Management of computed tomography-detected pneumothorax in patients with blunt trauma: experience from a community-based hospital
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INTRODUCTION Diagnoses of pneumothorax, especially occult pneumothorax, have increased as the use of computed tomography (CT) for imaging trauma patients becomes near-routine. However, the need for chest tube insertion remains controversial. We aimed to study the management of pneumothorax detected on CT among patients with blunt trauma, including the decision for tube thoracostomy, in a community-based hospital.

METHODS Chest CT scans of patients with blunt trauma treated at Al Rahba Hospital, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, from October 2010 to October 2014 were retrospectively studied. Variables studied included demography, mechanism of injury, endotracheal intubation, pneumothorax volume, chest tube insertion, Injury Severity Score, hospital length of stay and mortality.

RESULTS CT was performed in 703 patients with blunt trauma. Overall, pneumothorax was detected on CT for 74 (10.5%) patients. Among the 65 patients for whom pneumothorax was detected before chest tube insertion, 25 (38.5%) needed chest tube insertion, while 40 (61.5%) did not. Backward stepwise likelihood regression showed that independent factors that significantly predicted chest tube insertion were endotracheal intubation (p = 0.01), non-United Arab Emirates nationality (p = 0.01) and pneumothorax volume (p = 0.03). The receiver operating characteristic curve showed that the best pneumothorax volume that predicted chest tube insertion was 30 mL.

CONCLUSION Chest tube was inserted in less than half of the patients with blunt trauma for whom pneumothorax was detected on CT. Pneumothorax volume should be considered in decision-making regarding chest tube insertion. Conservative treatment may be sufficient for pneumothorax of volume < 30 mL.
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INTRODUCTION

In an era of rapid advances in imaging technology and resource constraints, more studies are needed to define the role of chest computed tomography (CT) for patients with multiple blunt trauma. Advances in CT have greatly increased its diagnostic accuracy for acutely traumatised patients. Recent studies have demonstrated the value of chest CT for detecting chest injuries in spite of radiation exposure and cost. Thoracic injury is a major cause of trauma-related death. Routine use of chest CT for patients with multiple trauma is preferable to selective use, as it could change the course of patient management and improve outcomes.

Pneumothorax is the most common, potentially life-threatening blunt chest injury. With the near-routine use of CT for imaging in patients with multiple trauma, the diagnosis of pneumothorax has increased. This is particularly so for occult pneumothorax, which is not detected on initial chest radiography. However, the need for chest tube insertion, which is an invasive procedure, for the management of small pneumothorax remains controversial, especially for patients with occult pneumothorax.

According to Advanced Trauma Life Support (ATLS) guidelines, "Any traumatic pneumothorax is best treated with a chest tube. Neither general anaesthesia nor positive-pressure ventilation should be administered in the patient who has sustained a traumatic pneumothorax until a chest tube has been inserted." In contrast, a prospective multicentre randomised controlled trial showed that in a haemodynamically stable patient, occult pneumothorax can be safely managed conservatively without chest tube insertion, even for mechanically ventilated patients. We aimed to study the management of CT-detected pneumothorax in patients with blunt trauma, including the decision to insert a chest tube, in a community-based hospital setting.

METHODS

All chest CT images of patients with blunt trauma who were treated at Al Rahba Hospital, Abu Dhabi, during a four-year period from October 2010 to October 2014 were retrospectively studied. Al Rahba Hospital is a community-based hospital, with a capacity of 190 beds, located on the main highway connecting Abu Dhabi and Dubai cities. About 700 patients with multiple trauma are admitted to the hospital annually.

Variables studied included demography, mechanism of injury, endotracheal intubation and mechanical ventilation, the need for chest tube insertion, Injury Severity Score (ISS), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score, Revised Trauma Score, hospital length of stay
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and mortality. For patients who needed chest drainage, a chest tube of size 28–32 French was usually inserted using the open technique at the fifth intercostal space. Antibiotics were not routinely administered.

CT was performed using a General Electric 64-slice LightSpeed Volume (GE 64-slice LightSpeed VCT; General Electric, Boston, MA, USA). All patients underwent scanning of the entire thorax as part of trauma CT. Images were analysed in the lung, mediastinal and bone windows at 2.5-mm thick axial cuts. CT images with pneumothorax were further analysed in the coronal and sagittal planes. The images were reviewed to determine the size of pneumothorax. The volume of pneumothorax was calculated in millilitres (mL) using the manual segmentation method (software available in the CT machine). Radiological images (including chest radiographs and CT images) were independently reviewed by two radiologists. Patients with bilateral pneumothorax were analysed as one patient with two hemithoraces positive for pneumothorax. The volume of pneumothorax in patients having bilateral pneumothorax was calculated by adding up the pneumothorax volumes in the two hemithoraces.

