Parental knowledge and beliefs on the use of child car restraints in Singapore: a qualitative study
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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Road traffic injuries and fatalities represent a significant public health problem. In Singapore, compliance with appropriate child car restraints (CCRs) is poor. We aimed to understand parental knowledge, beliefs and barriers regarding the use of CCRs.

Methods: In this qualitative study, we conducted five focus group discussions with parents who drive with their children in private cars. Participants were recruited using the KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital’s social media page. Guiding questions were derived by consensus following literature review and adaptation to the Singapore context, exploring parental perceptions of CCR use. Focus group interviews were then transcribed and analysed.

Results: 33 participants were recruited, with an age range of 28–46 (mean age 35.5) years. They had a total of 46 children with ages ranging from 2.5 months to 14 years (mean age 4.2 years). Three key themes were identified: parental knowledge regarding CCRs, barriers to CCR use, and suggestions to increase CCR compliance. Barriers to compliance included lack of knowledge, difficult child behaviour and cultural norms. A multipronged approach was proposed to increase CCR use, including educating the public, reinforcing positive behaviour, legal enforcement as a deterrent to non-compliance, increasing CCR installation services, providing CCR for taxi users and offering financial incentives.

Conclusion: Non-compliance to CCR use is multidimensional, including multiple potentially modifiable factors. This study could inform ongoing collaborative injury prevention efforts among healthcare professionals, industry partners and the traffic police, using public education and outreach to reduce the burden of road traffic injuries.
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INTRODUCTION

Road traffic injuries represent a significant public health issue.(1-3) Accidental injury is the main cause of paediatric death in the United States, with road traffic accidents being the leading cause of injury.(4) Child car restraints (CCRs) are shown to lower the risk of injury by up to 82% and risk of death by 28% compared to seat belts.(5-7) The American Academy of Pediatrics has published evidence-based recommendations for CCRs: rear-facing restraints for infants up to two years of age; forward-facing restraints for children up to four years of age; booster seats for children up to eight years of age; seatbelts for children who outgrow booster seats; and for all children under 13 years of age to travel in the rear seat.(8)

In Asia, given the rapid urbanisation accompanied by an increasing number of vehicles, road traffic injury rates have remained stable or increased in recent years.(2,9-11) In Singapore, a highly urbanised Asian country, the Road Traffic Act states that CCR use is mandatory.(12) However, compliance to the use of appropriate CCRs is poor.(13,14) In a retrospective cohort study of 2,468 road traffic injury patients seen in Singapore paediatric emergency departments, 51% of motor vehicle passengers were unrestrained at the time of the accident. Non-compliance to CCRs is significant even from the infant stage.(13) This contrasts with data from the United States, where non-compliance to CCRs occurs in older age groups.(15) Furthermore, up to 30% of child passengers under the age of 12 are commonly placed in the front seats in Singapore.(13) This is concerning because the younger the child is, the more significant the injuries sustained are, should the child be involved in a motor vehicle collision. These findings represent an issue of public health importance that needs to be addressed by injury researchers and child road safety advocates.

Investigators worldwide are recognising the importance of parental knowledge and attitudes towards establishing compliance with CCRs. Both qualitative and cross-sectional studies have been carried out across Asia, North and South America, Europe, the Middle East
and Africa.\(^{(16-27)}\) These studies found that parental non-compliance to the use of CCRs is multifactorial. Several potential reasons were identified, ranging from knowledge deficits on the importance of CCRs in the event of a collision\(^{(16-27)}\) to practical barriers, such as facing difficult behaviour when the child refuses to stay in the car restraint\(^{(18,21,23-25,27)}\) and the prohibitive cost of the car restraint.\(^{(19-20,22,27)}\)

The question arises of whether the same factors influence parents’ decisions on whether to use child car restraints in Singapore. We aimed to understand the knowledge, beliefs and difficulties experienced by Singapore parents on this issue. We postulated that non-compliance to the use of CCRs is multidimensional, as previously mentioned, and that many of these factors are potentially modifiable. Understanding the root causes would facilitate collaboration with public agencies when designing public awareness campaigns, which are critical to advance injury prevention efforts in the long term.

