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INTRODUCTION

Increasing financial challenges have resulted in great debt among medical graduates worldwide. In Singapore, more scholarships and bursaries have been disbursed in recent years to support students who are financially challenged. We aimed to study the financial status of medical students in National University of Singapore (NUS) Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine (i.e. NUS Medicine), Singapore, and the financial support available to them.

METHODS

A cross-sectional quantitative study was performed. Surveys were distributed and completed by medical students of NUS Medicine. Information regarding household income, financial assistance, monthly allowance and expense, and concurrent occupations was collected. We compared our findings with the results of a similar study performed in 2007 and national income data.

RESULTS

A total of 956 (66.2%) out of 1,445 medical students completed the survey. 19.5% and 58.5% of respondents came from households with monthly incomes $SGD 3,000 and $SGD 7,000, respectively. 20.6% of students had loans, 18.9% had scholarships and bursaries, and 14.4% worked to support themselves.

CONCLUSION

Medical school fees have risen by more than 50% over the past ten years. Our study found that there were increases in the proportion of students from both the lower- and higher-income segments, with proportionally fewer students from the middle-income segment. A large number of students were working and/or had some form of financial support. More should be done to meet the needs of financially challenged medical students to ensure equal access to quality medical education.
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for NUS Medicine students. We hope that the study findings will provide decision-makers with useful information, allowing them to better support medical students financially.

**METHODS**

A quantitative survey was conducted on all medical students from NUS Medicine. The authors obtained ethical approval for this study from the NUS Institutional Review Board (IRB; NUS IRB code: IRB-2014-07-015), with permission for a waiver of consent to preserve the anonymity of the participants, due to the sensitive nature of the questionnaire. Completion of the survey was considered to be consent for involvement in the study. Hard copies of the questionnaire forms were distributed to all Year 1–5 NUS Medicine students in 2014. No faculty members were involved in the distribution of questionnaires, and an attempt was made to ensure that there was no incentive for participation or disincentive for non-participation. No identifiers were collected within the survey. Participant information sheets were provided along with the questionnaires to all potential participants. A briefing was also provided before the start of the survey.

Information regarding household income, financial assistance, monthly allowance and expense, and concurrent occupations was collected. All data collected was analysed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Chi-square test was used to determine statistical significance in differences between groups. Where relevant, we included error margins in the form of confidence intervals. Data from this study was compared with that from a previous study conducted among NUS Medicine students in AY 2007/2008. Where relevant, the key household income trends in the years 2006 and 2014 were compared. These national trends are based on studies published by the Singapore Department of Statistics in the years 2006 and 2014.

**RESULTS**

A total of 956 out of 1,445 medical students responded to the survey in AY 2014/2015, translating to a response rate of 66.2%, compared to 735 out of 1,143 (64.3%) medical students in the AY 2007/2008 survey. The proportion of medical students from households with a monthly household income from work (unadjusted for inflation) of at least SGD 7,000 (i.e. higher-income) was much higher in 2014 than 2007 (58.5% vs. 34.1%; p < 0.001). However, the proportion of such medical students was similar to that of the national population (57.8%), based on 2014 national census data. Further details are shown in Table II. In 2007, the proportion of medical students who came from households with a monthly household income from work of less than SGD 3,000 (i.e. lower-income) was lower than that of the national population (21.9% vs. 26.4%). However, in 2014, this proportion was greater than that of the national population (19.5% vs. 15.6%). From 2007 to 2014, although the change in the percentage of medical students who were from this lower-income group was not significant (p = 0.294), the change in the ratio of medical students to the national population – from 0.83 in 2007 to 1.25 in 2014 – suggests that there may have been a significant change in the proportion of medical students in this group relative to the national population data.
The total number of students on financial assistance schemes decreased from 45.7% in 2007 to 39.5% in 2014 (Table III). However, there was a rise in the percentage of medical students on scholarships or bursaries, from 10.2% in 2007 to 18.9% in 2014. These scholarships and bursaries included the Singapore Armed Forces Local Merit Scholarship, NUS-based scholarships, and scholarships from private firms and banks. There was a decrease in the number of students who took up loans, from 35.5% in 2007 to 20.6% in 2014. The majority of students who were on loans received NUS-based loans, which included study and tuition fee loans, or the Central Provident Fund Education Scheme loan.

The proportion of medical students who resided in on-campus accommodation was similar, at 10.3% in 2007 and 9.4% in 2014 (Table IV). However, there was a slight decrease in pre-clinical students (Year 1 and 2) who resided in on-campus accommodation, from 21.2% in 2007 to 12.5% in 2014. There was also a slight increase in the proportion of clinical students (Year 3–5) residing in on-campus accommodation, from 4.08% in 2007 to 6.97% in 2014.

