Seventeen years of life support courses for nurses: where are we now?
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ABSTRACT The Life Support Course for Nurses (LSCN) equips nurses with the resuscitation skills to be first responders in in-hospital cardiac arrests. Seventeen years after the initiation of the LSCN, a confidential cross-sectional Qualtrics™ survey was conducted in May 2016 on LSCN graduands to assess the following: confidence in nurse-initiated resuscitation post-LSCN; defibrillation experience and outcomes; and perceived barriers and usefulness of the LSCN. The majority of respondents reported that the course was useful and enhanced their confidence in resuscitation. Skills retention can be enhanced by organising frequent team-based resuscitation training. Resuscitation successes should be publicised to help overcome perceived barriers.

INTRODUCTION The in-hospital cardiac arrest (IHCA) chain of survival includes the following: (1) surveillance and prevention; (2) recognition and activation of hospital cardiac arrest (Code Blue) teams; (3) uninterrupted high-quality cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR); (4) rapid defibrillation; and (5) advanced cardiac life support and post-resuscitation care.1 It has been reported that only 10%–20% of IHCA patients survive till discharge.2–5 In contrast to out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA) rhythms, the initial IHCA rhythm is usually non-shockable.4,5 This is possibly due to the higher incidence of physiological deterioration with hypoxaemia and hypotension, rather than the acute coronary event. The key to prevention of IHCA is surveillance, through the use of early warning scores,6 and escalation of care to medical emergency teams.7–8 Donnino et al reported that for IHCA with non-shockable rhythms, early administration of adrenaline within 1–3 minutes, compared with longer administration times, was associated with increased return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), survival to hospital discharge and neurologically intact survival.9,10 As the usual first responders in IHCA, nurses thus play an important role, whether in administering adrenaline, performing CPR or defibrillation.

While the Basic Cardiac Life Support (BCLS) course is mandatory for all nurses in Singapore, not many nurses deployed outside of critical care areas attend the Advanced Cardiac Life Support (ACLS) course. The Life Support Course for Nurses (LSCN) was developed in 199911 under the auspices of the National Resuscitation Council (NRC), Singapore, to bridge the gap between BCLS and ACLS. The LSCN teaches advanced airway skills such as laryngeal mask insertion; endotracheal intubation; resuscitation algorithms for ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia and asystole/pulseless electrical activity; defibrillation with automated and manual defibrillators; and simplified drug therapy. The course enables nurses to institute effective advanced care for IHCA (steps 2–4 in the chain of survival) before the arrival of Code Blue teams. Recognising that the work environment of Singapore nurses varies widely and nursing governance is not homogeneous, a general framework has been provided so that local practice can be adapted during nurse-initiated resuscitation. The 2016 cardiac arrest LSCN algorithm (Fig. 1) reflects the key emphasis of the NRC’s 2016 guidelines: good quality, uninterrupted CPR delinked from ventilation; and early defibrillation.

QUALTRICS SURVEY ON LSCN In Singapore, there are currently ten LSCN provider training centres and three LSCN instructor training centres with 232 active instructors. To date, 2,211 new certification courses and 1,149 recertification courses have been conducted. Over a decade after the implementation of the LSCN, we sought to take stock by conducting a confidential cross-sectional Qualtrics™ survey in May 2016. The survey consisted of five parts: (1) demographics of the respondent; (2) confidence in nurse-initiated resuscitation pre- and post-LSCN; (3) defibrillation experience and outcomes post-LSCN; (4) perceived barriers to nurse-initiated resuscitation; and (5) perceived usefulness of LSCN and reasons for perceptions.

RESULTS There were 305 respondents to the survey (Table I). Mirroring the gender bias of nurses in Singapore, 92% of respondents were female. The mean age of the respondents was 38 (range 23–72; median 40) years. The majority (66%) had more than ten years of nursing experience (Table I). 64% of the respondents were registered nurses, 10% were nurse managers and 26% were LSCN instructors. The reported confidence in performing defibrillation was statistically significantly higher post-LSCN (p < 0.001, two-tailed Fisher’s exact test). Following the LSCN, 39% of respondents had performed defibrillation, while 61% had not. The survey showed that 84% of nurse-initiated resuscitations achieved ROSC.

