor complication, such as vasovagal event. 0.20% (n = 4) of patients had serious complication post chest drain insertion, resulting in cardiovascular collapse. There was no significant relationship between chest radiography results and the occurrence of complications (p = 0.244). The amount of fluid drained or side of insertion did not affect the clinical outcome of patients.

Conclusion: Routine use of chest radiography after tube thoracostomy did not significantly change patient management, which is concordant with recent guidelines. Instead, adverse clinical outcomes or procedural factors should guide investigations.

Keywords: drainage, pleural effusion, pneumothorax, thoracostomy, ultrasound

INTRODUCTION

Small-bore catheters (7–14Fr) have been gaining popularity in recent years for the treatment of symptomatic effusions.⁽¹⁾ Current practice guidelines do not require chest radiography to be performed after simple pleural aspiration unless: air was withdrawn; the procedure was difficult; multiple attempts were required; or the patient became symptomatic.⁽¹⁾ Several studies have also shown that postprocedure complications of ultrasonography-guided drainage of pleural effusions are low,⁽¹⁻⁷⁾ with few clinically significant events that require urgent intervention.⁽⁸⁾ Furthermore, it has been shown that the insertion of pigtail catheters results in low complication rates when compared to large-bore chest tubes.^(1,9-11) It has been our department's experience that small-bore catheter insertion under ultrasonography guidance results in accurate positioning and minimal complications in the setting of symptomatic pleural effusions. However, many institutions continue to routinely perform chest radiography following tube thoracostomy despite contrary recommendations by existing guidelines. The objective of this study was to assess the value of routine chest radiography after ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomies for the detection of complications of symptomatic pleural effusions in hospitalised patients.

METHODS

This study was approved by the institutional ethics review board. A retrospective review of the radiology information system database at the Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, was performed. All ultrasonography-guided pleural drainages performed by interventional radiologists at our department for hospitalised patients with symptomatic effusions from April 2012 to May 2015 were selected. Chest radiography was routinely obtained at our institution after pleural drainage. The aetiology of effusions was not systemically registered. Data on patient demographics, side of tube insertion, size of tube used,

amount of fluid drained, time interval of the radiograph from the completion of procedure, radiography findings and clinical outcomes was collected.

2,050 ultrasonography-guided pleural drainages were performed on 1,539 patients during the 37-month study period. 18 pleural procedures on 13 patients were excluded, as the postprocedure chest radiography was not performed. A total of 2,032 ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomies performed on 1,526 patients were thus included for analysis. Each thoracostomy was considered as a unit of analysis.

For the small-bore pigtail catheter insertion technique, the catheters were inserted under direct ultrasonography guidance. First, the drainage site was determined by ultrasonography, identifying the main collection of pleural fluid. Local anaesthesia was administered into the chest wall. Subsequent insertion of an 18G Surflo venula (Terumo, Tokyo, Japan), into the pleural cavity was performed under direct ultrasonographic guidance. After confirmatory aspiration of the pleural fluid, a J-tipped guidewire was inserted into the pleural cavity after removal of the stylet. Sequential soft-tissue dilators were used to dilate the tract before passing the small-bore pleural catheter of choice into the pleural cavity. The final catheter position was determined by ultrasonography before the catheter was finally secured with anchoring sutures to a stoma base plate. Up to 1 L of pleural fluid was hand aspirated in most cases before clamping the drainage catheter. The drainage catheter was immediately connected to an underwater seal. A postprocedure chest radiograph was done.

Data was analysed using descriptive statistics. Comparison between two groups was carried out using chi-square test. Generalised estimating equation statistical analysis was performed to assess the relationship between chest radiography findings and complications, while controlling for age. The analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

There were 894 (58.58%) men and 632 (41.42%) women, with a mean age of 67 ± 14 (range 17–102) years. Pigtail catheters in the range 8Fr–14Fr were used for pleural drainage. 99.61% ($n = 2,024$) of the catheters used were 10Fr Navarre; (Bard, NJ, USA), or ReSolve; (Merit Medical, UT, USA), catheters. 89.22% of the postprocedure radiographs were performed within an hour and 97.44% were performed within 4 hours (Table I) of the ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomy. Only 0.74% ($n = 15$) of pleural procedures had chest radiography performed after 12 hours of the catheter thoracostomy, and among these, one patient had cardiovascular collapse.