About 14.4% (n = 138) of medical students engaged in work over and above their medical studies (Table VI). The proportion of medical students who engaged in work was similar across batches. Of those who engaged in work, 114 (82.6%) students gave private tuition, while the remaining 24 (17.4%) were involved in other forms of work. From this subpopulation, 129 students disclosed the number of hours they worked per week. Per week, 107 (82.9%) of these students worked for five hours or less, 13 (10.1%) worked for 6–10 hours, 6 (4.7%) worked for 11–15 hours, and 3 (2.3%) worked more than 15 hours. About 37.0% of students who worked stated that their work had negatively impacted their medical studies.

**DISCUSSION**

Medical school tuition fees in Singapore have risen by almost 50% over the last seven years, since the last comprehensive analysis of the financial status of medical students conducted in 2007.12 We studied the financial status and impact of these rising fees among NUS Medicine students in 2014 and compared our findings with those of the earlier cohort. While household incomes had increased among medical students in tandem with the national figures, the most striking finding was the rise in the proportion of students who came from lower-income households. This was accompanied by a decrease in the proportion of students from the middle-income groups and an increase in the proportion of students on financial aid and loans. A large number of students were also engaged in some form of work in the course of their medical education. Many of these students opined that their work had negatively affected their education.

The shifts in the proportion of medical students from the groups with the highest and lowest income between 2007 and 2014 are generally consistent with the changes in the national household income distribution in Singapore. However, interestingly, there was a higher proportion of medical students from the lower-income group (19.5%) compared to the national proportion of households from this group (15.6%) in 2014. This is different from in 2007, during which the proportion of medical students from lower-income households (21.9%) was lower than the national proportion of lower-income households (26.4%). There are some possible reasons for this: a rising number of non-citizen families residing in Singapore that may have a lower household income from work, reflected in lower incomes in Singapore dollars; and greater outreach by NUS Medicine to increase the diversity of applicants to the medical school. The current financial bursaries offered to medical students at NUS Medicine are shown in Table VI.

In the current study, we found a statistically significant shift in the proportion of medical students from the higher-income group, from 34.1% in 2007 to 58.5% in 2014. However, as there was a concomitant rise in the national proportion of households in the higher-income group, from 37.5% to 57.8%, the ratio of medical students compared to the national population in this group remained similar (0.91 in 2007 vs. 1.01 in 2014).

### Table III. Proportion of medical students under the various financial assistance schemes in 2007 and 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial assistance scheme</th>
<th>2007 (n = 735)</th>
<th>2014 (n = 956)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loans*</td>
<td>261 (35.5)</td>
<td>197 (20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarships/bursaries*</td>
<td>75 (10.2)</td>
<td>181 (18.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>336 (45.7)</td>
<td>378 (39.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages are calculated according to the total no. of respondents for the study.

*Loans mainly include National University of Singapore (NUS)-based loans, the Central Provident Fund Education Scheme loan and miscellaneous loans from students’ relatives. *Scholarships/bursaries include the Singapore Armed Forces Local Merit Scholarship, NUS Scholarship, OCBC Bank and United Overseas Bank Scholarships, and miscellaneous scholarships and bursaries awarded by various corporate companies.

### Table IV. Comparison of proportion of medical students who lived in on-campus accommodation in 2007 and 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>2007 No. (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>19 (20.00)</td>
<td>0.0570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>38 (21.84)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>11 (6.15)</td>
<td>0.00319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>6 (2.93)</td>
<td>0.546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>2 (2.44)</td>
<td>0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>76 (10.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages are calculated according to the total no. of respondents in each year.

### Table V. Proportion of medical students who worked and stated that their work negatively impacted their studies in 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Total* No. (%)</th>
<th>Work affected studies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>32 (14.3)</td>
<td>11 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>27 (13.5)</td>
<td>12 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>41 (16.4)</td>
<td>14 (34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>22 (13.9)</td>
<td>8 (36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>16 (12.6)</td>
<td>6 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>138 (14.4)</td>
<td>51 (37.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Percentages are calculated according to the total no. of students in each year.
This suggests that despite the increased proportion of medical students from the higher-income group and decreased proportion from the middle-income groups, increasing medical school fees over the years may not have deterred the middle-income from applying for medical school. Instead, our finding may have been another manifestation of economic differences within the strata of Singapore’s society.