Among the respondents, 70% opined that the LSCN was very useful, while 27% reported moderate usefulness. The remaining
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3% (n = 9) of respondents found the course not useful: six attributed it to the lack of opportunity to use the skills learnt (due to where they were deployed), which led to degradation of skills; two cited that certain components of the course (like endotracheal intubation) should not have been taught, as they were not accredited to perform such procedures; and one mentioned trainer competence issues. The main barriers to nurse-initiated resuscitation were: lack of confidence (35%); fear of litigation (13%); fear of harming the patient (22%); hospital policy (21%); and lack of opportunity (10%) due to various reasons (Table II).

**DISCUSSION**

It would appear from the survey results that graduates of the LSCN tend to be more senior. To maximise the number of clinical areas staffed with LSCN-trained nurses, it is recommended that the LSCN feature earlier in nurses’ continuing education roadmap. The majority of LSCN graduates (61%) stated that they had not defibrillated. This is likely a reflection of where they were deployed; for example, in subacute wards or clinics, IHCAs do not commonly occur.

**Barriers to nurse-initiated resuscitation**

Young et al reported insufficient training (37.7%), lack of competence (9.8%), lack of self-confidence (5.9%), and workload and tension (5.1%) as the leading barriers to nurse-initiated resuscitation. To mitigate these barriers, it was suggested that “strategic planning and resuscitation skills training should be...”
incorporated into staff development programmes to reduce barriers to optimal resuscitation performance and cope with work demands for ward nurses”. Locally, it has been previously postulated that the lack of confidence, fear of incurring litigation, fear of harming the patient, lack of practising privilege by the nursing governance, entrenched ‘supportive’ role of nurses in resuscitation and perceived difficulty in interpreting electrocardiograph rhythms were barriers to nurse-initiated resuscitation.\(^{(12)}\)

In this survey, approximately half the respondents perceived that the first four barriers still exist. Given the encouraging rates of reported ROSC after nurse-initiated defibrillation, publicity of successful nurse-initiated resuscitations by nurse leaders may gradually improve these perceptions. With the option of using the automated external defibrillator function, the barrier of difficulty in interpreting electrocardiograph rhythms no longer exists.

Retention of resuscitation skills in nurses
Some survey respondents raised the issue of skills retention and degradation. The principles of the LSCN adhere to evidence-based education concepts, including blended learning pedagogy involving computer-based learning for core content and hands-on practice for skills learning to aid retention. Contextualised resuscitation training is also conducted to test application, analysis and evaluation (higher rungs of Bloom’s Taxonomy\(^{(13)}\)). Structured feedback and debriefing sessions are carried out by instructors and clear remediation goals are set for improvement. In addition, participants are encouraged to undertake reflective learning at the end of each session. In June 2014, a faculty development session on LSCN multiple-choice standard setting was organised for LSCN instructor leads.

It is well known that CPR skills degrade more rapidly than knowledge. Skills appear to decline as early as two weeks after training, diminishing to pre-training levels by 1–2 years.\(^{(14)}\)Leith noted that a sample of intensive care nurses retained knowledge over a six- and 12-month period, but were unable to achieve the standard required to pass the practical test in that time.\(^{(15)}\) In a review article, Hamilton reported different methods for improving skills retention: simulation training; peer tuition; video self-instruction; computer-based learning programmes; voice-activated manikins; automated external defibrillators; self-instruction; gaming; and the use of action cards.\(^{(16)}\)

Significantly more respondents in the study reported improved confidence in nurse-initiated resuscitation after the LSCN. However, the majority of respondents who opined that the course was not useful experienced a lack of opportunity to use the skills learnt, resulting in degradation of skills. Similarly, Murphy reported that although many nurses felt confident immediately after a life support course, most felt that as time passed, their confidence had reduced to such a degree that they would not use their skills without supervision. It was also reported that attending to a cardiac arrest soon after the course appeared to be key to reinforcing confidence.\(^{(17)}\) In lieu of actually encountering an IHCA, periodic team-based resuscitation drills should be organised to maintain skills and knowledge.

CONCLUSION
It is recommended that the LSCN should feature early in nurses’ continuing education roadmap. The survey found that the majority of LSCN graduates and instructors felt that the course was useful and had enhanced their confidence to perform nurse-initiated resuscitation. To promote skill and knowledge retention, hospitals should organise frequent team-based resuscitation training. Nursing leadership can also assist in overcoming perceived barriers by publicising nurse-initiated resuscitation successes.
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