Table I. Timing of chest radiography after procedure versus chest radiography findings ($n = 2,032$).

Timing of chest radiography after insertion (hr)	Chest radiography finding (no. [%])			
	Pneumothorax	Catheter kinking	Normal	Total
< 1	99 (4.90)	24 (1.20)	1,690 (83.17)	1,813 (89.22)
1–4	9 (0.40)	1 (0.05)	157 (7.73)	167 (8.22)
4–12	7 (0.30)	0 (0)	30 (1.48)	37 (1.82)
12–24	1 (0.05)	0 (0)	6 (0.30)	7 (0.34)
> 24	2 (0.10)	0 (0)	6 (0.30)	8 (0.39)
Total	118 (5.81)	25 (1.23)	1,889 (92.96)	2,032 (100.00)

92.96% ($n = 1,889$) of chest radiographs were normal. 5.81% ($n = 118$) of radiographs showed pneumothorax and 1.23% ($n = 25$) had catheter kinking (Table II). 99 pneumothoraces and 24 kinked catheters were detected in the first hour post procedure. 97.40% ($n = 115$) of patients with pneumothorax were stable or had minor complications, such as vasovagal event.

Table II. Chest radiography findings versus clinical outcomes (n = 2,032).

Chest radiography finding	Clinical outcome (no. [%])			
	Stable	Vasovagal event	Cardiovascular collapse	Total
Pneumothorax	112 (5.51)	3 (0.15)	3 (0.15)	118 (5.81)
Catheter kinking	25 (1.23)	0 (0)	0 (0)	25 (1.23)
Normal	1,847 (90.90)	41 (2.02)	1 (0.05)	1,889 (92.96)
Total	1,963 (96.60)	44 (2.17)	4 (0.20)	2,032 (100.00)

0.20% (n = 4) of patients had serious complication post chest drain insertion, with eventual cardiovascular collapse (Table III). Three of these patients demonstrated pneumothorax on the postprocedure radiograph while one patient had a normal chest radiograph. Three of these patients had the radiograph performed within an hour of the procedure while the fourth patient had the radiograph performed 12–24 hours after the procedure. There was no significant relationship between chest radiography findings and the occurrence of complications (p = 0.244).

60.68% (n = 1,233) of procedures were performed by staff radiologists with at least five years of postfellowship experience. 39.32% (n = 799) of procedures were performed by radiology residents under supervision. Residents had a statistically significant higher rate of complications. 7.51% (60/799) of procedures performed by residents had pneumothorax when compared to 4.70% (58/1,233) of procedures performed by staff radiologists (p < 0.05).

During ultrasonography-guided pleural drainage, 209 (10.29%) of the cases had less than 100 mL of fluid drained, 371 (18.26%) cases had 100–250 mL drained, 437 (21.51%) cases had 251–500 mL drained, 329 (16.19%) cases had 501–750 mL drained, 407 (20.03%) cases had 751–1,000 mL drained, 39 (1.92%) cases had 1,001–1,500 mL drained, 5 (0.24%) cases had over 1,500 mL drained and 235 (11.56%) cases did not have the amount of fluid drained recorded in their procedural notes. 773 (38.04%) cases had drainage in the left hemithorax and 1,259 (61.96%) cases had drainage in the right hemithorax. There was no

significant relationship between volume of fluid drained ($p = 0.10$) or the side of drain insertion ($p = 0.740$) and the occurrence of complications.

Subgroup analysis of pleural procedures with kinked tubes ($n = 25$) demonstrated that 8 (32.0%) cases had less than 100 mL of fluid drained, 8 (32.0%) cases had 100–250 mL drained, 2 (8.0%) cases had 251–500 mL drained, 2 (8.0%) cases had 501–750 mL drained, 3 (12.0%) cases had 751–1,000 mL drained and 2 (8.0%) cases did not have the amount of fluid drained recorded in the procedural notes.

