Statin-centric versus low-density lipoprotein-centric approach for atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease prevention: a Singapore perspective
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ABSTRACT The link between cholesterol levels and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is well-established. In Singapore, there is an increasing prevalence of risk factors for ASCVD. Like many Asian countries, Singapore’s population is rapidly ageing and increasingly sedentary, which predisposes individuals to chronic health problems. Current international and local guidelines recommend statin therapy for the primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD. However, despite the effectiveness of statin therapy, some studies have highlighted that Asian patients with cardiovascular disease are not achieving target lipid goals. Furthermore, it is widely believed that the responses of Asians (both patients and physicians) to statin therapy are different from those of their Western counterparts. Experts convened in 2014 to define the landscape of ASCVD prevention and management in Singapore, and to determine the impact of current guidelines on clinical practice in Singapore. This review summarises the key findings and recommendations of these guidelines, and presents key principles to aid clinicians to manage the cardiovascular risk of their patients more effectively.

INTRODUCTION
Southeast Asia (SEA) bears a significant proportion of the global burden of cardiovascular disease (CVD), a burden that appears to be increasing across the region. In 2011, a World Health Organization report estimated a cardiovascular-related mortality rate of 3.7 million within SEA, with ischaemic heart disease and stroke accounting for the majority of CVD deaths. The Asian region comprises a very heterogeneous population, which is evident in the differences in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD)-related mortality reported across the region. Age-standardised mortality rates for stroke are elevated in East Asian countries and generally higher than those reported in Western countries. Although East Asian countries have lower coronary heart disease (CHD) mortality rates than Western countries, the age-standardised mortality rates for CHD in South Asian and some SEA countries are comparable to or higher than those of Western countries. The link between cholesterol levels and ASCVD is well-established. Major risk factors for ASCVD include hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease (CKD), obesity and metabolic syndrome. Lifestyle, including smoking, physical inactivity, and excessive dietary consumption of fats (especially trans fat) and carbohydrates, is also a major contributor to the development of ASCVD.

Risk factors for CVD, such as diabetes mellitus and obesity, have been increasing in Asia. Singapore, often described as a microcosm of Asia as a whole, has a rapidly ageing and increasingly sedentary population, which predisposes individuals to chronic health problems faced across SEA. The prevalence of obesity in Singapore is predicted to increase fourfold, from 4.3% in 1990 to 15.9% in 2050. This increase is expected to be paralleled by an increase in type 2 diabetes mellitus (diagnosed and undiagnosed) from 7.3% in 1990 to 15.0% in 2050. It has also been predicted that ethnic Indians and Malays will bear a disproportionate burden of disease compared with the Chinese.

Experts convened in August 2014 to define the landscape of ASCVD prevention and management in Singapore, and to determine the impact of current guidelines on clinical practice in Singapore. This review summarises the key findings and recommendations of these guidelines, and presents key principles to help clinicians manage the cardiovascular risk of their patients more effectively.

UNMET NEEDS IN THE PREVENTION OF ASCVD: A SINGAPORE PERSPECTIVE
In SEA, ageing and population growth are projected to result in an increase in CVD of more than 50% over the next two decades. Furthermore, projected unfavourable trends in blood pressure, total cholesterol, diabetes mellitus and body mass index may accelerate this epidemic. Overall, ageing will prolong exposure to CVD risk factors and increase the CVD burden as well as ASCVD-related mortality and morbidity.

The Asia Pacific Cohort Studies Collaboration, a meta-analysis of prospective observational studies in the Asia Pacific region
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(29 cohorts with almost two million person-years of follow-up of 352,033 individuals), found a continuous positive association between usual cholesterol levels and risk for CHD, which persisted after adjustment for age, gender, blood pressure and smoking. Each increase of 1 mmol/L in cholesterol level equated to approximately a 35% increase in risk of coronary death. The study found a weak positive association between cholesterol and combined risk of both fatal and nonfatal stroke (7% increase per 1 mmol/L), but no association between total cholesterol level and stroke mortality.