**METHODS**

A qualitative research approach, specifically focus group discussion, was used to explore participants’ perceptions regarding CCR use. A widely used technique in transportation safety research, focus group discussions allow free discussion among participants on multiple issues to obtain more detailed insights.\(^{(28,29)}\)

We conducted five focus group interviews at KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (KKH), Singapore. KKH has the largest children’s emergency department in Singapore, serving approximately 180,000 patients per year. Upon institutional review board approval (SingHealth IRB reference number 2017/3116), the research team posted the study invitation on the hospital’s social media webpage. Only parents who responded to the invitation were contacted by one of the research team members. Parents who owned private cars and who transported their children in their own cars were included. Non-car owners were excluded.
Each focus group discussion lasted approximately one hour. Only the research team and study participants were present during each interview. Prior to the start of the focus group discussion, written consent was obtained from each parent individually, during which they were allowed to clarify any questions about the study. During the focus group discussion, the moderator used a set of predefined questions derived by consensus after literature review and adaptation to the Singapore context, classified according to the following domains: parental knowledge on the significance of road traffic collisions as a leading cause of death among children and young adults; compliance to child car seats; parental knowledge and perception of the appropriate CCR for children of different ages; and safety of front-seat child passengers (Appendix).

The characteristics of the research team, as per the COREQ (consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research) checklist, were as follows: two of the authors, both female, were the primary focus group interviewers. Dong C is assistant director of a hospital education department and a medical education researcher with a background in educational technology, and has research interests in qualitative analysis; and Chong SL is a paediatric emergency physician-researcher with an interest in public health and child safety. Participants met the research team at a mutually agreed time and the interviewers gave a brief introduction of the study aims prior to commencing the focus group discussion.

From February to May 2018, we conducted five focus group interviews for a total of 33 participants (11 male, 22 female), with 5–8 parents in each session. Each participant attended only one focus group session. None of the participants withdrew from the study. Preliminary data analysis was carried out after each focus group discussion. By the completion of the fifth interview, the data had reached saturation point, indicating that no further new ideas were likely to arise from additional focus groups. The 33 participants’ ages ranged from 28 to 46 (mean 35.5) years. The participants had a total of 46 children with ages ranging from 2.5
months to 14 years (mean 4.2 years). Each participant had 1–4 (mean 1.8) children. The composition of each focus group is shown in Table I.

Table I. Composition of focus groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>No. of participants</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age range (yr)</th>
<th>No. of children</th>
<th>Age of children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 female, 2 male</td>
<td>31–41</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>3 mth–11 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 female, 2 male</td>
<td>28–41</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>8 mth–14 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 female, 4 male</td>
<td>29–46</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>9 mth–9 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6 female, 1 male</td>
<td>28–42</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>2 mth–10 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 female, 2 male</td>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>9 mth–8 yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All interviews were audio recorded and then transcribed. These verbatim transcripts and field notes, taken by research team members, captured information in the participants’ own words. Transcripts were made available to the research team but not returned to the participants for comments, as participants were not contacted following the focus group sessions. Themes were derived from identifying recurring words, phrases and ideas throughout the transcripts. Thematic analysis was performed by three researchers who first coded the transcripts independently and then discussed any discrepancies in the analysis. Analysis was performed until additional layering of themes yielded no further information.

RESULTS

Three key themes were identified: (a) parental knowledge regarding CCRs; (b) barriers to CCR use; and (c) suggestions to increase CCR use. These are presented in table format with supporting representative quotations.

