INTRODUCTION
Low levels of pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) might be associated with abnormal placentation, leading to the development of preeclampsia during late gestation. We aimed to determine the association between low serum PAPP-A levels and rates of preeclampsia in Thai women with otherwise low-risk pregnancies.

METHODS
A cohort study was conducted on consecutive singleton pregnancies undergoing first trimester screening for fetal Down syndrome at a tertiary centre hospital. A prospective database was assessed for patient records, including PAPP-A levels, pregnancy outcomes and obstetrics complications. Pregnancies with potential causes of preeclampsia were excluded. Enrolled women were categorised into two groups: women with normal PAPP-A levels (≥ 10th percentile; control group); and those with low PAPP-A levels (< 10th percentile; study group). The main outcome measure was rate of preeclampsia.

RESULTS
Of 6,887 women screened, 3,830 were available for analysis and 167 were excluded due to potential confounders. Of the remaining 3,663 women enrolled, 357 women were categorised as having low PAPP-A levels and 3,306 had normal PAPP-A levels. The prevalence of preeclampsia (8.4% vs. 2.6%) and early-onset preeclampsia (i.e. before 34 weeks of gestation) (1.1% vs. 0.1%) was significantly higher (relative risk 3.27 and 9.26, respectively) in women from the study group than in the control group.

CONCLUSION
Pregnancy with PAPP-A levels < 10th percentile was significantly associated with an increased risk of preeclampsia that tended toward early development. Therefore, pregnant women with low PAPP-A levels in the first trimester should be considered to be at increased risk of preeclampsia.
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INTRODUCTION
Preeclampsia remains a major cause of both maternal-fetal morbidity and mortality, with reported incidences in the range of 2%–18%.1–12 Early identification of women at high risk of developing such disorders could help physicians to provide appropriate care. The traditional method of screening for preeclampsia is careful taking of maternal history, such as number of children, high body mass index, and previous or family history of preeclampsia. However, studies have found that screening on this basis alone could identify only 30% of women who developed hypertensive disorders in pregnancy.13 At present, there is no single screening test that is considered reliable and cost-effective for predicting preeclampsia.14

Pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A (PAPP-A) is a biochemical marker used for fetal Down syndrome screening. PAPP-A is a high-molecular-weight glycoprotein produced in the placenta and secreted into the maternal bloodstream. It is believed that placental pathology can lower PAPP-A levels.15–16 The aetiology of preeclampsia is unknown, but some potential aetiologies include abnormal trophoblast invasion of uterine blood vessels, and immunological intolerance between fetoplacental and maternal tissues.17 For this reason, low PAPP-A levels may be associated with development of subsequent preeclampsia. The association of low first trimester levels of PAPP-A with adverse pregnancy outcomes, including preeclampsia, has been demonstrated in multiple studies,10–16 although the cut-off levels of PAPP-A varied in each study. According to a large population-based study (FASTER trial), pregnancies with PAPP-A levels < 10th percentile (0.52 multiples of the normal median [MoM]) were significantly associated with preeclampsia, low birth weight, preterm birth, preterm rupture of membrane and neonatal death.10–16

The objective of the present study was to determine the association between unexplained low serum PAPP-A levels and rates of preeclampsia among low-risk pregnancies in Thailand. Thai-specific reference ranges were used, as several studies have demonstrated that ethnicity influences the levels of biochemical markers,17–19 suggesting that all ethnic groups should have their own reference ranges for biomarkers. Normal reference ranges for serum PAPP-A levels in the Thai population also differ from those in a Caucasian population.20

METHODS
Using a prospective database from a fetal Down syndrome screening programme, a retrospective cohort study was undertaken on consecutive pregnancies that underwent first trimester screening for fetal Down syndrome in the northern part of Thailand, including 32 network hospitals. The database has been in existence since 2010, when it was developed as part of a project on prenatal diagnosis and control of Down syndrome for the National Research University under the Office of the Higher Education Commission, Thailand.
As part of the database’s development, all singleton pregnant women in the first trimester who attended antenatal care clinics at network hospitals were offered serum marker screening for fetal Down syndrome regardless of maternal age. Baseline demographic data such as maternal age, parity, body weight, ethnic origin, smoking habits or illicit drug use, and underlying medical or familial disease, was assessed and prospectively recorded in the database at the time of blood sample collection.