Numerous studies have shown that statin therapy effectively reduces low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) in Asian patients. However, despite the reduction of LDL-C following statin therapy, residual cardiovascular risk remains high, as illustrated by the Return on Expenditure Achieved for Lipid Therapy in Asia (REALITY-Asia) study. A retrospective cohort study conducted in China, Korea, Malaysia, Singapore, Taiwan and Thailand (comprising 437 physicians, 41% of whom were cardiologists), REALITY-Asia recruited adults with hypercholesterolaemia who were newly initiated on statin monotherapy in order to examine treatment patterns, goal attainment and factors influencing treatment. The study found that undertreatment of patients occurred mostly in the highest-risk group; high-risk individuals with established CHD/diabetes mellitus were least likely to achieve LDL-C targets (38% vs. 48% overall goal attainment). Despite considerable baseline cardiovascular risk, 89% of patients received statins at low or moderate equipotent doses; the majority were using low-intensity statins. The REALITY-Asia findings highlighted the presence of statin phobia at the regional, country, physician and patient levels. Factors associated with a significantly increased likelihood of goal attainment included advanced age and treatment with high-potency statins, whereas increased cardiovascular risk for CHD/diabetes mellitus at baseline (as compared with less than two risk factors) and baseline LDL-C levels were inversely associated with LDL-C goal achievement.

In Singapore, approximately 47% of patients used low-potency statins, the majority of which were prescribed by general practitioners; of these patients, 45% required up-titration. In particular, 40%–60% of diabetic patients required up-titration, but there was reluctance to up-titrate or switch to a higher dose or higher potency statin. A number of studies have highlighted that Asian patients with CVD are not achieving their target lipid goals despite statin therapy. Data from the Achievement in Singapore of Cholesterol Targets study confirmed that, in a cohort of over 5,000 CHD patients, most who were considered to be at high risk did not achieve the recommended LDL-C targets.

**ASSESSMENT AND TREATMENT OF ASCVD RISK**

**Lipid profile**

While the 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) Cholesterol Treatment Guidelines still use LDL-C levels to classify the degrees of risk for the primary prevention of ASCVD, the updated 2014 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines recommend the use of non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (non-HDL-C), as it is a better predictor of risk than LDL-C. Specifically, the NICE guidelines recommend the measurement of non-fasting lipid profile, namely total cholesterol, HDL-C, non-HDL-C and triglycerides, before the initiation of lipid modification therapy. Treatment recommendations are, however, based on statin intensity rather than LDL-C or non-HDL-C targets. When a target reduction of > 40% non-HDL-C is required, high-intensity statin therapy should be initiated. Although the definitions of low-, moderate- and high-intensity statin therapy are still based on estimations of LDL-C reduction, they differ slightly between the ACC/AHA and NICE guidelines (Table I). In addition, it should be noted that the only recommended high-intensity statins are atorvastatin and rosuvastatin. For moderate- and low-intensity statin therapy, simvastatin, fluvastatin, lovastatin, pravastatin and pitavastatin together with lower doses of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin (only for moderate intensity) are recommended. Atorvastatin and rosuvastatin do not have a recommendation for low-intensity therapy.

**ASCVD risk scoring**

The 2013 ACC/AHA cholesterol guidelines adopt a broader focus on ASCVD risk (including CHD and stroke). The Pooled Cohort Equations risk calculator was used to assess the ten-year risk. These guidelines identify four groups of patients who will benefit from statin treatment: patients who have (a) clinical ASCVD (i.e. history of myocardial infarction [MI], stable angina, acute coronary syndrome [ACS], stroke, transient ischaemic attack, peripheral vascular disease) – secondary prevention; (b) familial hypercholesterolaemia with LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL – primary prevention; (c) diabetes mellitus (age 40–75 years) with LDL-C of 70–189 mg/dL – primary prevention; and (d) LDL-C of 70–189 mg/dL with a ten-year ASCVD risk ≥ 7.5% – primary prevention.

The NICE guidelines also advocate initiating statin therapy based on CVD risk. In the updated 2014 guidelines, the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Intensity level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statin (mg)</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
<td>20*–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>20–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simvastatin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluvastatin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lovastatin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pravastatin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitavastatin</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL reduction (%)</td>
<td>ACC/AHA 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 50</td>
<td>30–50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 40</td>
<td>31–40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Atorvastatin 20 mg is considered high-intensity according to the 2014 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidelines, but is moderate-intensity according to the 2013 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines.
threshold for starting preventive treatment with statins has been reduced from a risk of 20% to 10% over ten years.\textsuperscript{(23)} The NICE guidelines also recommend that CVD risk should be assessed using the QRISK2® assessment tool, which calculates the ten-year risks of MI and stroke based on 140,000 cardiovascular events in 2.3 million British patients (including different ethnic groups such as the Chinese and South Asians).