Quotations incorporated five subthemes of parental knowledge regarding CCRs, as summarised in Box 1. Technical knowledge related to car restraints was most frequently cited (n = 33), in particular, when to change to the next age-appropriate car restraints (n = 16), including transitions both from rear-facing to front-facing car seats, and to booster seats.
Among these 16 respondents, 14 were confident of their knowledge in this area and two were unsure. 18 parents commented on their information sources for CCRs, primarily the Internet (n = 10), relatives, friends and colleagues, the news media and department store salespersons. Ten parents noted that the car restraint was important to reduce injuries, citing previous accidents or near-misses, or theoretical knowledge of physics. Seven parents articulated familiarity with the use of CCRs being mandated by law in Singapore.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 1. Parental knowledge regarding child car restraints.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Technical aspects (n = 33)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- When to transition the child through different stages of car restraints (n = 16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Skills for installation and proper use of the car restraint (n = 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- How to get a reliable car restraint (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Whether car restraints expire (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Whether carrying the baby in babywear can substitute for a car restraint (n = 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “I do not know what is the age limit.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “I really don’t know… I thought I remember it’s the weight also.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “I did a lot of research online, YouTube, reading up.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “If you touch it, it seems okay, it seems sturdy and then it seems heavy.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “Not sure if car seats actually have an expiry date.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “Sometimes when my wife uses the baby carrier, we just think, ‘Okay, then just leave the car seat at home.’”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information source (n = 18)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- “Google.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “Word of mouth… cousins, friends who have kids before us.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance or effectiveness of child car restraints (n = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- “If you gave birth to a child, you raise the kid, so you want to protect the child.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “Statistics show that it’s important for kids.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Where to obtain child car restraints (n = 9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- “Department store for babies.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- “Carousell. It’s secondhand.” (Carousell is an online application and shopping platform where secondhand items are sold.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal requirements (n = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- “First of all, it’s a legal requirement to put the kid in a child seat.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nine subthemes encompassing various barriers encountered by parents when using CCRs are presented in Box 2. Parents identified a wide spectrum of knowledge gaps (n = 31). Child behaviour was frequently identified as the reason for non-compliance (n = 22). Inconvenience and the high cost of car restraints were also cited. Injury fallacies included
perceptions that accidents would not happen to them, self-assessment of safe driving skills, and short duration or frequency of being in the car. ‘Normal practice’ was brought up 12 times, highlighting the relative lack of CCR use as the norm in Singapore in contrast to CCR use in other high-income countries such as the United States and Australia. Another common thread mentioned was lack of enforcement. Although CCR use is mandated by law in Singapore, the apparent lack of enforcement resulted in many parents not complying with the use of CCRs. Generational norms were also mentioned. Asian families tend to live in close proximity, with grandparents helping to take care of grandchildren, and many grandparents object to using car restraints due to their bulkiness and inconvenience, or the misperception that car restraints are bad for an infant’s spine.

Box 2. Barriers to child car restraint use.

**Knowledge deficits (n = 31)**
- Newborns (n = 12)
- Installation skills (n = 8)
- Doubt regarding importance/effectiveness (n = 5)
- Technical knowledge (n = 3)
- Lack of public education/reliable information (n = 3)
- “I strapping her in a carrier and I didn’t put the car seat across because I had heard about the child not breathing, being strapped.”
- “At one month, the car seat looks really big and then the baby looks really tiny.”
- “It was really difficult, and we had to read the manual many times and watch YouTube videos to figure out how to fix it.”
- “How do you put (him) in the car seat when he’s so fragile?”
- “A lot of focus is on the infants and babies, but the older kids are being neglected, in a sense, and you can’t really find information on what kind of seats they should use.”
- “For a long time, we don’t see some kind of education materials.”

**Child behaviour (n = 22)**
- Crying and refusal to get into or stay in seat (n = 14)
- Insisting on mimicking older children and adults not in car seats (n = 4)
- Having to change early from rear-facing to front-facing due to behaviour (n = 3)
- Older children unbuckling themselves (n = 1)
- “It was a whole year of crying – every car ride, there was crying.”
- “Because he sees the other two older children without car seats, he thinks, ‘If they can do without car seat, so why am I buckled in a 5-point harness?’”
- “We used rear-facing car seat, so until nine months, we tried the front-facing (car seat), from then on, he didn’t scream.”
- “He knows how to unbuckle the seat belt.”

**Cultural norms (or lack thereof) (n = 12)**
- “In the US (United States), it is very well-enforced and even at the hospital level, you are not allowed to be discharged unless the baby is checked in with a car seat.”
Parents were forthcoming with suggestions to encourage the use of CCRs. Full details are in Box 3. Public education was the most-cited approach (n = 53): parents said information has to be provided by a trustworthy regulatory body; mass media and social media should be used to reach out to the public on the importance of car restraints; antenatal classes and hospitals serve as touch points to engage parents; and, as key stakeholders, children themselves and grandparents should be educated on the use of CCRs. Other suggestions involved reinforcing positive child behaviour, legal enforcement as a deterrent to non-compliance, increasing car restraint installation services, providing car restraints for taxi users and offering financial incentives from the government.