The number of trauma patients who were treated in the emergency department and the number of trauma patients admitted were retrieved from the hospital’s trauma registry. The Al Rahba Hospital Research Ethics Committee approved the research project (ARH/REC-040).

Non-parametric methods were used to compare the two independent groups due to the small number of patients recruited in the study. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables, while Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare continuous or ordinal variables. Univariate analysis was used to compare patients with and without chest tube insertion subsequent to CT. Factors with $p < 0.1$ were then entered into a backward stepwise likelihood regression model. A $p \leq 0.05$ in the model was considered statistically significant. Data was analysed with IBM SPSS Statistics version 20 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA).

**RESULTS**

During the study period, 25,628 trauma patients were treated in the emergency department, among whom 2,860 (11.2%) patients were admitted to the hospital. Among these, pneumothorax was detected in 74 (10.5%) patients and all of them were admitted to the hospital. Of these 74 patients, 15 (20.3%) had bilateral pneumothorax and 63 (85.1%) were men. The median age of the patients was 30 (range 11–74) years. Median ISS and GCS scores were 18 (range 8–45) and 15 (range 3–15), respectively. The most common mechanisms of injury were road traffic collision ($n = 63$, 85.1%) and fall from height ($n = 7$, 9.5%).

Among the 74 patients, pneumothorax was detected in 74 (10.5%) patients and all of them were admitted to the hospital. Of these 74 patients, 15 (20.3%) had bilateral pneumothorax and 63 (85.1%) were men. The median age of the patients was 30 (range 11–74) years. Median ISS and GCS scores were 18 (range 8–45) and 15 (range 3–15), respectively. The most common mechanisms of injury were road traffic collision ($n = 63$, 85.1%) and fall from height ($n = 7$, 9.5%).

Among the 74 patients, pneumothorax was detected in 74 (10.5%) patients and all of them were admitted to the hospital. Of these 74 patients, 15 (20.3%) had bilateral pneumothorax and 63 (85.1%) were men. The median age of the patients was 30 (range 11–74) years. Median ISS and GCS scores were 18 (range 8–45) and 15 (range 3–15), respectively. The most common mechanisms of injury were road traffic collision ($n = 63$, 85.1%) and fall from height ($n = 7$, 9.5%).

Among the 74 patients, chest radiography was performed prior to CT for 40 (54.1%) patients (Fig. 1). Overall, 9 (12.2%) patients needed chest tube insertion before CT. For two patients with obvious tension pneumothorax on clinical examination, the chest tube was inserted prior to chest radiography. For another seven patients, the chest tube was inserted prior to CT but after chest radiography. Among these, six patients had pneumothorax on chest radiography and one patient, who was mechanically ventilated and had rib fractures on chest radiography, had the chest tube inserted prophylactically.

Table I compares the radiological findings of chest radiography that was performed following the initial clinical examination between patients with ($n = 20$) and without ($n = 20$) chest tube insertion. In the former group, chest tube insertion occurred before CT for seven patients and after CT for 13 patients. The only statistically significant difference between patients with and
without chest tube insertion was the detection of pneumothorax on chest radiography (p = 0.008 using Fisher’s exact test).

Chest radiography showed overt pneumothorax in only 10 (25.0%) out of 40 patients: six had chest tube insertion before CT, three had chest tube insertion after CT and one had no chest tube insertion. 30 (75.0%) patients had occult pneumothorax (i.e. pneumothorax not detected by chest radiography), which was detected by CT. Among these patients, 11 (36.7%) had chest tube insertion and 19 (63.3%) did not. The median volume of occult pneumothorax on CT was 12.7 (range 0.11–1,401.00) mL. As chest tube insertion can change the exact volume of pneumothorax on subsequent CT, patients with chest tube insertion were excluded from the logistic regression analysis.

Overall, pneumothorax was detected on CT in 65 patients without prior chest tube insertion. Among these, chest tube was inserted after CT for 25 (38.5%) patients and not inserted for 40 (61.5%) patients (Table II). Univariate comparison of these two groups showed that patients with chest tube had significantly higher heart rate (p = 0.01), lower GCS score (p = 0.02), higher injury severity (p = 0.004), more intensive care unit admission (p = 0.017) and higher need for endotracheal intubation (p = 0.018). Patients who needed chest tubes had significantly higher pneumothorax volume (p = 0.001) and hospital length of stay (p = 0.001). There was a trend towards chest tube insertion among non-UAE citizens, but this was not statistically significant (p = 0.06).