A recent review of cardiovascular assessment tools confirms that global risk assessment is essential for targeted, aggressive risk factor management.\textsuperscript{(24)} However, few CVD risk assessment tools have been developed in Asian countries for Asian populations, underscoring the need for an Asian-specific risk-assessment tool to accurately predict cardiovascular risk in these patients.\textsuperscript{(24)} Studies have found that the predictability of CVD risk scores, which were developed mainly for Caucasians, varies considerably, mainly due to overestimating the risks of MI in East Asians and underestimating lifetime risk among South Asians.\textsuperscript{(25)} It is probably safe to assume that the same is true for Singapore. A number of factors have been proposed to explain this. Firstly, data regarding incident CVD mortality, morbidity and risk factor prevalence in most East and South Asian populations is inadequate, thus preventing accurate calibration of a CVD score derived from another population. In addition, there is a lack of local longitudinal cohort data to supply robust information on the relationship between CVD risk factors and outcomes.\textsuperscript{(25)}

**GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ASCVD PREVENTION**

A number of local and international guidelines on CVD have been published.\textsuperscript{(22,23,26-29)} Each set of guidelines provides differing recommendations advocating a treat-to-target approach, a statin intensity-centric approach, or a combination of the LDL-C target and statin-centric approaches. In most of the current international guidelines, treatment targets have been eliminated; instead of a target-based approach, the new guidelines take a drug- and dose-based approach. The 2013 ACC/AHA cholesterol treatment guidelines emphasise that treatment decisions must be based on physician-patient discussion and that treatment may be indicated for some patients who are not in the four categories.\textsuperscript{(22)} The panel noted that with regard to key recommendations for statin therapy in the treatment and prevention of ASCVD, the role of statin therapy in the treatment paradigm for coronary artery disease differs among patient populations, and should therefore include both statin- and LDL-centric approaches, especially for patients in the high- and very-high-risk groups.\textsuperscript{(22)}

**Statin- versus LDL-centric approach**

The 2013 ACC/AHA cholesterol guidelines adopt a statin-centric approach, disregarding LDL goals\textsuperscript{(22)} and discouraging the use of non-statin drugs that do not reduce the risk of events in statin-treated patients. However, this approach has been challenged by the findings of the IMPROVE-IT trial.\textsuperscript{(30)} The VYTORIN Efficacy International Trial (IMPROVE-IT), which investigated the simvastatin/ezetimibe combination in high-risk post-ACS patients and provided evidence that lower LDL-C levels were more beneficial (target LDL level < 55 mg/dL).\textsuperscript{(30)} However, IMPROVE-IT showed that even with the addition of ezetimibe to simvastatin 40 mg, LDL-C levels decreased by only 40%, whereas the guidelines recommend a reduction of at least 50% for this patient population.\textsuperscript{(22,23)}

The revised 2015 American Diabetes Association (ADA) Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes guidelines have also adopted recommendations from the 2013 ACC/AHA guidelines for cholesterol management in diabetics, in which high-intensity statins were recommended for all diabetic patients with increased cardiovascular risk.\textsuperscript{(28)} In contrast, 2012 European guidelines for CVD prevention recommend treating to target cholesterol levels: LDL-C < 115 mg/dL (< 3.0 mmol/L) for subjects at low or moderate risk; LDL-C < 100 mg/dL (< 2.5 mmol/L) for high-risk patients; and LDL-C < 70 mg/dL (< 1.8 mmol/L) for very-high-risk patients.\textsuperscript{(26)} Furthermore, they recommend that patients with ACS should be started on high-intensity statin therapy in hospital.\textsuperscript{(26)} The recently published 2015 European Society of Cardiology guidelines for ACS without persistent ST-segment elevation (NSTEMI), however, recommend the initiation of high-intensity statin therapy (i.e., statin regimens that reduce LDL-C by about 50%) as early as possible after admission for all NSTEMI patients.\textsuperscript{(29)}