| Societal norm in other high-income countries (n = 9) | But there are a lot of resources, like someone actually helps them check that the car seat is appropriate.” |
| Lack of norms (n = 3) | “Quite a common thing in the other countries, the Scandinavian countries; in the States, it’s a must.” |
| | “We know that in other countries, they are very strict about it.” |
| | “I see a lot of children whose parents let them move around (in the car) without seat belt.” |

| Inconvenience (n = 9) | “If I were to put two car seats in the car, I can’t take any more passengers.” |
| | “Small cars that cannot accommodate big car seats.” |
| | “Who wants to haul it (car seat) around the shopping centre?” |
| | “Taxi drivers aren’t very friendly about it… they are like, ‘Why you take so long?’” |

| Cost (n = 8) | “Retail price of car seats here are a lot more expensive than they are in Australia.” |

| Injury fallacies (n = 6) | “People just think that it won’t happen to them.” |
| | “I drive very well. I’m a very safe driver.” |
| | “The child seldom sits in the car; it’s only during the weekends that we bring her out, and it’s not for long distance.” |

| Lack of law enforcement (n = 6) | “Car seat regulation is not really enforced.” |

| Generational norms (n = 6) | “We grow up in the kind of era (whereby) we don’t even wear seatbelts, let alone car seats.” |
| | “Grandparents are very fixated on them, that something to do with the spine is bad in the car seat.” |

| Differing standards for cars/taxis (n = 4) | “Parents who (don’t) drive and need (transport)… there’s a double standard.” |
| | “They don’t travel much in a car and take public transport instead. So they do not see the need to own a car seat.” |

[Box 3]
Box 3. Suggestions to increase child car restraint use.

**Public education provided by reliable source, e.g., regulatory body/hospital (n = 53)**
- “When it comes to actual car seat information, it has to be tied to a regulatory body of some sort.”
- “Education materials on the TV or on the MRT (mass rapid transit) or anywhere to show people the importance of car seat restraint for children.”
- “Social media will be good to get the message across.”
- “Seeding the idea in antenatal classes.”
- “The hospitals… I think that is a very crucial touch point that we need to have with parents – it’s that when they are leaving, at the point when they are still picking up the baby.”
- “When they do their vaccinations at the polyclinic.”
- “Educate the children when they are in school: ‘Teacher teach me I have to be in the car seat.’”
- “Introduce the importance of the car seat to the grandparents at the course.”

**Ways to encourage children to stay in car restraints (n = 25)**
- “I will prepare a whole basket of their favourite toys.”
- “What helped was the pacifier.”
- “iPads, reading books – the easiest way is to get a device in their hands, so that they will watch.”
- “If you sit next to them, chances are they will not move around.”
- “We instilled the habit in her from the beginning.”
- “I decided not to give in to their tantrums.”

**Make child car restraints available in taxis and provide hospital discharge/installation services (n = 14)**
- “Taxis need some form of car seat available in their boot.”
- “If the hospitals can invest also, especially for parents who take the taxi home, or something like that, that they can actually rent it out for a while.”
- “When babies are being discharged… paid car service with a car seat inside.”
- “Someone actually helps them to check that the car seat is appropriate and installed correctly, and how you fit the baby inside.”

**Law enforcement (n = 11)**
- “Penalties. I think that it always works in the Singaporean context, to fine.”
- “Start enforcing the law.”
- “Enforcement will lead down to social media and publicity.”
- “Making sure the law is enforced will tip the people on the fence.”

**Subsidies and discounts (n = 10)**
- “If it’s a public need, then it should be (subsidised).”

**DISCUSSION**

Previous studies have demonstrated that adherence to best road safety practices in Singapore remains lacking, particularly in the suboptimal use of appropriate CCRs and transporting
children under 10 years of age in the front seat.\textsuperscript{(13,14)} This is the first qualitative study in Singapore using focus group interviews to address the use of CCRs. By interviewing parents who drive their children in their own cars, we identified knowledge deficits and modifiable factors that can guide future public education efforts on CCR use. During the focus group interviews, technical aspects were discussed, especially selecting age-appropriate car restraints. Parents recognised the importance of CCRs and that their use is mandated by Singapore law. Many parents considered lack of knowledge, difficult child behaviour and a perception of cultural or generational norms as key barriers to the widespread acceptance of CCRs. An encouraging fact was that several, if not all, of the barriers were potentially modifiable factors. To this end, parents suggested a multipronged approach to tackle non-compliance, with an emphasis on reliable public education.