DISCUSSION

Ultrasonography-guided thoracentesis^(5,8,12) and tube thoracostomy^(2,3,11,13) are safe procedures, with few complications. A majority of complications in our patients were detected on postprocedure chest radiography performed within the first hour. However, these complications were not clinically significant, with no cardiovascular collapse noted. Suboptimal catheter placement with kinking will result in poor fluid drainage or resistance to aspiration, which may prompt further investigations. The kinked tubes were repositioned within 24 hours of detection. These tubes were initially assessed to have adequate flow on hand aspiration during the procedure. Movement of the tubes during patient transfer and transport could have occurred despite the anchoring suture, resulting in poor drainage in the wards. The pneumothoraces in patients who developed kinked tubes were small (less than 3 cm apex to cupola distance) and resolved after observation or oxygen therapy; these cases did not require invasive intervention.

Our findings suggest that instead of chest radiography following ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomies being routine, consideration for the performance of postprocedure chest radiography should be guided by procedural factors (e.g. withdrawal of air, difficult procedure and multiple attempts⁽¹⁾), patient's clinical condition(s) and/or catheter

performance. Unstable or deteriorating blood pressure, oxygen saturation or increased oxygen requirement should prompt further investigations. In patients who had cardiovascular collapse in our study, the detection of abnormalities did not predict impending collapse. Incidentally, in one patient who collapsed, the postprocedure chest radiograph was normal. Several authors^(7,8,14,15) have arrived at similar conclusions that postprocedure chest radiography should be guided by clinical symptoms. Our findings also concur with the existing British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines on pleural disease.⁽¹⁾

Varying amounts of pleural fluid were drained from patients in this study. In our practice, this is guided by the size of pleural effusion, whether the patient becomes symptomatic during initial drainage (either coughing or breathlessness), and whether resistance and poor drain performance was encountered during initial drainage. We generally adhere to BTS guidelines as part of our departmental practice, draining up to 1 L of pleural fluid in order to reduce the risk of re-expansion pulmonary oedema. 44 (2.17%) cases had over 1 L of pleural fluid drained during the procedure in our study. No documentation was, however, available for these patients to account for the increased volumes of drainage. Some practitioners may drain more than 1 L for patients with very large recurrent symptomatic pleural effusions to the point of symptom relief on a case-by-case basis.

Subgroup analysis of chest radiographs with kinked catheters revealed that 64.0% (16/25) had drainage volumes of less than 250 mL. This would suggest that in patients with small pleural effusions, it might be difficult to position the catheters in the optimal position due to less space for manipulation.

In our study, a majority of radiographs were normal. Among procedures with abnormal radiographs, nearly all patients were stable and abnormalities were clinically insignificant. For patients who had cardiovascular collapse post procedure, the radiographs did not provide sufficient information to warn of the impending collapse. The patients who collapsed post

catheter insertion were individually reviewed and shown to have severe comorbidities, such as metastatic lung cancer, severe pneumonia, end-stage renal failure, with fluid overload, and polytrauma, with severe injuries. These patients had cardiovascular collapse and died more than 24 hours post procedure.

It was also noted that procedures performed by residents had a statistically significant higher rate of complications. This may be due to prolonged procedure time, resulting in more manipulation. However, postprocedure chest radiograph was still not warranted for such patients, as the pneumothoraces encountered in our study were not clinically significant.

In conclusion, this large-scale, single-centre retrospective study suggested that chest radiography findings following ultrasonography-guided catheter thoracostomies do not often change patient management, which is concordant with the 2010 BTS guidelines.⁽¹⁾ Instead, procedural factors and clinical outcomes, such as unstable vital signs or poor drainage, should guide further investigations in these hospitalised patients. Additional studies may be performed to evaluate reduction in radiation exposure, as well as associated cost-savings if postprocedure radiographs were to be omitted for these patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Ms Sun Bing and Ms Tang Xin from the Clinical Research and Innovation Office, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, for their assistance with statistical analysis.