The current Singapore clinical practice guidelines (CPG) on diabetes mellitus also advocate an LDL-centric approach for the prevention of ASCVD in diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{(27)} For the majority of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, where LDL-C is > 100 mg/dL (> 2.6 mmol/L), statin therapy should be started concurrently with therapeutic lifestyle modification. While the local guideline generally reflects the current practice, it also recognises that not all cases of diabetes mellitus should be considered a cardiovascular risk equivalent; it includes a category allowing for a period of lifestyle intervention without statin therapy in patients deemed to be at low risk. Although a number of recommendations are based on expert consensus, limited local objective evidence is available to support them. Based on the Singapore CPG on diabetes mellitus, in individuals without overt CVD, the goal is LDL-C < 100 mg/dL, while in individuals with overt CVD or CKD, a lower LDL-C goal of < 82 mg/dL (2.1 mmol/L) is advised.\textsuperscript{(27)} In a subgroup of patients with high LDL-C (> 2.3 mmol/L) and low HDL-C (< 0.88 mmol/L), statin-fenofibrate combination therapy may be beneficial.\textsuperscript{(27)}

Despite the trend of population ageing, both within SEA and globally, there are no recommendations for the elderly aged > 75 years or regarding the impact of the risk of CKD on prescribing decisions due to the lack of evidence in these patient populations. As guidelines are developed according to rigorous criteria such as requiring strong clinical trial evidence as a basis for recommendations, this lack of evidence prevented their development.

The Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) 2013 guidelines\textsuperscript{(31)} highlight the limitations of LDL-C as a marker of cardiovascular risk in CKD and urge caution in using changes in LDL-C as a gauge for prescribing statins to patients with CKD. There is no on-treatment LDL-C target for CKD patients who are not on chronic dialysis or kidney transplantation; KDIGO...
recommends statin therapy as secondary ASCVD prevention for patients aged 18–49 years with one or more of the following: prior CHD; diabetes mellitus; or prior ischaemic stroke. A statin with or without ezetimibe, according to age, diabetes mellitus and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), is recommended for the primary prevention of ASCVD.\(^{31}\)

**Current treatment practice in Singapore: are clinicians underdosing high-risk patients?**

In clinical trials, such as the Incremental Decrease in End Points Through Aggressive Lipid Lowering and Treating to New Targets studies,\(^{32,33}\) different doses of statins were studied and LDL-C levels were evaluated to ensure that the correct dose was used to avoid undertreatment. Most of the studies selected individuals with an existing clinical condition and treated them with fixed-dose statins (e.g. high- vs. low-intensity or statin vs. placebo). None of the trials targeted a specific LDL-C level and there was no provision for dose up-titration. However, in clinical practice, there is a strong reluctance toward treatment based on statin intensity (e.g. high-intensity statins are better than low-intensity statins [Table I]), as opposed to the LDL-centric approach.

In Asia, there are many challenges in adopting the ACC/AHA 2013 guidelines. Evidence indicates that Chinese patients have a higher incidence of myopathy at much lower statin doses.\(^{34}\) Consequently, there is reluctance to use high-intensity statins at the maximum dose. The ACC/AHA Pooled Cohort Equations risk assessment is unsuitable for Asian populations, as patients from this region were not included during the development of the tool.\(^{22}\) Recent guidelines also recommend treating risk rather than LDL-C levels.\(^{22,23}\) In Singapore, there are many high-risk patients who are not on high-intensity statins, as there is a perception that Asians are intolerant to statins.\(^{35}\) Anecdotal evidence suggests that in Singapore, there is concern among both physicians and patients regarding intensifying statins; both groups are averse to using high-intensity statins and prefer dose reduction once LDL-C levels fall below 70 mg/dL. Increased understanding of the pathogenesis of ASCVD has underscored the importance of treating both risk factors and LDL-C levels. Clinicians should consider the evidence in favour of reducing LDL-C levels in young, high-risk individuals with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia in order to achieve maximal long-term cardiovascular benefits. However, it is a challenge to convince Asian doctors and patients to adopt this approach.

**Asians are more susceptible to adverse effects of high-intensity statins: evidence or opinion?**

Available data indicates that the effect of statins in Asian patients is not a class effect. For atorvastatin, similar pharmacokinetics in Asians and Caucasians predict a correlation between efficacy and safety in Asian and global studies, supporting similar dosing regimens in these populations.\(^{36}\) In contrast, systemic exposure to rosuvastatin is higher in Asians compared with Caucasians;\(^{37}\) significant differences in pharmacokinetics between these patient populations predict a lack of correlation between efficacy and safety. Consequently, prescribing information for rosuvastatin recommends the same low starting dose (5 mg) in Asians as in patients with renal impairment.\(^{38}\) Emerging data also suggests that rosuvastatin may have an adverse effect on renal function, with significant reductions in eGFR observed in patients with type 1 or 2 diabetes mellitus.\(^{39}\)