Collected blood samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory for centrifugation and serum separation. The bioassays for PAPP-A and free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) were performed in batches to eliminate interassay variations using the DELFIA® Xpress system (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Absolute concentrations of serum PAPP-A and free β-hCG were calculated for MoM using Thai gestation-specific medians and weight corrections as reference range values. As part of the database’s development, all singleton pregnant women in the first trimester who attended antenatal care clinics at network hospitals were offered serum marker screening for fetal Down syndrome regardless of maternal age. Baseline demographic data such as maternal age, parity, body weight, ethnic origin, smoking habits or illicit drug use, and underlying medical or familial disease, was assessed and prospectively recorded in the database at the time of blood sample collection. Collected blood samples were immediately transferred to the laboratory for centrifugation and serum separation. The bioassays for PAPP-A and free beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (β-hCG) were performed in batches to eliminate interassay variations using the DELFIA® Xpress system (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Absolute concentrations of serum PAPP-A and free β-hCG were calculated for MoM using Thai gestation-specific medians and weight corrections as reference range values.

Ethics approval was received from the institutional review board. The database was assessed for all records made between 2010 and 2012. Only patients whose records had complete information, including gestational age at sample collection and delivery, medical and obstetric complications, pregnancy outcomes, and concentrations of serum markers, were recruited. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) singleton pregnancy; (b) Thai ethnicity; (c) accurate gestational age that relied on crown-rump length (sonographically measured in the first trimester) and certain last menstrual period; (d) no history of preeclampsia in previous pregnancies; and (e) no underlying medical or obstetric complications, such as chronic hypertension, maternal diabetes mellitus, renal disease and maternal obesity (defined as body weight > 80 kg). Pregnant women with fetal anomalies and fetal chromosomal abnormalities were excluded from the study.

Recruited women were categorised into two groups: control group with normal PAPP-A levels (≥ 10th percentile; equivalent to 0.53 MoM); and study group with low PAPP-A levels (< 10th percentile). The main outcome was the rate of preeclampsia in the two groups. Preeclampsia was defined as new onset hypertension (> 140/90 mmHg) and either proteinuria (24-hour urine protein > 300 mg) or end-organ dysfunction after 20 weeks of gestation in a previously normotensive woman. Severe hypertension and signs or symptoms of end-organ injury were considered to indicate severe disease. Eclampsia referred to the development of grand mal convulsions in a woman with preeclampsia in the absence of other neurologic conditions that could account for the convulsions. Participants were categorised into two groups based on their onset of preeclampsia, taking into account the total prevalence of preeclampsia and the prevalence of early-onset preeclampsia (i.e. before 34 complete weeks of gestation).

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows version 21.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA). Comparisons of baseline characteristics between the two groups were performed using Student’s t-test for continuous variables with normal distribution, while chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests were used for categorical variables. A p-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for preeclampsia were calculated for pregnancies with low PAPP-A levels. In order to obtain a power of 80% at 95% CI for a relative risk of 3, for an estimated incidence of preeclampsia of 2.5% in low-risk women with normal PAPP-A levels, the study needed a sample size of at least 295 women with low serum PAPP-A levels.

RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 6,887 pregnancies were screened (Fig. 1). However, 3,057 pregnant women were not included due to incomplete or unavailable medical records for pregnancy outcomes, mostly due to women giving birth in remote rural areas and difficulties with follow-up. Only 3,830 pregnant women met the inclusion criteria and had complete data. Of these, 167 women were excluded because of fetal anomalies (n = 114) and fetal chromosome abnormalities (n = 53). The remaining 3,663 women were available for analysis. Among these, 357 women were categorised in the group with low PAPP-A levels (study group) and 3,306 women had normal PAPP-A levels (control group). Table I presents the baseline characteristics of patients in the two groups.
multivariate analysis, women with known potential risk factors for preeclampsia were excluded from the study prior to enrolment. This was done in order to determine whether unexplained low PAPP-A levels would be an independent risk factor among pregnancies that were otherwise deemed to be at low risk of preeclampsia. Parity, which might be involved in the prevalence of preeclampsia, was not controlled in our study. However, the distribution of parity was not significantly different between the two patient groups. Therefore, nulliparity was unlikely to have confounded the primary outcomes of our study.

As maternal obesity may increase the risk of preeclampsia, obese women (body weight > 80 kg) were excluded from the analysis. It should be noted that maternal weight was still significantly higher in pregnancies with low PAPP-A levels in our study, which was consistent with previous studies. This was likely caused by the dilutional effect of higher blood volume among larger mothers. Therefore, while higher maternal weight might have possibly confounded the outcomes in our study, any such effect was likely to have been minimal, as women with obesity were not included in the analysis.

Gestational age at the time of blood sampling was also significantly different between the two groups. However, it was a difference of only one day, and thus was probably due to coincidence and unlikely to have had any clinical effect on the development of preeclampsia.

DISCUSSION
The main objective of this study was to determine the association between low serum PAPP-A levels and the rate of preeclampsia. We found that pregnancies with low PAPP-A levels were significantly associated with an increased risk of preeclampsia, especially early-onset preeclampsia. Although we did not perform
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Table II. Incidence of preeclampsia among women with low or normal PAPP-A levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>&lt; 10th percentile (n = 357)</th>
<th>≥ 10th percentile (n = 3,306)</th>
<th>Total (n = 3,663)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Relative risk (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preeclampsia</td>
<td>30 (8.4)</td>
<td>85 (2.6)</td>
<td>115 (3.1)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001*</td>
<td>3.27 (2.19–4.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early-onset preeclampsia</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>4 (0.1)</td>
<td>8 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.0016†</td>
<td>9.26 (2.33–36.87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Chi-square test. †Fisher’s exact test. CI: confidence interval; PAPP-A: pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A

Table III. Diagnostic indices for predicting preeclampsia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. (%) OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>30/115 (26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>3,221/3,548 (90.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>30/357 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>3,221/3,306 (97.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio

Table IV. Incidence of other obstetric outcomes among women with low or normal PAPP-A levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean ± SD/No. (%) p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 10th percentile (n = 357)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age at delivery (wk)</td>
<td>36.7 ± 5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g)</td>
<td>2,746 ± 945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stillbirth</td>
<td>34 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Apgar score (&lt; 7)</td>
<td>51 (14.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At 1 min</td>
<td>36 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth (&lt; 37 wk)</td>
<td>58 (16.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetal growth restriction</td>
<td>39 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Student’s t-test. †Chi-square test. PAPP-A: pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A; SD: standard deviation

When the study group was compared with the control group, the rates of preeclampsia (8.4% vs. 2.6%) and early-onset preeclampsia (1.1% vs. 0.1%) were significantly higher in women with low PAPP-A levels, with a relative risk of 3.27 and 9.26, respectively (Table II). Low PAPP-A levels, at cut-off < 10th percentile, could predict preeclampsia with a sensitivity of 26.1% and false positive of 9.2% (specificity 90.8%), with an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.613 (Table III & Fig. 2). Other adverse obstetric outcomes, such as gestational age at delivery, birth weight, stillbirth, Apgar scores at one minute and five minutes, preterm birth, and fetal growth restriction, were significantly higher among women with low PAPP-A levels (Table IV).

