Patient satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty: an Asian perspective

Matthew Dhanaraj <u>Thambiah</u>¹, MBBS, MRCSE, Sahaya <u>Nathan</u>², MBBS, <u>Branden ZX Seow</u>³, <u>Shen Liang</u>⁴, MSstats, PhDstats, Krishna Lingaraj², MMed, FRCSE

INTRODUCTION Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is an effective method for alleviating pain and restoring knee function in patients with severe osteoarthritis. However, despite the improvements in surgical technique and postoperative care, it has been reported that up to 19% of patients are dissatisfied after their operations. The aim of this study was to evaluate patient satisfaction levels after TKA in an Asian cohort, as well as assess the correlation between patient satisfaction levels and the results of traditional physician-based scoring systems.

METHODS The medical data of 103 Asian patients who underwent 110 TKAs between December 2008 and June 2009 were obtained from our hospital's Joint Replacement Registry. The minimum follow-up period was one year and patient expectations were assessed before TKA. Patient satisfaction was assessed postoperatively using a 5-point Likert scale. Reasons for patient dissatisfaction were recorded. Standardised instruments (e.g. the Knee Society Score, the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index [WOMAC] and the generic Short Form-36 health survey) were used to assess the patient's functional status and the severity of symptoms pre- and postoperatively.

RESULTS Among the 110 TKAs performed, 92.8% resulted in patient satisfaction. Patient satisfaction correlated with postoperative WOMAC function scores (p = 0.028), postoperative WOMAC final scores (p = 0.040) and expectations being met (p = 0.033).

CONCLUSION Although there was a high level of patient satisfaction following TKA in our cohort of Asian patients, a significant minority was dissatisfied. Patient satisfaction is an important outcome measure and should be assessed in addition to traditional outcome scores.

Keywords: Asian, satisfaction, total knee arthroplasty

INTRODUCTION

Osteoarthritis of the knee is a relatively common condition that affects approximately 10% of the general population above the age of 55 years. (1) The later stages of osteoarthritis of the knee can be debilitating due to pain and a decrease in the functional range of motion. (2-4) While many methods of treatment have been developed to address this condition, (5) joint replacement is the definitive treatment of choice. Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is an effective way to manage end-stage knee osteoarthritis, as it has been shown to alleviate pain and improve function. (6-11) Over the years, many refinements have been made to this surgical procedure to improve patient outcomes, reduce postoperative complications and, ultimately, improve the patient's quality of life. (8)

Traditional standardised instruments such as the Knee Society Score (KSS) and the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) are often used to assess patient outcomes following TKA. These instruments assess patient function and residual pain, along with more objective factors, such as the range of motion of the knee. However, several authors have highlighted that it is important to include patient-reported outcomes when evaluating TKA success, as there is discordance between the outcomes assessed by clinicians (using these traditional standardised instruments) and those reported by patients. (12,13)

Patient satisfaction is influenced by many factors, such as the presence of residual pain,^(7,14) postoperative functionality^(7,14) and the presence of postoperative complications.⁽¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁾ Patient dissatisfaction has been reported to be as high as 19% among patients who have undergone TKA.⁽¹⁵⁾ Patient expectations prior to TKA have also been identified as an important factor in determining postoperative satisfaction.^(15,18-20) In addition, patient expectations can often be influenced by anecdotal evidence from friends and family members who have undergone TKA.

Much of the published data regarding patient satisfaction has been obtained from studies conducted on Western populations; however, there is a paucity of such data from Asian populations. Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the level of patient satisfaction after TKA in an Asian population. We also aimed to identify the reasons for patient dissatisfaction after TKA and assess the correlation between patient satisfaction and traditional physician-based scoring systems. Our findings will provide objective data that can be used in preoperative patient counselling.

METHODS

Patients who underwent TKA for late-stage osteoarthritis of the knee between December 2008 and June 2009 in the National University Hospital, Singapore, were included in this study.