Evidence confirms that the adverse effects of atorvastatin are similar across the dose range.\(^{36,37}\) The Insulin Resistance Intervention after Stroke trial involving patients of South Asian origin with CHD also showed a dose-dependent reduction in LDL-C with atorvastatin and a comparable safety profile in both arms of the study (i.e. atorvastatin 10 mg and 20 mg).\(^{40}\) Unlike atorvastatin, simvastatin was associated with a higher risk of myopathy in a large cohort of Chinese patients, as compared with Caucasian patients \((n = 25,673)\).\(^{41}\) The absolute risk of any myopathy (definite or incipient) was much higher in China than in Europe (0.13%/year vs. 0.04%/year; \(p = 0.001\)). Recently reported findings from the Heart Protection Study 2 – Treatment of HDL to Reduce the Incidence of Vascular Events (HPS2-THRIVE), which showed a higher rate of myopathy in the Chinese population,\(^{42}\) have led to new precautions, including advising caution when prescribing simvastatin to Asian patients and that the lowest dose necessary should be employed. Although Chinese patients were the only Asians assessed in HPS2-THRIVE and data on other Asian populations is limited, the manufacturer has proposed that these new precautions for simvastatin use be extended to all Asians, including Japanese, Malays and Asian-Indians, due to the potential seriousness of myopathy. Therefore, the Health Sciences Authority, Singapore, has recommended updating local package inserts of products containing simvastatin to provide new recommendations for the use of simvastatin in Asians.\(^{43}\)

**Expert opinions and recommendations for ideal clinical practice in Singapore**

There are currently a number of international and local guidelines that advocate different approaches to ASCVD prevention and management.\(^{22,23,26-29}\) The local CPG on diabetes mellitus remains LDL-centric;\(^{47}\) in contrast to recent guidelines, such as ACC/AHA 2013 and ADA 2015, that recommend high-intensity statins for primary prevention in high-risk diabetic patients.\(^{22,28}\) NICE 2014 recommends atorvastatin 20 mg (i.e. high-intensity according to NICE) for the primary prevention of CVD and atorvastatin 80 mg for secondary prevention in patients with CVD.\(^{28}\) In Singapore, there is a clear need for a standard multidisciplinary consensus on lipid-lowering treatment that is specific to the local population and includes the modified Singapore Framingham risk score. Meanwhile, this expert panel identified some common principles to consider when treating patients at risk of ASCVD.

**Risk prediction scoring tool**

Assessment of ASCVD risk is important and Asian-specific risk assessment tools (risk calculators) should be used when available. Anecdotal evidence suggests that the ASCVD risk calculator is underutilised among Asians. Ideally, each country should develop a risk calculator that is applicable to its
population or recalibrate existing international risk calculators. If one is not available, the QRISK2 calculator may be a better option for Asians than the ACC/AHA Pooled Cohort Equations, as it incorporates Asian ethnic groups (e.g. Chinese, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi and other Asians), CKD, atrial fibrillation, rheumatoid arthritis and body mass index.\(^{(22)}\) The JBS3 calculator, produced by the Joint British Societies for the prevention of CVD, included estimations of lifetime risk, which may enable a more accurate ASCVD risk assessment.\(^{(43)}\) However, the effectiveness of the JBS3 calculator to assess risk in the Asian population remains to be determined.

**Lifestyle modification**

The panel concurred that lifestyle modifications may be employed in patients at low risk of CVD. This recommendation is in agreement with the local guidelines, which allow for a period of lifestyle intervention without statin therapy in patients who are considered low-risk.\(^{(26)}\)

**Low- versus high-intensity statin**

Ideally, clinicians should aim for LDL-C targets based on the National Cholesterol Education Program’s Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines.\(^{(42)}\) However, as this approach may result in undertreatment of many patients, a risk-based approach may be more appropriate. Furthermore, it is important to start statin therapy at the intended dose. In the Asian population, atorvastatin 10–20 mg is suitable for primary prevention, while 40–80 mg should be considered for secondary prevention (achieving > 50\% LDL-C reduction). Rosuvastatin 10 mg and 20 mg (up to 40 mg) can also be considered for primary and secondary prevention, respectively. Higher statin doses that will produce lower LDL-C levels should be adhered to in patients with overt CVD. Commencing statins and continuing on long-term statin therapy in younger high-risk individuals (e.g. those with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia) may confer greater cardiovascular benefits than initiating treatment at an older age. Evidence shows that atorvastatin has a similar tolerability profile in Asian and Caucasian patients. However, consideration should be given to the recent findings of the HPS2-THRIVE study when prescribing high-intensity simvastatin to Asian patients.