REFERENCES

1. Havelock T, Teoh R, Laws D, Gleeson F; BTS Pleural Disease Guideline Group. Pleural procedures and thoracic ultrasound: British Thoracic Society Pleural Disease Guideline 2010. *Thorax* 2010; 65 Suppl 2:ii61-76.
2. Horsley A, Jones L, White J, Henry M. Efficacy and complications of small-bore, wire-guided chest drains. *Chest* 2006; 130:1857-63.
3. Liu YH, Lin YC, Liang SJ, et al. Ultrasound-guided pigtail catheters for drainage of various pleural diseases. *Am J Emerg Med* 2010; 28:915-21.
4. Keeling AN, Leong S, Logan PM, Lee MJ. Empyema and effusion: outcome of image-guided small-bore catheter drainage. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2008; 31:135-41.
5. Jones PW, Moyers JP, Rogers JT, et al. Ultrasound-guided thoracentesis: is it a safer method? *Chest* 2003; 123:418-23.
6. Capizzi SA, Prakash UB. Chest roentgenography after outpatient thoracentesis. *Mayo Clin Proc* 1998; 73:948-50.
7. Doyle JJ, Hnatiuk OW, Torrington KG, Slade AR, Howard RS. Necessity of routine chest roentgenography after thoracentesis. *Ann Intern Med* 1996; 124:816-20.
8. Mynarek G, Brabrand K, Jakobsen JA, Kolbenstvedt A. Complications following ultrasound-guided thoracocentesis. *Acta Radiol* 2004; 45:519-22.
9. Cooke DT, David EA. Large-bore and small-bore chest tubes: types, function, and placement. *Thorac Surg Clin* 2013; 23:17-24, v.
10. Fysh ET, Smith NA, Lee YC. Optimal chest drain size: the rise of the small-bore pleural catheter. *Semin Respir Crit Care Med* 2010; 31:760-8.
11. Gammie JS, Banks MC, Fuhrman CR, et al. The pigtail catheter for pleural drainage: a less invasive alternative to tube thoracostomy. *JSLs* 1999; 3:57-61.

12. Cavanna L, Mordenti P, Bertè R, et al. Ultrasound guidance reduces pneumothorax rate and improves safety of thoracentesis in malignant pleural effusion: report on 445 consecutive patients with advanced cancer. *World J Surg Oncol* 2014; 12:139.
13. Jain S, Deoskar RB, Barthwal MS, Rajan KE. Study of pigtail catheters for tube thoracostomy. *Med J Armed Forces India* 2006; 62:40-1.
14. Petersen WG, Zimmerman R. Limited utility of chest radiograph after thoracentesis. *Chest* 2000; 117:1038-42.
15. Alemán C, Alegre J, Armadans L, et al. The value of chest roentgenography in the diagnosis of pneumothorax after thoracentesis. *Am J Med* 1999; 107:340-3.

Table III. Overall data of patients with cardiovascular collapse subsequent to chest drain insertion (n = 4).

Patient no.	Age/gender	Indication	Chest drain detail (size/side)	Timing of chest radiography after insertion (hr)	Vol. of fluid drained (mL)	Chest radiography finding	Cause of cardiovascular collapse
1	86/M	Pleural effusion	10F, left	< 1	1,000	Pneumothorax	Metastatic adenocarcinoma complicated by fluid overload, end-stage renal failure and healthcare-associated pneumonia
2	51/M	Pleural effusion	10F, right	< 1	250	Normal	End-stage renal failure with fluid overload and diabetes mellitus complicated by healthcare-associated pneumonia
3	70/M	Pleural effusion	10F, right	< 1	50 mL	Pneumothorax	Metastatic small cell lung cancer complicated by superior vena cava obstruction
4	53/M	Pleural effusion	10F, left	< 24	430 mL	Pneumothorax	Polytrauma from motor vehicle accident

M: male