Correspondence: Dr Matthew Thambiah, Resident, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, National University Hospital, NUHS Tower Block, Level 11, 5 Lower Kent Ridge Road, Singapore 119074. matthewthambiah@gmail.com

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, ²University Orthopaedics, Hand and Reconstructive Microsurgery Cluster, National University Hospital, ³Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, ⁴Biostatistics Unit, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, National University Health System, Singapore

Patients who had rheumatoid arthritis of the knee, posttraumatic osteoarthritis, gout and other inflammatory arthritic conditions were excluded. The minimum follow-up period was one year. Prophylaxis against deep vein thrombosis for all patients consisted of mechanical prophylaxis, in the form of thromboembolic deterrent stockings, and early mobilisation (range of motion exercises by the first postoperative day and ambulation by the third day). Chemoprophylaxis was not routinely administered. Patient data, including demographic details, pre- and postoperative knee pain and function as well as postoperative complications, was obtained from the hospital's Joint Replacement Registry.

Patient expectations were assessed by giving patients a choice of one or more of several responses, including improved mobility, reduced pain and better overall quality of life. Standardised instruments, namely KSS, WOMAC scoring system and generic Short Form-36 (SF-36) health survey, were used to assess the severity of symptoms and functional statuses of the patients pre- and postoperatively. KSS was chosen as it is an extensively validated method for measuring knee function (pain, stability and range of motion) and patient functional outcomes. The WOMAC scoring system was used to measure pain, stiffness and functional limitation. The scoring systems were administered before and one year after the operation. Patients were also asked if their preoperative expectations had been met.

Data collected was analysed against patient satisfaction, which was measured on a 5-point Likert scale (extremely satisfied, satisfied, neutral, dissatisfied, extremely dissatisfied). Based on the responses, the patients were categorised into the 'satisfied' (answered 'extremely satisfied' or 'satisfied') or 'dissatisfied' (answered 'neutral', 'dissatisfied' or 'extremely dissatisfied') group. Patient satisfaction was assessed at the follow-up session one year after the operation. The patients were also asked whether they would recommend the surgery to others and undergo the surgery again.

Data was presented in frequency tables and using descriptive statistics (mean \pm standard deviation or median, where appropriate). Categorical variables were presented as proportions, while continuous variables were presented as median values. Chisquare and Fisher's exact tests were used to compare categorical variables, while student's t-test was used for continuous variables. Statistical significance was set at p-value \leq 0.05. Data analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Ethical approval was sought and obtained from the licensing institution of our hospital's healthcare cluster, the Domain Specific Review Board.

RESULTS

A total of 103 patients met the inclusion criteria of the study and 110 TKAs (96 unilateral and 7 bilateral TKAs) were performed. Of the 110 TKAs, 78 (70.9%) were performed on female patients and 32 (29.1%) on male patients. The median age of the patients was 64 (range 45–83) years, and the mean body mass index (BMI) was 26.7 (range 17.3–42.2) kg/m². The medical comorbidities of these 103 patients are shown in Table I.

Of the 110 TKAs performed, 102 (92.8%) were reported to be satisfactory. In each of their TKAs, most of the

Table I. Medical comorbidities of the patients (n = 103).

Medical comorbidity	No. (%)
Diabetes mellitus	40 (38.8)
Hypertension	80 (77.7)
Ischaemic heart disease	10 (9.7)
Stroke	4 (3.9)
Previous malignancy	
Breast	1 (1.0)
Prostate	1 (1.0)
Ureter	1 (1.0)
Asthma/chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	6 (5.8)
Rheumatoid arthritis	2 (1.9)

patients reported that they would recommend the procedure (101/110 TKAs, 91.8%) and undergo TKA again (89/110 TKAs, 80.9%). The proportion of TKAs for each level of patient satisfaction is shown in Table II. The presence of medical comorbidities was not observed to significantly affect patient satisfaction (p = 0.678).

A total of 5 (15.6%) male patients and 3 (3.8%) female patients were categorised in the 'dissatisfied' group following TKA. Gender was not found to be statistically significant in predicting patient dissatisfaction (p = 0.296). The mean age of patients in the 'dissatisfied' group was slightly higher than that of those in the 'satisfied' group (67.9 years vs. 64.8 years), and the mean BMIs of the patients in the two groups were largely similar (27.4 kg/m² vs. 27.6 kg/m²). Neither mean age nor mean BMI was significant in predicting lower patient satisfaction (p = 0.332 and p = 0.984, respectively).