Factors with p < 0.1 on univariate analysis were entered into a backward stepwise likelihood regression model (Table III). The model was highly significant (p < 0.0001), with a Nagelkerke R² of 0.6. Independent factors that significantly predicted chest tube insertion among patients with blunt trauma were endotracheal intubation (p = 0.01), non-UAE nationality (p = 0.01) and pneumothorax volume (p = 0.03). The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve showed that the best pneumothorax

---

### Table II. Univariate analysis of patients with and without chest tube insertion after pneumothorax was detected on CT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Chest tube insertion (n = 25)</th>
<th>No chest tube insertion (n = 40)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)*†</td>
<td>29.0 (18–58)</td>
<td>33.5 (11–74)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>23 (92.0)</td>
<td>31 (77.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>2 (8.0)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAE</td>
<td>5 (20.0)</td>
<td>18 (45.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-UAE</td>
<td>20 (80.0)</td>
<td>22 (55.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate (beats/min)*†</td>
<td>118.0 (80–135)</td>
<td>94.5 (62–164)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean systolic BP (mmHg)*†</td>
<td>127.5 (66–190)</td>
<td>128.5 (47–187)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCS*†</td>
<td>15 (3–15)</td>
<td>15 (3–15)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS*†</td>
<td>25 (10–45)</td>
<td>17 (8–34)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate*†</td>
<td>21.5 (0–48)</td>
<td>22.0 (11–40)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTS*†</td>
<td>12 (8–12)</td>
<td>12 (6–12)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road traffic collision</td>
<td>23 (92.0)</td>
<td>34 (85.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>4 (10.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (4.0)</td>
<td>2 (5.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotracheal intubation</td>
<td>13 (52.0)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractured ribs</td>
<td>19 (76.0)</td>
<td>27 (67.5)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>14 (56.0)</td>
<td>10 (25.0)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital length of stay</td>
<td>11 (1–105)</td>
<td>4 (1–46)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax volume (mL)*‡</td>
<td>114.2 (0.11–1,408.00)</td>
<td>12.0 (0.20–148.00)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients for whom chest tube was inserted prior to CT (n = 9) were excluded from the analysis. *Data presented as median (range). †p-values were calculated using Fisher’s exact test or Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate. ‡Volume of pneumothorax in both hemithoraces for each patient. BP: blood pressure; CT: computed tomography; GCS: Glasgow Coma Scale score; ICU: intensive care unit; ISS: Injury Severity Score; RTS: Revised Trauma Score; UAE: United Arab Emirates

### Table III. Factors predicting chest tube insertion after CT based on backward likelihood logistic regression analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Wald test</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-UAE nationality</td>
<td>−3.700</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03 (0.001–0.410)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endotracheal intubation</td>
<td>3.120</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>22.65 (2.02–253.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumothorax volume</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.02 (1.00–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.04 (0.99–1.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−5.190</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; UAE: United Arab Emirates
volume that predicted chest tube insertion was 30 mL (sensitivity 72%, specificity 72%, area under the curve 75%; Fig. 2).

Overall, 34 (45.9%) patients had chest tube insertion and 40 (54.1%) patients did not, instead receiving conservative treatment. There was no increase in the size of pneumothorax among patients who were treated conservatively following CT. These patients did not need any chest tube insertion for treatment of the pneumothorax. The median duration of chest tube insertion was 3 (range 1–7) days. 30 (40.5%) patients were admitted to the intensive care unit. Intubation and mechanical ventilation were needed for 28 (37.8%) patients. The mean hospital length of stay was 9.8 (range 1–105) days. Five patients died, giving an overall mortality of 6.8%.

**DISCUSSION**

Initial evaluation and treatment of our patients was based on ATLS principles. Supplemental imaging for stable patients with blunt trauma usually involves chest radiography as an adjunct to the primary survey and subsequent trauma CT as an adjunct to the secondary survey.\(^{[7]}\)

While supine chest radiography cannot detect occult pneumothorax, supine oblique chest radiography may be as good as lung ultrasonography for the detection of large occult pneumothorax.\(^{[6]}\) However, if supine radiography of the chest shows abnormal findings, then the risk of chest injuries is considerably high.\(^{[10]}\) In a single-blind prospective clinical study, chest ultrasonography was more reliable for early bedside diagnosis of pneumothorax compared with supine chest radiography.\(^{[3]}\)

Langdrof et al have shown, in a multicentre prospective study, that 71% of chest injuries would be missed if chest radiography was done without chest CT for occult injuries and that 14% of these occult injuries would need major interventions, including chest tube insertion for haemothorax or pneumothorax, mechanical ventilation or surgery.\(^{[2]}\) CT is more sensitive and specific when compared to chest radiography and significantly reduces the time for patient management in emergency departments.\(^{[12]}\) In our study, chest radiography was not performed for 45.9% of patients, who were sent directly for trauma CT. This reflects the new trend over recent years of sending stable trauma patients for trauma CT immediately in order to save time and for more accurate diagnosis.\(^{[12,13]}\)