Comparing and contrasting the findings of various qualitative and cross-sectional studies exploring parental knowledge on and attitudes towards child car restraint use worldwide (Table II) suggested an overlap between parental views in different countries. Regarding perceived barriers or reasons cited for non-compliance, common themes were identified across studies in high-income countries, as classified by per capita gross national income.\textsuperscript{(31)} These mainly centred around lack of parental knowledge (e.g. believing that the CCR is unnecessary) and difficult child behaviour (e.g. refusal to stay in the car restraint).\textsuperscript{(20,21,23-25)} In upper-middle- and lower-middle-income countries,\textsuperscript{(31)} common themes also included the cost of obtaining a CCR as a barrier, in addition to lack of knowledge and difficult child behaviour.\textsuperscript{(16-19,22,26,27)}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Research method</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country (locality); per capita GNI; mandatory status*</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Main reasons for non-compliance†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative focus group, convenience sampling</td>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Singapore; high-income; mandated</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Knowledge deficits; child behaviour; cultural norms (or lack thereof)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualitative focus group,</td>
<td>Decina et al\textsuperscript{(21)}</td>
<td>USA (4 cities); high-income; mandated</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Lack of familiarity with child booster seats; belief that booster seats do not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Name</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Income Level</td>
<td>Mandated</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al (^{17})</td>
<td>China (Shantou)</td>
<td>upper middle income; not mandated</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lack of publicity and poor safety awareness; problems with installation and removal; CCR taking away a seat that an adult passenger could use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andijani (^{25})</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia (Riyadh); high-income; mandated</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>CCR believed to be unnecessary; no space in car due to large family; child refusal to stay in car seat</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al (^{23})</td>
<td>Russia (Lipetskaya Oblast); high-income; mandated</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>Child did not like to sit in car restraint; car restraint deemed unnecessary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoon and Kim (^{20})</td>
<td>South Korea (5 cities); high-income; mandated</td>
<td>1,573</td>
<td>Multiple children in household; low household income; perception of no need for CCRs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valent and Barbone (^{24})</td>
<td>Italy (northeastern region); high-income; mandated</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>Child unable to tolerate being restrained; child only transported for a short trip; vehicle without seatbelts on the back seat; child held by an adult passenger</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noor et al (^{16})</td>
<td>Malaysia (2 states); upper middle income; not mandated</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Contention surrounding the understanding that CCRs prevent severe injury or death in a crash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcês et al (^{22})</td>
<td>Brazil (Sao Luis); upper middle income; mandated</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Did not consider it important; no financial resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purc-Stephenson et al (^{19})</td>
<td>China (Beijing); upper middle income; not mandated</td>
<td>843</td>
<td>Difficulty finding safety seats; cost; preference to hold child on lap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sevketoğlu et al (^{26})</td>
<td>Turkey (Istanbul); upper middle income; not mandated</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>Did not know what a car safety seat was</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndu et al (^{27})</td>
<td>Nigeria (Enugu state); lower middle income; mandated</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>Child was uncomfortable; restraints not important; restraints too expensive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cross-sectional survey, convenience sampling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Study Details</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Compliance Issues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Siddiqui et al\textsuperscript{(18)}</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pakistan (Karachi); lower middle income; not mandated</td>
<td>Thought CCRs were unnecessary; unaware of CCRs; parent had difficulty using; child behaviour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*Mandated by law at time of study. †Listed in order of frequency. CCR: child car restraint; GNI: gross national income

Cultural practices in different parts of the world may explain some of the patterns and responses that were seen. In China and Korea, as in Singapore, some parents and grandparents prefer to hold their babies in their arms\textsuperscript{(19,20)}. This was also noted in Italy, where an adult passenger holding the child was a reason for non-compliance\textsuperscript{(24)}. Parents in Singapore and Korea also tend to keep their newborn infant at home for the first 1–2 months of life, except for necessary visits to the clinic for vaccinations, which may explain why parents initially did not purchase CCRs\textsuperscript{(20)}.