The KSS, SF-36 and WOMAC scores of the patients were analysed. We found that patients who had improved WOMAC function and final scores were significantly more satisfied; however, the postoperative pain and stiffness components of the WOMAC score were not statistically significant for patient satisfaction. Improvements in the physical health component of the SF-36 score correlated well with patient satisfaction, whereas improvements in the mental health component did not. Improvements in KSS were not statistically significant for patient satisfaction. The relationship between patient satisfaction and improvements in the various components of the three scoring instruments is shown in Table III.

Patient expectations were assessed before each TKA, and these expectations were expressed in the form of standard response options, including results related to mobility, pain and overall quality of life. Among the patients who underwent TKAs, the following patient expectations were reported: (a) less pain (60/110 TKAs, 54.5%); (b) improved mobility (53/110 TKAs, 48.1%); and (c) improved overall quality of life (36/110 TKAs, 32.7%). These preoperative expectations were met in 106 of the 110 TKAs (96.4%) performed. Preoperative expectations were found to be statistically significant in predicting patient satisfaction (p=0.033).

In the present study, the following complications were noted among patients who underwent TKAs: (a) distal deep

Table II. Proportion of total knee arthroplasties for each level of patient satisfaction (n = 110).

Level of satisfaction	No. (%)
Extremely satisfied	26 (23.6)
Satisfied	76 (69.1)
Neutral	4 (3.6)
Dissatisfied	4 (3.6)
Extremely dissatisfied	0 (0)

Table III. Correlation between patient satisfaction and the three scoring instruments.

Instrument	Score (mean ± SD)		p-value
	Satisfied	Dissatisfied	
	group	group	
Pre-op WOMAC			
Pain	52.8 ± 19.7	45.0 ± 16.8	0.206
Stiffness	62.2 ± 28.2	50.0 ± 26.5	0.187
Function	47.4 ± 18.6	41.7 ± 19.5	0.467
Final	50.1 ± 16.5	46.8 ± 14.8	0.555
Post-op WOMAC			
Pain	96.9 ± 6.1	93.2 ± 8.7	0.136
Stiffness	94.5 ± 11.4	91.7 ± 10.8	0.259
Function	85.6 ± 13.0	74.7 ± 18.0	0.028
Final	87.7 ± 7.8	80.0 ± 11.8	0.040
Change in WOMAC			
Pain	44.2 ± 20.5	47.8 ± 14.1	0.450
Stiffness	32.3 ± 30.4	41.7 ± 27.2	0.297
Function	38.1 ± 17.9	33.0 ± 16.2	0.485
Final	37.6 ± 16.5	33.2 ± 10.5	0.674
SF-36			
Change in PCS	21.2 ± 7.6	14.1 ± 4.8	0.004
Change in MCS	11.8 ± 52.5	7.5 ± 8.7	0.840
KSS			
Change in function	28.4 ± 23.4	21.9 ± 19.8	0.435
Change in knee	69.3 ± 83.4	57.5 ± 15.9	0.434

KSS: Knee Society Score; MCS: mental component score; PCS: physical component score; SD: standard deviation; SF-36: generic Short Form-36; WOMAC: Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index

vein thrombosis (6/110 TKAs, 5.5%); (b) proximal deep vein thrombosis (4/110 TKAs, 3.6%); and (c) bilateral pulmonary embolism (1/110 TKAs, 0.9%). The four cases of proximal deep vein thrombosis and the one case of bilateral pulmonary embolism received anticoagulation therapy in the form of low-molecularweight heparin and warfarin. Among the six cases of distal deep vein thrombosis, two received anticoagulation therapy, one experienced popliteal artery thrombosis (causing an ischaemic limb) and was treated with vascular bypass and fasciotomies, one suffered from an acute myocardial infarction and underwent angioplasty, one developed bradycardia intraoperatively and was managed in the high-dependency unit, and one had a superficial wound infection that was treated with oral antibiotics. Although some patients cited the development of operative complications as a reason for dissatisfaction, it was not found to be significantly correlated with lower patient satisfaction (p = 0.174).