As maternal obesity may increase the risk of preeclampsia, obese women (body weight > 80 kg) were excluded from the analysis. It should be noted that maternal weight was still significantly higher in pregnancies with low PAPP-A levels in our study, which was consistent with previous studies. This was likely caused by the dilutional effect of higher blood volume among larger mothers. Therefore, while higher maternal weight might have possibly confounded the outcomes in our study, any such effect was likely to have been minimal, as women with obesity were not included in the analysis. Gestational age at the time of blood sampling was also significantly different between the two groups. However, it was a difference of only one day, and thus was probably due to coincidence and unlikely to have had any clinical effect on the development of preeclampsia.
Currently, first trimester screening with serum markers is commonly used for fetal Down syndrome screening in the Thai population. Therefore, data on PAPP-A levels is available for a great number of pregnant women in our region. Although the primary purpose of assessment of first trimester serum markers is fetal Down syndrome screening, these markers are informative and may be useful in predicting preeclampsia as well as other adverse obstetric outcomes. To date, several biomarkers and new technologies have been evaluated as screening tests for predicting preeclampsia in late gestation and were found to be useful, such as soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1, placental growth factor, vascular endothelial growth factor and uterine artery Doppler scans at mid-pregnancy, but none of these screening tests have proven to be cost-effective and are not recommended for routine practice. On the other hand, PAPP-A is a biomarker that is part of routine screening for Down syndrome in the first trimester and can have the added benefit of predicting the likelihood of preeclampsia without any extra effort. This information may be clinically useful, especially in areas where preeclampsia is an important health problem, such as Thailand.

Early detection of preeclampsia is essential for successful pregnancy outcomes in women at risk of developing preeclampsia, as it would enable clinicians to provide targeted intensive care early in pregnancy and thus, reduce the severe morbidity and even mortality associated with the condition. Although low PAPP-A levels did place pregnant women at a higher risk of developing preeclampsia, the predictive value and sensitivity of PAPP-A were relatively low, and hence, it might not be effective as a screening marker. Nevertheless, close monitoring for early detection and intensive care to prevent the development of severe preeclampsia and limiting morbidity may be indicated for women with unexplained low PAPP-A levels. The clinical use of serum PAPP-A level, as a marker of increased risk of preeclampsia, warrants further study.

This study had many strengths and limitations. Its strengths included adequate sample size to satisfy the main objective and the high homogeneity of participants in terms of Thai ethnicities. Its limitations were: (a) the relatively small sample size of pregnancies with low PAPP-A levels, which could limit the generalisability and reliability of conclusions drawn for rare outcomes such as fetal death; (b) its retrospective design that led to a large number of cases with incomplete data and losses to follow-up; and (c) some secondary outcomes that were not well controlled for confounding factors (e.g. women with prior preterm birth were not excluded from the study, so it is likely that the increased prevalence of preterm births in our group may have partly been affected by factors other than low PAPP-A levels). Since women with risk factors for preeclampsia were excluded prior to analysis, we were unable to compare the incidence and severity of preeclampsia between women with low and normal serum PAPP-A levels among pregnancies that would normally be deemed at high risk of preeclampsia. Future studies should look at a full cohort of patients with multivariate analysis to determine whether low PAPP-A levels and the development of preeclampsia later in pregnancy are correlated independent of other factors, and whether women with known risk factors for preeclampsia are at higher risk if they also have low levels of PAPP-A.

In conclusion, pregnancy with PAPP-A levels < 10th percentile was significantly associated with an increased risk of preeclampsia that tended to develop early and was possibly associated with other adverse obstetric outcomes. Therefore, pregnant women with low PAPP-A levels in the first trimester should be considered to be at high risk for preeclampsia. However, due to limitations imposed by the retrospective nature of this study, we suggest a future prospective study to confirm our findings and to analyse the diagnostic performance of PAPP-A levels as routine screening for predicting preeclampsia. Its shortcomings notwithstanding, our results do demonstrate the need for further studies aimed at risk identification and prevention of preeclampsia.
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