From 2007 to 2014, we noted a decrease in the number of medical students who received some form of financial assistance, from 45.7% to 39.5% of students. This was despite an increase in the number of medical students who received bursaries and scholarships, from 10.2% in 2007 to 18.9% in 2014. The rise in the number of students depending on scholarships and bursaries could be partly due to the relatively higher proportion of students from lower-income households and the sharp rise in the overall total cost of medical education over the years (Table I). This is reassuring, as the availability of scholarships and bursaries appears to have reduced the deterrent effect of rising fees for students from lower-income households. However, the sharp decline in the overall proportion of students from the middle-income groups (from 36.1% in 2007 to 26.6% in 2014) is a cause for concern. It corresponds with the decreased proportion of students who took up loans (from 35.5% in 2007 to 20.6% in 2014). A possible reason could be the use of strict per capita household income criteria for most current bursaries and scholarships, which tend to favour supporting students from lower-income households over those from families with slightly higher household incomes. In theory, the availability of study loans to students from middle-income households should have at least maintained the proportion of this subgroup of students enrolled in medical school from 2007 to 2014. In practice, however, there may be other financial factors that we have not considered, which may influence the decision of potential medical students to not enrol in medical school. Furthermore, study loans, unlike means-tested bursaries and scholarships, place students in debt. High tuition fees have been shown to potentially...
result in large debts.\textsuperscript{15-17} Although loans remove the current financial burden for medical students, they contribute to their post-graduation financial burden. This may be undesirable, as it could impact career choices and lead to excessive moonlighting or locum work by junior doctors, which may have repercussions for future training.

We also found that 14.4\% of NUS Medicine students were involved in some form of work during their medical school years. More than one in three of these students stated that their work had negatively affected their studies. This suggests that a high proportion of medical students who work do so out of necessity, to support themselves financially and reduce the financial burden on their families. The impact of work on a student’s medical education, in addition to existing heavy financial burdens, further contributes to the potential mental health issues the student may face. All this may lead to a suboptimal medical education. Studies from the United Kingdom, where medical school tuition fees rose from just over GBP 3,000 per year in 2011 to GBP 6,000–9,000 in 2012, have shown that poor mental health in medical students was related to financial challenges, with some considering leaving school due to financial challenges,\textsuperscript{18,20} financial concerns,\textsuperscript{21} being in debt\textsuperscript{22} and being concerned about debt.\textsuperscript{23} Other studies have also shown that financial challenges result in poorer mental health in tertiary students.\textsuperscript{24-27}

To overcome the issue of rising tuition fees, several solutions have been proposed in the literature. Weinstein et al.,\textsuperscript{28} for instance, discussed the SAFE (Strategic Alternative for Funding Education) programme, in which practising physicians pay for their medical school education over a ten-year period after completing relevant postgraduate training, rather than through loans obtained while studying. Other suggestions from overseas include shortening the length of medical school training,\textsuperscript{29} raising the salary of residents so as to increase the ease of debt payment, and decreasing the length of residency and fellowship training.\textsuperscript{30} With the ever-increasing medical school tuition fees, practical solutions have to be considered to reduce the financial burden of a medical education and to ensure increasing diversity in the medical students whom we attract. In Singapore, students start repaying their student loan once they start working, which potentially has an adverse impact on postgraduate career choices.\textsuperscript{5,7} When this medical school was first established in Singapore in 1905,\textsuperscript{31} it was recognised that medical education had to be accessible to the best students with aptitude and interest in medicine as a career, in order to serve the local community. Hence, a number of scholarships were made available and fees were kept low.\textsuperscript{11,31} Hopefully, some of that spirit can continue as NUS Medicine moves forward into its second century.

This study had certain limitations. First, as it is primarily a survey of medical students, our data heavily depended on self-reported information and was therefore prone to recall bias. Second, despite our best efforts to reach out to all the medical students in NUS Medicine, there was still a 33.8\% non-responder rate for the current survey. The participation of this group of non-responders could have resulted in some differences and impacted our findings.

In conclusion, undergraduate medical school tuition fees in Singapore have been rising over the years. It is reassuring that the proportion of students from the lowest household income group has increased, possibly in response to efforts by NUS Medicine to increase the diversity of applicants to the school. However, the drop in proportion of students from lower- and middle-income households and the large number of students who have to work despite its impact on their studies are both serious concerns. We need to establish more comprehensive funding models to ensure that Singaporeans are served by the most qualified doctors in the future, regardless of the socioeconomic background of the doctors in their formative years.
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