Based on the above, it is evident that the Singapore ASCVD expert group has assimilated the most appropriate recommendations from the various current guidelines for the following population subgroups (Table II):

1. Patients with established ASCVD, e.g. CHD, history of MI, stable angina, ACS (i.e. unstable angina, non-ST-segment elevation MI and ST-segment elevation MI), stroke, transient ischaemic attack and peripheral arterial disease, as secondary prevention.

(a) High-intensity statins are usually required to achieve maximal cholesterol lowering of ≥ 50\% LDL-C reduction, although it is recognised that there may be inter-individual variations in the response to LDL-C reduction with a fixed dose of statin.

(b) Atorvastatin 40–80 mg or rosuvastatin 20–40 mg reduces LDL-C by an average of ≥ 50\%.

(c) There is still a role for an LDL-centric approach; LDL-C should be lowered to very low levels (preferably ≤ 70 mg/dL).

(d) Based on current evidence,\(^{(30,43)}\) in very-high-risk patients who are unable to achieve ≥ 50\% LDL-C reduction or are not on LDL target ≤ 70 mg/dL, the addition of ezetimibe can be considered; recently, proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) monoclonal antibodies have also been recommended.\(^{(43,44)}\)

2. Patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia, with LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL.

(a) High-intensity statins are required to lower LDL-C as much as possible.

(b) The addition of a non-statin drug (e.g. ezetimibe) may be required to further lower LDL-C levels. These recommendations are based on the fact that individuals with LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL, even without risk factors, have a high lifetime risk of ASCVD.

(c) PCSK9 inhibitors have recently received the approval of the United States Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of familial hypercholesterolaemia.\(^{(44)}\)

3. Diabetes mellitus (type 1 or 2) patients aged 40–75 years, with LDL-C of 70–189 mg/dL.

(a) There is almost universal agreement that diabetic patients with established ASCVD should receive high-intensity statin therapy to achieve maximal LDL-C lowering of ≥ 50\% in order to attain very low levels of LDL-C (≤ 70 mg/dL).\(^{(23)}\) Based on the current consensus, if patients cannot achieve ≥ 50\% LDL-C reduction or are not on an LDL-C target ≤ 70 mg/dL, the addition of ezetimibe or PCSK9 inhibitors can be considered.

(b) Primary prevention

i. In patients who are categorised as high-risk (ASCVD pool cohort ≥ 7.5\% or QRISK2/JBS3 ≥ 10\%), high-intensity statin therapy can be considered. For those in the moderate-risk category (ASCVD < 7.5\% or QRISK2/ JBS3 < 10\%), moderate-intensity statin therapy can be considered.

ii. For patients with a residual risk burden (especially high triglycerides ≥ 200 mg/dL, HDL-C < 40 mg/dL), the addition of a fibrate after the commencement of statin therapy can be considered.

4. Patients aged 40–75 years, with LDL-C of 70–189 mg/dL, as primary prevention.

(a) Individuals in this category have numerous complex issues depending on their risk profile.

(b) Global risk assessment using the risk calculator is required, with categorisation into high- or moderate-risk for initiation of high- or moderate-intensity statin therapy (refer to 3bi & ii).