DISCUSSION

Osteoarthritis of the knee is a relatively prevalent condition^(1,21) that can progress to a point where it is debilitating.⁽²⁾ It adversely affects the patient's quality of life due to pain and decreased function.^(3,4) While there are many modes of treatment,⁽⁵⁾ including conservative⁽²²⁻²⁶⁾ and surgical management,⁽²⁷⁻³⁰⁾ the definitive method of treatment is joint replacement. In this regard, TKA has been shown to be safe and effective in addressing end-stage osteoarthritis of the knee.^(7,30,31) This surgical procedure has become more common⁽³²⁾ due to its effectiveness in alleviating pain and improving function,^(7-11,33) and will continue to play a key role in the management of osteoarthritis.

While TKA has been touted as an effective treatment modality, (7,30,34) several studies have reported that not all patients are satisfied after the operation. (14-16,35) Many outcome measures have been used to measure the efficacy of TKA, including subjective methods (e.g. the surgeon inquiring about the patient's pain and function levels at follow-up visits)(15) and objective scales (e.g. WOMAC, KSS and SF-36 scoring systems). (36,37) The latter has been shown to correlate well with patient satisfaction. (38) Although the use of these scales may indicate improvement in the majority of patients, (14,35) there remains a significant proportion of patients who are dissatisfied with the outcome of the TKA. (14-16,35) In fact, the dissatisfaction rate for TKA has been reported to be as high as 19%. (15) Additionally, it has been suggested that clinician-assessed outcomes may not always match those reported by patients. In other words, there is a need for patient-reported outcomes and satisfaction levels to be included in the routine postoperative assessment of patients who undergo TKAs.

In a study by Yuan et al, which examined the patient outcomes of a group of 60 patients who underwent 74 TKAs, the patient satisfaction rate was reported to be 91%. Nunez et al, in their study involving 112 patients, reported an 86% satisfaction rate. The results of the present study are consistent with these two studies; 93% of our patients expressed that they were satisfied or extremely satisfied with the TKA. Similar to Nunez et al's study, in which 80% of their patients indicated that they were willing to undergo TKA again, 81% of the patients in the present study expressed willingness to undergo TKA again. Furthermore, 92% of the patients in the present study reported that they would recommend the procedure to others.

Preoperative expectations have been shown to be strongly predictive of postoperative patient satisfaction. (15,18-20) Gandhi et al demonstrated that preoperative expectations of pain relief affected postoperative satisfaction levels, (19) while Mahomed et al showed that expectations of pain relief were related to postoperative function and pain levels. (18) In contrast, the present study showed that the presence or absence of preoperative patient expectations (e.g. improved mobility, reduced pain and better overall quality of life) was not predictive of patient satisfaction. However, the fulfilment of patient expectations was found to be significant in predicting postoperative satisfaction; this finding was in agreement with that of Bourne et al's study. (15)

Patient satisfaction is affected by many factors, and several studies have analysed the various determinants of patient

satisfaction. Factors that have been repeatedly highlighted in the literature include mental health, ^(14,39-42) preoperative knee function and pain, ^(40,42,43) postoperative function and pain, ^(7,14,44) operative complications, ⁽¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁾ and the need for revision surgery. ⁽⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷⁾ Other factors are gender, ^(19,48) age^(19,48) and type of knee arthritis. ^(45,49)

Some studies have demonstrated that poor preoperative WOMAC scores are predictive of lower patient satisfaction levels. $^{(40,43,44)}$ However, Lingard et al found that there was no significant difference in WOMAC function scores between satisfied and dissatisfied patients. $^{(39)}$ The results of the present study support the findings of Lingard et al's study; $^{(39)}$ preoperative WOMAC scores in our study were not predictive of patient satisfaction (p = 0.555). In addition, absolute changes in SF-36 and KSS scores were not predictive of patient satisfaction. However, good postoperative WOMAC function and overall scores as well as good SF-36 physical component scores were found to be predictive of patient satisfaction.