The clinical importance and proper management of pneumothorax detected on CT in patients with blunt trauma remains controversial.\(^{[14,15]}\) In our study, pneumothorax was detected on CT among 10.5% of patients with blunt trauma, with 20.3% of these patients having bilateral pneumothorax. The most common mechanism of injury among our patients was road traffic collisions, similar to the findings of Mennicke et al.\(^{[8]}\) As in other studies, 75.0% of patients who underwent chest radiography in our study did not have clear evidence of pneumothorax on radiography, although it was detected on subsequent CT. In these patients, pneumothorax might not have been diagnosed if CT had not been performed, persisting as occult pneumothorax instead.\(^{[16,17]}\) In all, 19 (63.3%) out of 30 patients were treated conservatively without chest tube insertion in our study. Other studies have reported that 48% of patients with occult pneumothorax were treated conservatively.\(^{[19]}\) In comparison, we adopted a more conservative approach. None of our patients who were treated conservatively needed a chest tube insertion subsequently.

Similar to other studies, neither subcutaneous emphysema nor rib fractures on chest radiography were significantly associated with chest tube insertion in our patients.\(^{[18,19]}\) On the other hand, finding overt pneumothorax on chest radiography was significantly associated with chest tube insertion even prior to performing CT. This might be due to concerns among physicians of the possible development of tension pneumothorax during CT. In our study, CT was performed immediately after stabilisation of the patient and insertion of chest tube to detect other associated injuries. As the chest tube insertion may have resulted in mild pneumothorax that would not be picked up during subsequent CT, we did not include these patients in the logistic regression analysis.

Our study showed that endotracheal intubation and pneumothorax volume were independent factors that significantly predicted chest tube insertion.\(^{[16,17]}\) ATLS training is mandatory for all trauma surgeons and emergency physicians in our hospital, who were likely to have followed the ATLS guidelines mandating chest tube insertion for intubated patients with traumatic pneumothorax.\(^{[10]}\)

Chest drainage of mechanically ventilated patients with traumatic pneumothorax is controversial. Kirkpatrick et al have shown that the size of the pneumothorax is not important for chest tube insertion, while the need for prolonged ventilator support is.\(^{[31]}\) In contrast, other studies have shown that chest tube drainage is required when the size of the pneumothorax is ≥ 16 mm thick. The need for positive pressure ventilation alone does not justify chest drainage.\(^{[19]}\) Charbit et al have shown that 6% of patients with severe trauma had large occult pneumothorax (> 30 mm thick), which was not detected by chest radiography. The
observed size and rate of accumulation of occult pneumothorax was not affected by the use of early mechanical ventilation. In a prospective observational study that included all blunt chest trauma patients requiring positive pressure ventilation, a majority of occult pneumothorax or haemothorax patients were carefully managed without tube thoracostomy. Studies have suggested that tube thoracostomy can be restricted to patients who had increased size of occult pneumothorax or haemothorax or to those who developed respiratory compromise.

ROC curve is the recommended method for defining the best cut-off point with the highest sensitivity and specificity (usually at the point where the ROC curve becomes horizontal). Our cut-off point was at a pneumothorax volume of 30 mL (sensitivity 72%, specificity 72%). In our study, the decision for chest tube insertion was essentially based on clinical findings. None of our patients failed conservative management, indicating that we were very cautious in our decision-making. However, it is possible that the pneumothorax volume for which chest tube insertion is required could be over 30 mL. Indeed, in a previous case report, we conservatively treated a clinically stable patient with a large volume of pneumothorax. We note that selective conservative management of chest trauma is the current trend. In addition, prophylactic antibiotics were not used in our study when inserting a chest tube, as its use is not justified for thoracostomy tube insertion.

We were unable to explain why nationality was an independent factor that significantly affected chest tube insertion in our patients. We noticed that many stable UAE citizens with traumatic pneumothorax refused to have chest tube insertion, preferring to be treated conservatively. Similar to other studies, ISS, GCS score and heart rate were not independent predictors for chest tube insertion. Hospital length of stay was significantly shorter among patients managed without chest tube insertion.

One limitation of our study was that it was retrospective in nature and its sample size was relatively small. However, our experience from a community-based hospital is important, as over 50% of our patients with mild pneumothorax could be treated without chest tube insertion in this setting. This makes our findings generalisable to other similar tertiary hospitals. This notwithstanding, a future multicentre prospective study is needed for accurate detection of the size of the pneumothorax at which a chest tube can be safely avoided.

In conclusion, a chest tube was inserted in less than half of the patients with blunt trauma for whom pneumothorax was detected on CT. Pneumothorax volume should be included as an independent factor in the decision-making process prior to chest tube insertion for such patients. Among patients with blunt trauma, conservative treatment may be sufficient for pneumothorax of volume less than 30 mL.
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