Translating these findings into actionable plans would require a concerted interdisciplinary effort between healthcare professionals and the wider law enforcement establishment, as well as public education to influence or change the attitudes of the general public. CCRs are required by law in 84 countries worldwide as of 2018. However, only 41 countries base this law on age or height criteria\textsuperscript{(3)}.

Furthermore, even if parents do use a CCR, levels of correct usage may vary\textsuperscript{(19,32)}. Systematic reviews of intervention programmes to increase CCR use show that while education-only programmes are insufficient, there is evidence for the effectiveness of child safety seat laws, and education paired with incentive, distribution or enforcement programmes\textsuperscript{(33,34)}. As an example of successful implementation, in Greece, maternity hospital-based loan schemes for infant car restraints were found to be cost-effective\textsuperscript{(35)}.

Our qualitative research study has the potential to enhance interdisciplinary childhood injury prevention collaboration in Singapore. This not only includes advocacy and outreach, educating the general public and law enforcement officers in conjunction with distribution.
and/or incentive programmes to lower potential financial barriers (such as subsidised low-cost car restraints), but also further research to study trends of car restraint use following the introduction of any interventions. For instance, we have made available information on age-appropriate CCRs on our hospital website, started introducing education initiatives in antenatal classes, and established platforms for postnatal discharge planning to improve accessibility for newborns leaving the hospital.

We recognise the limitations of our study. The parents interviewed were reached through convenience sampling from those who responded to a social media invitation. Hence, some selection bias is inevitable. In spite of this, the information we obtained is valuable as a source of pilot data to guide further research in this area. This qualitative study allowed us to explore parental knowledge and beliefs, but not specific behaviours or actual compliance to CCRs. It is a crucial first step in understanding the high rate of non-compliance to child car seats, in the Singapore context. Our findings facilitate further collaboration among the healthcare profession, traffic police law enforcers, automobile associations and industry partners to improve child passenger safety.
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## APPENDIX

### Guiding questions for focus group interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Specific questions for guidance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Knowledge of motor vehicle collisions as a leading cause for child death and injuries | • What type of unintentional injury is the leading cause of death to toddlers, worldwide?  
• Do you think the leading cause of death and injury to children is preventable? If so, why? If not, why?  
• Whose role or responsibility is it to prevent these deaths and injuries to children? Why do you think so?  
• What is the likelihood of a child in Singapore being involved in a motor vehicle collision? |
| Child car restraint and seat belt usage among non-first-time parents    | • How old are the other children that you care for? What mode of transport do you use most of the time?  
• What type of child car restraints do they use? Which direction does it face – front facing or rear facing?  
Who (if anyone) taught you how to install the child car seat?  
• How often do you use the child car restraint?  
For example, out of ten car trips, how many car trips is the child buckled in the child car restraint?  
• If you have a child car seat, what difficulties do you face when placing or keeping your child in the child car seat?  
• What do you do to overcome these difficulties? |
| Perception of child car restraints                                      | • Are you intending to purchase a child car seat? Why or why not?  
• What do you think is the purpose of the child car seat?  
• How does the child car seat help your child in the event of a collision?  
• What is the Singapore law on the use of child car seats?  
• Why is it important to obtain, install and place the child in the correct child car seat?  
• For what age group (and above) can the child be placed in a forward facing child seat?  
• For what age group (and above) can the child be placed in a booster seat?  
• For what age group (and above) would a seat belt be sufficient for a child?  
• What are your thoughts about GrabFamily or Uber Car Seat option when booking for a ride? Would you choose it? Why or why not?  
• What are the factors that contribute to or influence your decision to purchase and use the child car seat?  
• Where are car seats available for purchase?  
• What brands of car seats are reliable? How do you know if they are reliable? |
| Accessibility of information and support systems | • How do you obtain information on the correct type of child car seat? With regards to infant and child care products like car seats and strollers, what sources of information influence your decisions and choices?  
• How do your friends/relatives influence your decisions on the use of car restraints?  
• If you have difficulty installing your child car seat, whom will you ask? How confident are you that they know the correct method of installation? |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Front-seat passenger                              | • Does your child sit on the front passenger seat of a car or taxi? Why/why not?  
• At what age group (and above) is it safe for a child to sit in the front seat? |
| Others                                            | • Please share any thoughts or feedback you have that we have not discussed or that you feel the need to share.  
• What do you think should be done to increase public awareness on the importance of using appropriate child car restraints? |