While a meta-analysis on knee arthroplasty concluded that the complication rate for the procedure was approximately 30%, (50) Yuan et al reported a lower complication rate of 13.5% (complications consisted of common peroneal nerve paralysis, failure of wound union, wound infection, joint infection, knee stiffness, deep vein thrombosis and patellofemoral joint complications). (16) Similar to Yuan et al's study, the complication rate in the present study was 14.6%, and the complications encountered included thrombotic events, cardiac problems and a single superficial wound infection. Ruban et al reported a 14% rate of deep vein thrombosis following TKA (5% proximal and 9% distal thrombosis). (51) In a study of Singaporean patients undergoing TKA, Nathan et al reported a proximal deep venous thrombosis rate of 4.38%. (52) In the present study, similar rates of deep vein thrombosis were observed (3.6% proximal and 5.5% distal deep vein thrombosis). Yuan et al correlated the development of complications with lower patient satisfaction, (16) and Bourne et al's findings supported this. (15) However, the present study found that patient satisfaction was not significantly influenced by the development of operative complications (p = 0.174). While some studies correlate the presence of medical comorbidities with a poorer outcome, (40,53) we did not find any such correlation (p = 0.678). As patients are often influenced by anecdotal evidence from friends and family members who have had TKA, it is important that they receive proper preoperative patient counselling. The present study provides objective data that can be used for preoperative patient counselling.

The present study was not without limitations. It had a relatively small sample size of 103 patients undergoing 110 TKAs. Also, while other authors have associated poorer mental health with lower patient satisfaction, (39,40,42) we did not analyse the influence of mental health on patient satisfaction. While our follow-up period was one year following the primary TKA, similar to that of several studies, (15,41,44) there are studies that have a follow-up period of up to seven years, (35) allowing for a more comprehensive view of the patients involved.

To conclude, TKA is an effective treatment modality for end-stage osteoarthritis of the knee, but a significant proportion

of patients are not satisfied with their surgery. The present study shows that patient satisfaction is correlated with postoperative WOMAC function and final scores, a change in the SF-36 physical component score and patients' expectations being met. Patient satisfaction is an important outcome measure and should be assessed in addition to traditional outcome scores. According to the present study, there is a high level of patient satisfaction following TKA in Asian patients.