5. CKD patients

(a) Assessment and classification of CKD stage is required, using the KDIGO eGFR nomenclature.\(^{(26)}\)
Table II. Key recommendations for treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce and prevent atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk in adults.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>1) Clinical ASCVD (CHD, history of MI, stable angina, UA, ACS, NSTEMI, STEMI, stroke, TIA, PAD)</th>
<th>2) Familial hypercholesterolaemia LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL</th>
<th>3) DM type 1 or 2 LDL-C 70–189 mg/dL</th>
<th>4) Primary prevention No DM/clinical ASCVD LDL-C 70–189 mg/dL</th>
<th>5) CKD (G3–5)‡ Patients on dialysis or with kidney transplant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>LDL-goal target (mg/dL)</td>
<td>&lt; 60 to 70</td>
<td>&lt; 70 to 70</td>
<td>≥ 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary (clinical ASCVD)</td>
<td>&lt; 60 to 70</td>
<td>&gt; 75ὁ</td>
<td>&gt; 75ὁ</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Global risk assessment</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not required</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LDL-reduction (%)</td>
<td>70 to &lt; 100</td>
<td>≥ 50</td>
<td>&gt; 75</td>
<td>≥ 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statin-centric approach</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>As low as possible</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of statin dose* (mg)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>High (LDL-C 70–189 mg/dL)</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atorvastatin</td>
<td>40–80 (H)</td>
<td>40–80 (H)</td>
<td>40–80 (H)</td>
<td>40–80 (H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosuvastatin</td>
<td>10–20 (M)</td>
<td>20–40 (H)</td>
<td>5–10 (M)</td>
<td>10–20 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simvastatin</td>
<td>20–40 (M)</td>
<td>20–40 (H)</td>
<td>10–20 (M)</td>
<td>10–20 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fluvastatin XR</td>
<td>40–80 (H)</td>
<td>40–80 (H)</td>
<td>10–20 (M)</td>
<td>10–20 (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Add non-statin</td>
<td>Ezetimibe</td>
<td>Can consider</td>
<td>Can consider</td>
<td>Can consider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCSK9 inhibitor</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*‡M: moderate-intensity statin therapy, H: high-intensity statin therapy. †Risk assessment with ASCVD Pooled Cohort Equation – high risk: ≥ 7.5%, moderate risk: 5 to < 7.5%; QRISK2/JBS3 – high risk: ≥ 10%, moderate risk: < 10%.
KDIGO chronic kidney disease (CKD) nomenclature (eGFR mL/min/1.73 m²) – G1: normal, G2: mildly decreased 60–89, G3: 30–59, G4: 15–29, G5: < 15. ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CHD: coronary heart disease; DM: diabetes mellitus; LDL-C: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; MI: myocardial infarction; NSTEMI: non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; PAD: peripheral arterial disease; PCSK9: proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9; STEMI: ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; TIA: transient ischaemic attack; UA: unstable angina.
CONCLUSION

Increased understanding of the pathogenesis of ASCVD has underscored the importance of treating both risk factors and LDL-C levels. Recent guidelines are in favour of treating CVD risks rather than targeting specific LDL-C levels. At present, there are no consolidated guidelines and risk assessment tools for ASCVD management in Singapore. Hence, physicians must rely on clinical judgement to make best practice decisions for their patients. Additionally, there are concerns among both physicians and patients in Singapore regarding intensifying statins, as there is a perception that Asians are less tolerant to high-intensity statins. Consequently, many high-risk patients are not on high-intensity statins, despite evidence confirming that the efficacy and safety of certain statins (e.g., atorvastatin) are similar in Asian and Caucasian patients across the dose range. Therefore, a locally developed and validated risk assessment tool is needed to guide treatment decisions for Singapore physicians.
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(b) LDL-C targets should be avoided due to the poor correlation of LDL-C levels with CVD risk. There is a paradoxical association between cholesterol and cardiovascular outcomes, especially in patients with end-stage renal disease who require dialysis, due to confounding factors such as malnutrition, inflammation and the effect of modification by protein energy wasting, which lower the LDL-C. Additionally, advanced kidney failure patients with low cholesterol, who are at high risk, are often not identified.

(c) Statin-naïve patients on dialysis do not appear to benefit from the initiation of statin therapy (similar to heart failure Class II-IV).

(d) All CKD patients who are not on dialysis are at high risk for ASCVD and should be given moderate-intensity statin therapy (refer to 3bi & ii). Dialysis and renal transplant patients who are already on statin therapy should remain on it.

(e) Moderate-intensity statins are recommended for advanced CKD patients to avoid the high risk of medication-related adverse events because of reduced renal excretion, frequent polypharmacy and high prevalence of comorbidities.

(f) Combination therapy with a non-statin drug (e.g. ezetimibe) is useful for avoiding high-intensity statin therapy to attain the desired LDL-C reduction.

(g) Statin therapy is appropriate in adult kidney transplant recipients. However, toxicity due to drug interactions, especially with calcineurin inhibitors, needs to be taken into account. A low starting dose with cautious increments, according to patient tolerability and indication, is recommended.