REFERENCES

- 1. Petersson IF. Occurrence of osteoarthritis of the peripheral joints in European populations. Ann Rheum Dis 1996; 55:659-61.
- Dieppe PA, Cushnaghan J, Shepstone L. The Bristol 'OA500' study: progression of osteoarthritis (OA) over 3 years and the relationship between clinical and radiographic changes at the knee joint. Osteoarthritis Cartilage 1997; 5:87-97.
- Felson DT, Naimark A, Anderson J, et al. The prevalence of knee osteoarthritis in the elderly. The Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. Arthritis Rheum 1987; 30:914-8.
- Felson DT. The epidemiology of knee osteoarthritis: results from the Framingham Osteoarthritis Study. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1990; 20(3 Suppl 1):42-50.
- Fraenkel L, Bogardus ST Jr, Concato J, Wittink DR. Treatment options in knee osteoarthritis: the patient's perspective. Arch Intern Med 2004; 164:1299-304.
- 6. Riley LH Jr. Total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1985; (192):34-9.
- Genêt F, Schnitzler A, Lapeyre E, et al. [Change of impairment, disability and patient satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty in secondary care practice]. Ann Readapt Med Phys 2008; 51:671-6, 676-82. English, French.
- Mainard D, Guillemin F, Cuny C, et al. [Quality of life assessment one year after total hip or knee arthroplasty]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 2000; 86:464-73. French.
- Heck DA, Robinson RL, Partridge CM, Lubitz RM, Freund DA. Patient outcomes after knee replacement. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1998; (356):93-110.
- 10. Beswick AD, Wylde V, Gooberman-Hill R, Blom A, Dieppe P. What proportion of patients report long-term pain after total hip or knee replacement for osteoarthritis? A systematic review of prospective studies in unselected patients. BMJ Open 2012; 2:e000435.
- 11. Kane RL, Saleh KJ, Wilt TJ, Bershadsky B. The functional outcomes of total knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2005; 87:1719-24.
- 12. Janse AJ, Gemke RJ, Uiterwaal CS, et al. Quality of life: patients and doctors don't always agree: a meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 2004; 57:653-61.
- Mäntyselkä P, Kumpusalo E, Ahonen R, Takala J. Patients' versus general practitioners' assessments of pain intensity in primary care patients with non-cancer pain. Br J Gen Pract 2001; 51:995-7.
- Anderson JG, Wixson RL, Tsai D, Stulberg SD, Chang RW. Functional outcome and patient satisfaction in total knee patients over the age of 75. J Arthroplasty 1996; 11:831-40.
- Bourne RB, Chesworth BM, Davis AM, Mahomed NN, Charron KD. Patient satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty: who is satisfied and who is not? Clin Orthop Relat Res 2010; 468:57-63.
- Yuan A, Cai D, Wang K. [Analysis of factors relating to clinical outcomes after total knee replacement]. Zhongguo Xiu Fu Chong Jian Wai Ke Za Zhi 2007; 21:235-9. Chinese.
- 17. Lonner JH, Lotke PA. Aseptic complications after total knee arthroplasty. J Am Acad Orthop Surg 1999; 7:311-24.
- Mahomed NN, Liang MH, Cook EF, et al. The importance of patient expectations in predicting functional outcomes after total joint arthroplasty. J Rheumatol 2002; 29:1273-9.
- 19. Gandhi R, Davey JR, Mahomed N. Patient expectations predict greater pain relief with joint arthroplasty. J Arthroplasty 2009; 24:716-21.
- Noble PC, Conditt MA, Cook KF, Mathis KB. The John Insall Award: Patient expectations affect satisfaction with total knee arthroplasty. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2006: 452:35-43.
- 21. Nguyen US, Zhang Y, Zhu Y, et al. Increasing prevalence of knee pain and symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: survey and cohort data. Ann Intern Med 2011; 155:725-32.
- Bellamy N, Campbell J, Robinson V, et al. Viscosupplementation for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006; (2):CD005321.

- Bellamy N, Campbell J, Robinson V, et al. Intraarticular corticosteroid for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2006; (2):CD005328.
- 24. Yurtkuran M, Kocagil T. TENS, electroacupuncture and ice massage: comparison of treatment for osteoarthritis of the knee. Am J Acupunct 1999; 27:133-40.
- 25. Bennell KL, Hinman RS. A review of the clinical evidence for exercise in osteoarthritis of the hip and knee. J Sci Med Sport 2011; 14:4-9.
- Clegg DO, Reda DJ, Harris CL, et al. Glucosamine, chondroitin sulfate, and the two in combination for painful knee osteoarthritis. N Engl J Med 2006; 354:795-808.
- 27. Shannon FJ, Devitt AT, Poynton AR, Fitzpatrick P, Walsh MG. Short-term benefit of arthroscopic washout in degenerative arthritis of the knee. Int Orthop 2001; 25:242-5.
- 28. Scott RD, Santore RF. Unicondylar unicompartmental replacement for osteoarthritis of the knee. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1981; 63:536-44.
- 29. Fujisawa Y, Masuhara K, Shiomi S. The effect of high tibial osteotomy on osteoarthritis of the knee. An arthroscopic study of 54 knee joints. Orthop Clin North Am 1979; 10:585-608.
- 30. Laskin RS. The classic: modular total knee-replacement arthroplasty. A review of eighty-nine patients. 1976. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008; 466:2581-8.
- Callahan CM, Drake BG, Heck DA, Dittus RS. Patient outcomes following tricompartmental total knee replacement. A meta-analysis. JAMA 1994; 271:1349-57.
- 32. US Department of Health & Human Services. AHRQ Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. Available at: http://hcupnet.ahrq.gov. Accessed on December 20, 2012.
- 33. Jones CA, Pohar S. Health-related quality of life after total joint arthroplasty: a scoping review. Clin Geriatr Med 2012; 28:395-429.
- 34. Diduch DR, Insall JN, Scott WN, Scuderi GR, Font-Rodriguez D. Total knee replacement in young, active patients. Long-term follow-up and functional outcome. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1997; 79:575-82.
- 35. Núñez M, Lozano L, Núñez E, et al. Total knee replacement and healthrelated quality of life: factors influencing long-term outcomes. Arthritis Rheum 2009: 61:1062-9.
- 36. Bellamy N. WOMAC: a 20-year experiential review of a patient-centered self-reported health status questionnaire. J Rheumatol 2002; 29:2473-6.
- 37. Insall JN, Dorr LD, Scott RD, Scott WN. Rationale of the Knee Society clinical rating system. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1989; (248):13-4.
- Becker R, Döring C, Denecke A, Brosz M. Expectation, satisfaction and clinical outcome of patients after total knee arthroplasty. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2011: 19:1433-41.

- Lingard EA, Riddle DL. Impact of psychological distress on pain and function following knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2007; 89:1161-9.
- 40. Lingard EA, Katz JN, Wright EA, Sledge CB; Kinemax Outcomes Group. Predicting the outcome of total knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2004; 86-A:2179-86.
- 41. Gandhi R, Davey JR, Mahomed NN. Predicting patient dissatisfaction following joint replacement surgery. J Rheumatol 2008; 35:2415-8.
- 42. Brander V, Gondek S, Martin E, Stulberg SD. Pain and depression influence outcome 5 years after knee replacement surgery. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2007; 464:21-6.
- Lavernia C, D'Apuzzo M, Rossi MD, Lee D. Is postoperative function after hip or knee arthroplasty influenced by preoperative functional levels? J Arthroplasty 2009; 24:1033-43.
- 44. Kim TK, Kwon SK, Kang YG, Chang CB, Seong SC. Functional disabilities and satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty in female Asian patients. J Arthroplasty 2010; 25:458-464.e1-2.
- Robertsson O, Dunbar M, Pehrsson T, Knutson K, Lidgren L. Patient satisfaction after knee arthroplasty: a report on 27,372 knees operated on between 1981 and 1995 in Sweden. Acta Orthop Scand 2000; 71:262-7.
- 46. Tay KS, Lo NN, Yeo SJ, et al. Revision total knee arthroplasty: causes and outcomes. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2013; 42:178-83.
- 47. Hawker G, Wright J, Coyte P, et al. Health-related quality of life after knee replacement. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1998; 80:163-73.
- 48. Singh JA, Gabriel S, Lewallen D. The impact of gender, age, and preoperative pain severity on pain after TKA. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008; 466:2717-23.
- 49. Bullens PH, van Loon CJ, de Waal Malefijt MC, Laan RF, Veth RP. Patient satisfaction after total knee arthroplasty: a comparison between subjective and objective outcome assessments. J Arthroplasty 2001; 16:740-7.
- Callahan CM, Drake BG, Heck DA, Dittus RS. Patient outcomes following unicompartmental or bicompartmental knee arthroplasty. A meta-analysis. J Arthroplasty 1995; 10:141-50.
- 51. Ruban P, Yeo SJ, Seow KH, Tan SK, Ng SC. Deep vein thrombosis after total knee replacement. Ann Acad Med Singapore 2000; 29:428-33.
- Nathan S, Aleem MA, Thiagarajan P, Das De S. The incidence of proximal deep vein thrombosis following total knee arthroplasty in an Asian population: a Doppler ultrasound study. J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong) 2003; 11:184-9
- Ghomrawi HM, Kane RL, Eberly LE, Bershadsky B, Saleh KJ; North American Knee Arthroplasty Revision (NAKAR) Study Group. Patterns of functional improvement after revision knee arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2009; 91:2838-45.